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## Abbreviations

Ad: adenoidectomy
Ad-Tons: adenotonsillectomy
AOM: acute otitis media
Cl: confidence interval
CoE: class of evidence
dB: decibels
FDA: US Food and Drug Administration
f/u: follow-up
HTA: health technology assessment
HTE: heterogeneity of treatment effect
Hz: hertz
kHz: kilohertz
MD: mean difference
mos.: months
N : number of patients
NHS: National Health Services
NIH: National Institutes of Health
NA: not applicable
NC: not calculable
NR: not reported
NS: not statistically significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ )
OM: otitis media
OME: otitis media with effusion
RD: risk difference
RR: relative risk
SD: standard deviation
SoE: strength of evidence
SR: systematic review
Tons: tonsillectomy
TT: tympanostomy tubes
vs.: versus
WW: watchful waiting
yrs.: years

## Appendix A. Algorithm for Article Selection



## Appendix B. Search Strategies

Below is the search strategy for PubMed. Parallel strategies were used to search other electronic databases listed below. Keyword searches were conducted in the other listed resources.

Search strategy (PubMed)
Search date: 02/03/2015

Filters: Abstract available, English

|  | Search Code | Number of Articles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tympanostomy OR "Middle Ear Ventilation"[MeSH] OR "ventilation tube" <br> OR "ventilation tubes" OR "grommet" OR "grommets" OR "ear tube" OR <br> "ear tubes" | 2601 |  |  |  |
| 2 | \#1 AND (children OR child OR infant OR infants OR preschool OR <br> adolescent OR adolescents OR infant[MeSH] OR child[MeSH] OR "child, <br> preschool"[MeSH] OR adolescent[MeSH] OR pediatric OR pediatrics) | 1865 |  |  |  |
| 3 | \#2 AND (otitis media OR "Otitis Media"[MeSH] OR OME OR AOM) | 1471 |  |  |  |
| 4 | \#3 NOT ("Case Reports"[Publication Type] OR Letter[Publication Type] OR <br> Comment[Publication Type]) | 1396 |  |  |  |
| 5 | \#4 NOT (Mastoid*[TI] OR "hearing aids"[TI] OR vaccin*[TI] OR <br> tympanoplasty[TIAB] OR screening[TI] OR polymorphism*[TIAB] OR <br> externa[TI] OR rat[TIAB] OR bacteri*[TI] OR receptor*[TI]) | 1233 |  |  |  |
|  | Additional references identified from hand searching | 71 |  |  |  |
|  | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  |  |  |  |

Parallel strategies were used to search the Cochrane Library and others listed below. Keyword searches were conducted in the other listed resources.

## Electronic Database Searches

The following databases have been searched for relevant information:
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)
Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health (CINAHL)
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
Cochrane Registry of Clinical Trials (CENTRAL)
Cochrane Review Methodology Database
Database of Reviews of Effectiveness (Cochrane Library)
EMBASE
PubMed
Informational Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment (INAHTA)
NHS Economic Evaluation Database
HSTAT (Health Services/Technology Assessment Text)
EconLIT

## Additional Economics, Clinical Guideline and Gray Literature Databases

AHRQ - Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project
Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)
Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
Google
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI)
National Guideline Clearinghouse

## Appendix C. Excluded Articles

Note. As shown in Figure 1 of the Evidence Report, 64 studies were completely excluded from the report.

## Articles excluded as primary studies after full text review, with reason for exclusion.

$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & \text { Citation }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { Reason for exclusion after full-text } \\ \text { review }\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & \text { Citation }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { Reason for exclusion after full-text } \\ \text { review }\end{array}\right]$

Reason for exclusion after full-text
Citation
review
26. Gourin, C. G. and R. N. Hubbell (1999). "Otorrhea after insertion of Case series, \% follow-up not reported silver oxide-impregnated silastic tympanostomy tubes." Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 125(4): 446-450.
27. Hassmann, E., et al. (2004). "Laser myringotomy in otitis media with effusion: long-term follow-up." Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol Retrospective cohort study with <80\% follow-up 261(6): 316-320.
28. Higgins, T. S., et al. (2008). "Medical decision analysis: indications for tympanostomy tubes in RAOM by age at first episode." Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 138(1): 50-56.

Wrong population- hypothetical population only with estimates for utility derived from literature review; no cost analysis)
29. Hong, H. R., et al. (2014). "Long-term follow-up of otitis media with Retrospective cohort study with N<100 effusion in children: comparisons between a ventilation tube group and a non-ventilation tube group." Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 78(6): 938-943.
30. Hubbard, T. W., et al. (1985). "Consequences of unremitting Wrong population (patients not middle-ear disease in early life. Otologic, audiologic, and developmental findings in children with cleft palate." N Engl J Med 312(24): 1529-1534.
31. Khan, F., et al. (2006). "Management outcome of secretory otitis media." J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 18(1): 55-58.
32. Klockars, T. and J. Rautio (2012). "Early placement of ventilation tubes in cleft lip and palate patients: does palatal closure affect tube occlusion and short-term outcome?" Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 76(10): 1481-1484.
33. Kobayashi, H., et al. (2012). "Clinical outcomes of ventilation tube placement in children with cleft palate." Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 76(5): 718-721.

Unable to determine number of patients included in the control group, so unable to determine results for outcomes of interest
34. Kwan, W. M., et al. (2011). "Otitis media with effusion and hearing loss in Chinese children with cleft lip and palate." Cleft Palate Craniofac J 48(6): 684-689.
35. Lee, C. H., et al. (2014). "Flexible integration of laser myringotomy and ventilation tube for bilateral Otitis media with effusion:

Retrospective cohort study, \% followup not reported
analysis of laser tympanostomy versus ventilation tube." PLoS One 9(1): e84966.
36. Lildholdt, T. (1979). "Unilateral grommet insertion and adenoidectomy in bilateral secretory otitis media: preliminary report of the results in 91 children." Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 4(2): 87-93.
37. Luxford, W. M. and J. L. Sheehy (1982). "Myringotomy and ventilation tubes: a report of 1,568 ears." Laryngoscope 92(11): 1293-1297.

Duplicate patients reported in Lildholdt 1983
Retrospective cohort study with $\mathrm{N}<100$

Wrong population (both children and adolescents/adults were included and results not reported separately for these populations)
38. Maheshwar, A. A., et al. (2002). "Use of hearing aids in the management of children with cleft palate." Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 66(1): 55-62.

Wrong population (OME or AOM not required for inclusion)

|  | Citation | Reason for exclusion after full-text review |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39. | Mangat, K. S., et al. (1993). "T-tubes: a retrospective review of 1274 insertions over a 4-year period." Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 25(1-3): 119-125. | Case series, \% follow-up not reported |
| 40. | Maw, A. R. (1983). "Chronic otitis media with effusion (glue ear) and adenotonsillectomy: prospective randomised controlled study." Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 287(6405): 1586-1588. | Results not reported for tubed ears |
| 41. | Maw, A. R. and F. Herod (1986). "Otoscopic, impedance, and audiometric findings in glue ear treated by adenoidectomy and tonsillectomy. A prospective randomised study." Lancet 1(8495): 1399-1402. | Incomplete patient set; results reported elsewhere in for this RCT (Maw \& Bawden papers) |
| 42. | Maw, A. R., et al. (1992). "The effect of parental smoking on outcome after treatment for glue ear in children." Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 17(5): 411-414. | Results not reported for tubed ears |
| 43. | Medical Research Council Multicentre Otitis Media Study Group (MRC) (2001). "Surgery for persistent otitis media with effusion: generalizability of results from the UK trial (TARGET). Trial of Alternative Regimens in Glue Ear Treatment." Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 26(5): 417-424. | Tubes not used |
| 44. | Medical Research Council Multicentre Otitis Media Study Group (MRC) (2008). "An extension of the Jerger classification of tympanograms for ventilation tube patency--specification and evaluation of equivalent ear-canal volume criteria." Ear Hear 29(6): 894-906. | Study evaluates the cut off values to determine tympanometric patency |
| 45. | Mohiuddin, S., et al. (2014). "Economic evaluation of surgical insertion of ventilation tubes for the management of persistent bilateral otitis media with effusion in children." BMC Health Serv Res 14: 253. | Wrong comparator (hearing aids) |
| 46. | Morton, R. P., et al. (1994). "Nasopharyngeal carcinoma and middle ear effusion: natural history and the effect of ventilation tubes." Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 19(6): 529-531. | Wrong population (adults) |
| 47. | Mui, S., et al. (2005). "Tympanostomy tubes for otitis media: quality-of-life improvement for children and parents." Ear Nose Throat J 84(7): 418, 420-412, 424. | No "no tubes" comparator |
| 48. | Niemela, M., et al. (1999). "Costs arising from otitis media." Acta Paediatr 88(5): 553-556. | Wrong study type (cost study rather than a full economic evaluation) |
| 49. | Peters, S. A., et al. (1994). "The effects of early bilateral otitis media with effusion on educational attainment: a prospective cohort study." J Learn Disabil 27(2): 111-121. | Retrospective cohort study, \% followup not reported |
| 50. | Phua, Y. S., et al. (2009). "Middle ear disease in children with cleft palate: protocols for management." Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 73(2): 307-313. | Wrong population (OME, AOM, or hearing loss not required for inclusion in all patients) |
| 51. | Pichichero, M. E., et al. (1989). "Anatomic and audiologic sequelae after tympanostomy tube insertion or prolonged antibiotic therapy for otitis media." Pediatr Infect Dis J 8(11): 780-787. | Retrospective cohort study with $\mathrm{N}<100$ |
| 52. | Roydhouse, N. (1980). "Adenoidectomy for otitis media with mucoid effusion." Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol Suppl 89(3 Pt 2): 312- | Wrong comparator (all patients with chronic OME who did not respond to |


|  |  | Reason for exclusion after full-text <br> review |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Citation | medical treatment received tubes) |

## Appendix D. Class of Evidence, Strength of Evidence, and QHES Determination

Each study is rated against pre-set criteria that resulted in an evidence rating (Class of Evidence I, II, III, or IV) and presented in a table. The criteria are listed in the Tables below.

Definition of the class of evidence and risk of bias for studies on therapy*

| Class | Bias Risk | Studies of Therapy* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Study design | Criteria* |
| I | Low risk: <br> Study adheres to commonly held tenets of high quality design, execution and avoidance of bias | Good quality RCT | - Random sequence generation <br> - Allocation concealment <br> - Intent-to-treat analysis <br> - Blind or independent assessment for important outcomes <br> - Co-interventions applied equally <br> - $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{U}$ rate of $80 \%+$ <br> - Adequate sample size |
| II | Moderately low risk: <br> Study has potential for some bias; study does not meet all criteria for class I, but deficiencies not likely to invalidate results or introduce significant bias | Moderate quality RCT | - Violation of one or more of the criteria for good quality RCT (but not violation of both random sequence generation and allocation and one or more other criteria) |
|  |  | Good quality cohort | - Blind or independent assessment in a prospective study, or use of reliable data $\dagger$ in a retrospective study <br> - Co-interventions applied equally <br> - $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{U}$ rate of $80 \%+$ <br> - Adequate sample size <br> - Controlling for possible confounding $\ddagger$ |
| III | Moderately High risk: <br> Study has significant flaws in design and/or execution that increase potential for bias tha may invalidate study results | Poor quality RCT | - Violation of both random sequence generation and allocation concealment criteria, and <br> - Violation of one other criteria for a good quality RCT |
|  |  | Moderate or poor quality cohort | - Violation of any of the criteria for good quality cohort |
|  |  | Case-control | - Any case-control design |
| IV | High risk: <br> Study has significant potential for bias; lack of comparison group precludes direct assessment of important outcomes | Case series | - Any case series design |

* Additional domains evaluated in studies performing a formal test of interaction for subgroup modification (i.e., HTE) based on recommendations from Oxman and Guyatt\{Oxman, 1992 \#1355\}:
- Is the subgroup variable a characteristic specified at baseline or after randomization? (subgroup hypotheses should be developed a priori)
- Is the subgroup difference suggested by comparisons within rather than between studies?
- Does statistical analysis suggest that chance is an unlikely explanation for the subgroup difference?
- Did the hypothesis precede rather than follow the analysis and include a hypothesized direction that was subsequently confirmed?
- Was the subgroup hypothesis one of a smaller number tested?
- Is the subgroup difference consistent across studies and across important outcomes?
- Does external evidence (biological or sociological rationale) support the hypothesized subgroup difference?
$\dagger$ Outcome assessment is independent of healthcare personnel judgment. Reliable data are data such as mortality or re-operation.
$\ddagger$ Authors must provide a description of robust baseline characteristics, and control for those that are unequally distributed between treatment groups.


## Determination of Overall Strength of Evidence

Following the assessment of the quality of each individual study included in the report, an overall "strength of evidence" for the relevant question or topic is determined. Methods for determining the overall strength of evidence are variable across the literature and are most applicable to evaluation of therapeutic studies.

SRI's method incorporates the primary domains of quality (CoE), quantity of studies and consistency of results across studies as described by AHRQ.

The following four possible levels and their definition will be reported:

- High - High confidence that the evidence reflects the true effect. Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.
- Moderate - Moderate confidence that the evidence reflects the true effect. Further research may change our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
- Low - Low confidence that the evidence reflects the true effect. Further research is likely to change the confidence in the estimate of effect and likely to change the estimate.
- Insufficient - Evidence either is unavailable or does not permit a conclusion.

All AHRQ "required" and "additional" domains (risk of bias, consistency, directness, precision, publication bias) are assessed Bodies of evidence consisting of RCTs were initially considered as High strength of evidence, while those comprised of nonrandomized studies began as Low strength of evidence. The strength of evidence could be downgraded based on the limitations described above. There are also situations where the nonrandomized studies could be upgraded, including the presence of plausible unmeasured confounding and bias that would decrease an observed effect or increase an effect if none was observed, and large magnitude of effect (strength of association).

Table D3. Example methodology outline for determining overall strength of evidence (SoE):
All AHRQ "required" and "additional" domains* are assessed. Only those that influence the baseline grade are listed in table.

Baseline strength: Risk of bias (including control of confounding) is accounted for in the individual article evaluations. HIGH = majority of articles Level I/II. LOW = majority of articles Level III/IV.

DOWNGRADE: Inconsistency** of results (1 or 2); Indirectness of evidence (1 or 2); Imprecision of effect estimates (1 or 2); Sub-group analyses not stated a priori and no test for interaction (2)

UPGRADE: Large magnitude of effect (1 or 2); Dose response gradient (1)

| Outcome | Strength of <br> Evidence |  <br> Comments | Baseline | DOWNGRADE | UPGRADE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outcome | HIGH | Summary of <br> findings | HIGH <br> Level I/II studies | NO <br> consistent, direct, <br> and precise <br> estimates | NO |
| Outcome | MODERATE | Summary of <br> findings | LOW <br> Level III studies | NO <br> consistent, direct, <br> and precise <br> estimates | YES <br> Large effect |
| Outcome | LOW | Summary of | HIGH |  |  |
| findings | Level I/II studies | YES (2) <br> Inconsistent <br> Indirect | NO |  |  |

* Required domains: risk of bias, consistency, directness, precision. Plausible confounding that would decrease observed effect is accounted for in our baseline risk of bias assessment through individual article evaluation. Additional domains: dose-response, strength of association, publication bias.
** Single study = "consistency unknown"


## Assessment of Economic Studies

Full formal economic analyses evaluate both costs and clinical outcomes of two or more alternative interventions. The four primary types are cost minimization analysis (CMA), cost-utility analysis (CUA), cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA), and cost-benefit analyses (CBA). Each employs different methodologies, potentially complicating critical appraisal, but some common criteria can be assessed across studies.

No standard, universally accepted method of critical appraisal of economic analyses is currently in use. A number of checklists [Canadian, BMJ, AMA] are available to facilitate critique of such studies. The Quality of Health Economic Studies (QHES) instrument developed by Ofman, et al ${ }^{3}$. QHES embodies the primary components relevant for critical appraisal of economic studies ${ }^{2,3}$. It also incorporates a weighted scoring process and which was used as one factor to assess included economic studies. This tool has not yet undergone extensive evaluation for broader use but provides a valuable starting point for critique.

In addition to assessment of criteria in the QHES, other factors are important in critical appraisal of studies from an epidemiologic perspective to assist in evaluation of generalizability and potential sources of study bias.

Such factors include:

- Are the interventions applied to similar populations (e.g., with respect to age, gender, medical conditions, etc.)? To what extent are the populations for each intervention comparable and are differences considered or accounted for? To what extent are population characteristics consistent with "real world" applications of the comparators?
- Are the sample sizes adequate so as to provide a reasonable representation of individuals to whom the technology would be applied?
- What types of studies form the basis for the data used in the analyses? Data (e.g., complication rates) from randomized controlled trials or well-conducted, methodologically rigorous cohort studies for data collection are generally of highest quality compared with case series or studies with historical cohorts.
- Were the interventions applied in a comparable manner (e.g., similar protocols, follow-up procedures, evaluation of outcomes, etc.)?
- How were the data and/or patients selected or sampled (e.g., a random selection of claims for the intervention from a given year/source or all claims)? What specific inclusion/exclusion criteria or processes were used?
- Were the outcomes and consequences of the interventions being compared comparable for each? (e.g., were all of the relevant consequences/complications for each intervention considered or do they primarily reflect those for one intervention?)
Assessment of the overall strength of evidence for formal economic analyses does not appear to be documented in the literature. For the purposes of this HTA, overall strength was determined by:
- Quality of the individual studies: Where the majority of quality indicators described in the QHES met and were the methods related to patient/claim selection, patient population considerations and other factors listed above consistent with a high quality design?
- Number of formal analyses (3 or more)
- Consistency of findings and conclusions from analyses across studies.


## Appendix E. Study quality: CoE and QHES evaluation

## CoE evaluation:

## OME comparative studies

| Methodological Principle | Austin | Bernard/ <br> Stenstrom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Brown 1978 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Casselbrant } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Caye- <br> Thomasen | COMET§§ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study design <br> Randomized controlled trial Prospective cohort study Retrospective cohort study Case-control Case-series | ■ | ■ | $\square$ | $\square$ | ■ | - | ■ |
| Random sequence generation* |  | ? | + | ? | ? |  | + |
| Concealed allocation* |  | ? | no** | ? | ? |  | + |
| Intention to treat* |  | no $\ddagger$ | ? | ? | Yes |  | + |
| Independent or blind assessment | ? | varies§ | + | ? | ? | ? | + |
| Co-interventions applied equally | ? | + | + | Yes | Yes | ? | + |
| Complete follow-up of $\geq 80 \%$ | ? | + | variest† | Yes | No | Yes (3 years: 87\%) <br> No (7 years: 68\%; 25 years: 48\%) | varies*** |
| Controlling for possible confounding $\dagger$ | ? | ? | + | No | No | No¥才 | + |
| Class of evidence | CoE III |  | CoE II | CoE III | CoE III | CoE III | CoE I |
| Risk of bias | Moderately high RoB |  | Moderately low RoB | Moderately high RoB | Moderately high RoB | Moderately high RoB | Low RoB |


| Methodological Principle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D'Eredità } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dempster } \\ 1993 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gates } \\ 1987,1989 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kent } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Koopman } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | Leek | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lildholdt } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study design <br> Randomized controlled trial Prospective cohort study Retrospective cohort study Case-control Case-series | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | - | ■ | ■ |
| Random sequence generation* | ? | not+† | + | no**** | + |  | no**** |
| Concealed allocation* | ? | not+† | ? | ? | ? |  | ? |
| Intention to treat* | ? | + | no§§§ | ? | not+†+ |  | + |
| Independent or blind assessment | no | ? $\ddagger \ddagger \ddagger$ | + | no | ? | ? | no |
| Co-interventions applied equally | + | + | + | + | + | ? | + |
| Complete follow-up of $\geq 80 \%$ | ? | + | no | ? | no | ? | + |
| Controlling for possible confounding ${ }^{\dagger}$ | ? | ? | + | ? | + | ? | + |
| Class of evidence | CoE III | CoE III | CoE II | CoE III | CoE II | CoE III | CoE III |
| Risk of bias | Moderately high RoB | Moderately high RoB | Moderately low RoB | Moderately high RoB | Moderately low RoB | Moderately high RoB | Moderately high RoB |


| Methodological Principle | Mandel 1989 | Mandel $1992$ | Maw \＆Bawden 1993， 1994 （4 papers） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maw } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | Paradise§§ | Popova |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study design <br> Randomized controlled trial Prospective cohort study Retrospective cohort study Case－control Case－series | － | － | － | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Random sequence generation＊ | ？ | ？ | ＋ | ？ | ＋ | ？ |
| Concealed allocation＊ | ？ | ？ | ？ | ？ | ＋ | ？ |
| Intention to treat＊ | ＋ | ＋ | nołキキキ | ？ | ＋ | no＊＊＊＊＊ |
| Independent or blind assessment | ？ | ？ | ＋ | ？ | ＋ | ？ |
| Co－interventions applied equally | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | ＋ | Yes |
| Complete follow－up of $\geq 80 \%$ | ＋ | ＋ | varies§§§§ | ？ | ＋ | Yes |
| Controlling for possible confounding $\dagger$ | no | ＋ | ？ | ？ | ＋ | ？＊＊＊＊＊ |
| Class of evidence | CoE III | CoE III | CoE II | CoE III | CoE I | CoE III |
| Risk of bias | Moderately high RoB | Moderately high RoB | Moderately low RoB | Moderately high RoB | Low RoB | Moderately high RoB |


| Methodological Principle | Rach§§ | Rovers§§ | Ruckley | Shishegar | TARGET§§ | To 1984 | Tos 1983§§ | Vlastos |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study design <br> Randomized controlled trial <br> Prospective cohort study <br> Retrospective cohort study <br> Case-control <br> Case-series | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |

" + " indicates that the criteria were met
"?" indicates that the study had insufficient detail to determine whether criteria were met
"no" indicates that the criteria were not met

* Applies only to randomized controlled trials
$\dagger$ Groups must be comparable on a robust set of baseline characteristics or present evidence that controlling of confounding presented was performed
$\ddagger$ Bernard/Stenstrom: 139 patients were randomized patients, but data (including baseline characteristics) only presented for 125.
§ Bernard/Stenstrom: Credit for tympanometry at 18 months and all outcomes at 6-10 years; otherwise, there was no indication that outcomes were assessed blindly or by an independent observer.
** Black: Treatment allocation was contained in sealed numbered envelopes but there was no indication that envelopes were opaque.
$\dagger \dagger$ Black: Complete follow-up was not reported for 1.75 or 6 month outcomes, was $\geq 80 \%$ for outcomes at 12 months, and was $\leq 80 \%$ for outcomes reported at 24 months.
$\ddagger \ddagger$ Caye-Thomassen: Authors report primarily on those patients who underwent the original treatment only in order to control for confounding difference in disease severity and potential influence of repeated treatment; HOWEVER, no demographic table provided and baseline hearing levels were significantly difference and not controlled for.
§§ Associated studies:
- COMET: Maw 1999, Wilks 2000, Hall 2009
- Paradise: Paradise 2001/2003a,b/2005/2007, Johnston 2004
- Rach: Rach 1991, Zeilhuis 1989
- Rovers: Rovers 2000/2001, Ingels 2005
- TARGET: MRC 2003/2012
*** COMET: Less than $80 \%$ follow-up for hearing levels ( 9 months only), risk for behavioral outcomes (at 18 months only), academic achievement, and speech and language as measured by the Wechsler Objective Language Dimensions and Children's Nonword Repetitive Task outcome measures.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Dempster: Randomization by patient (adenoidectomy vs. no adenoidectomy) and ear (TT vs. no surgery) done by serially numbered envelopes; no indication envelopes were sealed or opaque.
$\ddagger \ddagger \ddagger$ Dempster: Although evaluating physicians were blind to whether or not an adenoidectomy was performed, there was no indication that any outcomes evaluated were measured in a manner blinded to the presence or absence of tube placement.
$\S \S \S$ Gates: After randomization, $15 \%$ of patients $(87 / 578)$ withdrew prior to undergoing surgery due to parental refusal or resolution of effusion; these patients were excluded from all further analyses
**** Kent, Lilholdt: Ears randomized by birthdate
$\dagger \dagger \dagger+$ Koopman: After enrollment and allocation, $9.6 \%$ of patients (22/230) were excluded ( 8 did not appear, 3 had spontaneous resolution of OME, 11 did not receive laser myringotomy at time of surgery); these patients were excluded from all further analyses.
$\ddagger \ddagger \ddagger \ddagger$ Maw \& Bawden: After enrollment and allocation, six patients $(2.6 \%(6 / 228))$ were excluded from all analyses after moving or having poor attendance
$\S \S \S \S$ Maw \& Bawden: Credit for no adenoidectomy patients outcomes reported at 6, 12, and 24 months ( $80-90 \%$ complete f/u); no credit for outcomes reported between 36-120 months ( $17-66 \% \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{u}$ ); adenoidectomy or adenotonsillectomy patients outcomes reported at 12 and 36 months ( $84-97 \%$ completed $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{u}$ ); no credit for outcomes reported at 6 months ( $79 \%$ ), 24 months ( $75 \%$ ), or 48 to 120 months ( $30 \%$ to $74 \%$ )
***** Popova: 12/90 patients did not complete follow-up and were completely excluded from the study
$\dagger+\dagger+\dagger$ Target, Rach: The first 5 patients were randomly allocated (details NR) and each subsequent child was allocated to the group that would create the most balance between groups in terms of age, sex, occupation of head of the household (manual vs. non-manual), and baseline hearing (TARGET); and age, gender, and language (Rach).


## AOM comparative studies

| Methodological Principle | Casselbrant 1992 | El-Sayed 1996 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gebhart } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | Gonzalez | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kujala } \\ \text { 2012, } 2014 \end{gathered}$ | Le |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study design <br> Randomized controlled trial Prospective cohort study Retrospective cohort study Case-control Case-series | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | ■ |
| Random sequence generation* | ? | ? | ? | + | + | ? |
| Concealed allocation* | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | ? |
| Intention to treat* | + | no $\ddagger$ | no $\ddagger$ | no¥ | + | ? |
| Independent or blind assessment | ? | ? | ? | ? | no | $+$ |
| Co-interventions applied equally | + | + | + | ? | + | $+$ |
| Complete follow-up of $\geq 80 \%$ | no | + | + | ? | varies§ | $+$ |
| Controlling for possible confounding ${ }^{+}$ | + | no | + | no | + | + |

" + " Indicates that the criteria were met
"?" Indicates that the study had insufficient detail to determine whether criteria were met
"no" Indicates that the criteria were not met

* Applies only to randomized controlled trials
$\dagger$ Groups must be comparable on a robust set of baseline characteristics or present evidence that controlling of confounding presented was performed
$\ddagger \quad$ All data (including baseline characteristics) reported for only patients with complete follow-up
§ $90 \%$ follow-up for all outcomes except QoL; \% f/u for QoL subanalysis was unclear


## Quality of Health Economic Studies (QHES) score of included articles

| QHES Question (pts possible) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hartman } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Was the study objective presented in a clear, specific, and measurable manner? (7 pts) | 4 |
| 2. | Were the perspective of the analysis (societal, third-party payer, etc.) and reasons for its selection stated? (4 pts) | 8 |
| 3. | Were variable estimates used in the analysis from the best available source (i.e. randomized controlled trial = best, expert opinion = worst)? (8 pts) | 1 |
| 4. | If estimates came from a subgroup analysis, were the groups prespecified at the beginning of the study? (1 pt) | 9 |
| 5. | Was uncertainty handled by (1) statistical analysis to address random events, (2) sensitivity analysis to cover a range of assumptions? (9 pts) | 6 |
| 6. | Was incremental analysis performed between alternatives for resources and costs? (6 pts) | 5 |
| 7. | Was the methodology for data abstraction (including the value of health states and other benefits) stated? (5 pts) | 0 |
| 8. | Did the analytic horizon allow time for all relevant and important outcomes? Were benefits and costs that went beyond 1 year discounted ( $3 \%$ to $5 \%$ ) and justification given for the discount rate? ( 7 pts ) | 8 |
| 9. | Was the measurement of costs appropriate and the methodology for the estimation of quantities and unit costs clearly described? (8 pts) | 0 |
| 10. | Were the primary outcome measure(s) for the economic evaluation clearly stated and did they include the major short-term, long-term and negative outcomes included? ( 6 pts ) | 7 |
| 11. | Were the health outcomes measures/scales valid and reliable? If previously tested valid and reliable measures were not available, was justification given for the measures/scales used? (7 pts) | 8 |
| 12. | Were the economic model (including structure), study methods and analysis, and the components of the numerator and denominator displayed in a clear, transparent manner? (8 pts) | 0 |
| 13. | Were the choice of economic model, main assumptions, and limitations of the study stated and justified? (7 pts) | 6 |
| 14. | Did the author(s) explicitly discuss direction and magnitude of potential biases? (6 pts) | 8 |
| 15. | Were the conclusions/recommendations of the study justified and based on the study results? (8 pts) | 3 |
| 16. Was there a statement disclosing the source of funding for the study? (3 pts) |  | 4 |
|  | (tatal score: | 80 |

## Appendix F. Study characteristics

| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Austin (1989 \& } \\ & \text { 1994) } \end{aligned}$ | Prospective cohort (by ear) <br> Selection for which ear to have tube inserted was based on chart number (even number = right ear, and vice versa) | US <br> Single center | NR | Children for whom tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy had been scheduled and who were known to have bilateral catarrhal otitis with a minimum 1 month follow-up. | NR | NR |
| Bernard (1991) \& Stenstrom (2005) | RCT | Canada <br> Single center | National Health and Welfare Research and Development Program, Ottowa Canada, grant 6606-2944-42. <br> Doctoral research fellowship from Health Canada, Ottowa, Ontario. <br> Medication provided by HoffmannLaroche Canada Ltd. | (1) age 2.5 to 7 years; <br> (2) longstanding <br> (greater than 3 months) effusion as indicated by type "B" tympanogram (in at least one ear) and otoscopic evidence (fluid/air fluid levels) of effusion at least 3 months preceding entry into the trial; <br> (3) at least two physiciandocumented trials of antibacterials for AOM or OME, of at least 10 days' duration in the 3 months preceding entry into the trial; (4) history of hearing loss (based on parental reports) of >3 months' duration; at the | (1) cervicofacial abnormality (cleft palate, Down syndrome); <br> (2) documented immune insufficiency; <br> (3) documented allergy to sulfonamide; <br> (4) previous insertion | For medical subjects: <br> AOM was diagnosed based on otomicroscopic findings (redness of the tympanic membrane, absence of landmarks) and acute-onset ear pair with or without fever or otorrhea. <br> For surgical subjects: the diagnosis of AOM was contingent on discharge from the ear and presence of pathogens commonly associated with AOM. <br> Using tympanocentesis |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | time of entry into the trial: <br> (5) hearing loss of at least 25 dB HL (hearing level based on the ANSI 53.61969 standard) air conduction at 2 or more frequencies $0.5,1,2$, and 4 kHz (pure-tone audiometry) in at least one ear; <br> (6) bone conduction thresholds within normal limits ( 0 to 10 dB HL ) bilaterally; <br> (7) otomicroscopic and tympanometric (type "B") evidence of MEE in at least one ear; and <br> (8) air-bone gap of $>15 \mathrm{~dB}$ at frequencies with elevated air conduction thresholds. |  | as a gold standard, the study otolaryngologist's sensitivity in diagnosing OME was 96.9\% (93/96 ears with effusion correctly identified) and specificity was $87.5 \%$ (21/24 ears with no effusion properly identified). <br> Superinfection in surgical subjects was defined as tube otorrhea and presence of Gram-negative bacteria (excluding Haemophilus influenzae) and was treated with otic drops for 7 days. In absence of culture results, tube otorrhea was on several occasions classified as an AOM episode by the child's primary care physician, these were counted as AOM, not as side effects of surgical treatment. |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black (1990) | RCT (randomized both by ear and by patient) | UK Single-center | Financial support from Oxfordshire Health Authority, Oxford Regional Health Authority, and the Department of Health and Social Security | Children aged 4 to 9 years old who were admitted for surgery for bilateral glue ear. | Previous operations on their tonsils, their adenoids, or their ears and those in whom there was evidence of cleft palate or any sensorineural deafness; children who were to have surgery for conditions other than glue ear e.g., adenoidectomy for alleviating gross nasal obstruction. | NR |
| Bonding (1985), Tos (1983 \& 1989), Khodaverdi (2013) | Prospective cohort | Denmark Single Center | NR | Children with bilateral OME | NR | NR |
| Brown (1978) | RCT (randomized by ear) | Wales <br> Single center | NR | Children aged between 4 and 10 years with seromucinous otitis media in both ears were included. | NR | Diagnosis was made on a careful history, otoscopy and pure tone audiometry. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | RCT (randomized by patient) | US <br> Single center | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grant: NIH R01 } \\ & \text { DC003205 } \end{aligned}$ | Patients with a documented history of bilateral middle ear effusion for at least 3 months, unilateral for 6 months or unilateral for 3 months after extrusion of one TT with the other still in place and have completed a course of 10 days of a broad spectrum antimicrobial agent within the last month | Previous tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy; previous ear surgery other than tympanocentesis or myringotomy with or without tube insertion; history of seizure disorder, diabetes mellitus, asthma requiring daily medication, or any health condition that could make entry potentially disadvantageous to the child; medical conditions | OME was defined as asymptomatic middle ear effusion or effusion without the symptoms of inflammation characteristic of AOM. |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | with a predisposition for MEE, such as cleft palate, Down syndrome, congenital malformations of the ear; cholesteatoma or chronic mastoiditis; severe retraction pockets; acute or chronic diffuse external otitis; perforation of the tympanic membrane; intracranial or intratemporal complications of MEE; upper respiratory obstruction attributable to tonsil or adenoid enlargement or both with cor pulmonale, sleep apnea or severe dysphagia; conductive hearing loss attributable to destructive changes in the middle ear; sensorineural hearing loss; distance from CHP that would make followup difficult. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | RCT (randomized by patient) | United States 3 centers | Grant DC00158 from the National Institute on Deafness and Communication Disorders, NIH | Infants and children 7 to 35 months of age who had developed 3 or more episodes of acute otitis media during the preceding 6 months, or 4 or more episodes during the preceding 12 months with the most recent episode having occurred during the preceding 6 months. | At the time of entry children were required to be free of middle ear effusion. Children were excluded who had potentially complicating or confounding conditions, e.g. asthma, chronic sinusitis or previous tonsillectomy or adenoidectomy. | The presence of erythema or white opacification (other than that caused by scarring), fullness or bulging and decreased mobility of the tympanic membrane. Or fever, otalgia and irritability. Otitis media |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with effusion was defined as the presence of middle ear effusion in the absence of all of these symptoms and signs except decreased mobility. |
| Caye <br> Thomasen <br> (2008) | Retrospective cohort study | Demark Single center | NR | (1) Children (age range NR) <br> (2) Chronic bilateral OME, duration $\geq 3$ months; <br> (3) Treated by myringtomy in one ear and TT in the other ear | NR | Type B tympanogram |
| COMET (Maw 1999, Wilks 2000, Hall 2009) | RCT (randomized by patient) | UK <br> Single center | NHS Research and Development Directorate | Children with bilateral OME for at least 3 months; assessment of hearing loss; disruptions in speech, language or behavior; referred to hospital | Cleft palate \& syndromes such as Down's, Hunter's, or Hurler's. | Bilateral type B or C2 tympanograms and hearing loss of 25-70 dB hearing level |
| Dempster (1993) | RCT (randomized by ear) | Scotland Single center | NR | Children aged 3.5 to 12 years old with a suspected hearing impairment with otoscopic evidence of bilateral otitis media with effusion that satisfied the following criteria: - Pure tone air conduction thresholds average over 0.5,1 and 2 kHz of $\geq 25 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{HL}$; <br> - An air-bone gap of over 0.5, 1 , and 2 kHz of $\geq 15 \mathrm{~dB}$; - Type B tympanogram (as defined by Fiellau-Niklajsen, 1983). | - Previous adenoidectomy or aural surgery; <br> - additional symptoms requiring surgical intervention, e.g., recurrent sore throat; - cleft palate. | Otoscopic evidence only by a previously validated otoscopist. |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D'Eredita 2006 | RCT (randomized by patient) | Italy <br> Single-center | NR | Children aged 2 to 6 years with OME for at least 3 months' duration. | Children who had a history of prior middle ear surgery or PE tube insertion, Down or other syndrome involving the head and neck, cleft palate or previous pharyngeal surgery, mental retardation or other known cognitive or psychiatric disorder. | NR |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { El-Sayed } \\ \text { (1996) } \end{array}$ | RCT (randomized by patient) | Saudi Arabia Single center | NR | Subjects were included if they had at least three attacks of AOM diagnosed, documented, and treated by their referring physician in the six-month period prior to referral. Only children who were under 3 years of age were included. | Children were excluded if they had a documented immune insufficiency or a cervicofacial abnormality) (e.g., cleft palate, Down's syndrome). | In the medical group, diagnosis of AOM was based on the inflammatory otoscopic findings and the acute onset of earache with or without otorrhea. For the surgical group, the diagnosis of AOM was contingent on ear discharge. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gates (1987, } \\ & \text { 1989) } \end{aligned}$ | RCT <br> Randomized, by patient, stratified according to age, sex, ethnic group, and previous placement of tubes | United States <br> Multicenter (5 sites) | Grants from the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-National Institutes of Health contract (NS-NO-1-02328) and Ross Laboratories (grant-in-kind) | Children 4-8 years of age in whom chronic effusion was suspected <br> Three pneumotoscopic assessments of the tympanum were permitted: normal mobility, intermediate, and abnormal (of fifteen possible assessments, with use of the criteria of Cantekin et al [17]) | Children with a history of previous tonsil or adenoid surgery, placement of tympanostomy tubes (within 2 years) cleft palate, or any other otologic diagnoses; children with chronic illness; children with diagnoses other than chronic effusion, with advanced or irreversible structural changes of the tympanum [1987, 1988, 1989], or children who | Based on pneumotoscopic and tympanometric findings from an otoscopist whose diagnostic ability was $\geq 95 \%$ in terms of sensitivity and $\geq 80 \%$ in terms of specificity. Judgment that effusion was present was based on an algorithm derived from the pneumatoscopic (in |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Author, } \\ \text { Year }\end{array} & \text { Study Design } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Country } \\ \text { Number of } \\ \text { Centers }\end{array} & \text { Funding Source } & \text { Inclusion Criteria } & \text { Exclusion Criteria } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Criteria for Diagnosis of } \\ \text { OME and/or AOM }\end{array} \\ \hline & & & \begin{array}{l}{[1987,1988,} \\ 1989] \\ \text { Supported by } \\ \text { the Xomed } \\ \text { Corporation } \\ \text { [1989] }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{l}\text { required daily medication } \\ \text { (with the exception of daily } \\ \text { allergy therapy) [1989]. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { which normal, } \\ \text { intermediate, and } \\ \text { abnormal mobility were } \\ \text { allowed) and } \\ \text { tympanometric }\end{array} \\ \text { findings. }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}\text { Tympanometric } \\ \text { findings were coded as } \\ \text { one of 15 types } \\ \text { (Cantekin et al. [25]), } \\ \text { and grouped into } \\ \text { probability of effusion } \\ \text { as low, intermediate, or } \\ \text { high. A positive fluid } \\ \text { score was given to ears } \\ \text { with abnormal } \\ \text { pneumatoscopy, or the } \\ \text { combination of } \\ \text { intermediate otoscopy } \\ \text { and either high- } \\ \text { probability }\end{array}\right]$

| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gebhart (1981) | RCT (randomized by patient) | United States Single center | Supported In part by a grant from the <br> Medical <br> Research <br> Foundation at Riverside <br> Methodist <br> Hospital and In part by NIH <br> Grant NSO 8864. | Patients were included who had at least three episodes of acute purulent otitis media diagnosed and treated by their referring physician in the 6-month period prior to referral. It was necessary for the multiple episodes of otitis media to have occurred in spite of adequate medical therapy with antibiotics. For inclusion in the study, patients also had to be under 3 years of age. | Patients with cleft palate and Down's syndrome were not included, nor were the few patients with recurrent tonsillitis associated with otitis media. | A: drainage through the tympanostomy tube into the external canal <br> B: entire tympanic membrane becoming erythematous and thickened with decreased mobility and the short process of the malleus no longer visible |
| Gonzalez (1986) | RCT (randomized by patient) | United States 2 centers | NR | Children between the ages of 6 months and 10 years were eligible for the study. Criteria for inclusion were three or more episodes of AOM during the previous 6 months or greater than four episodes in the previous 18 months. | Patients with cleft palate, Down's syndrome, previous tympanostomy tubes, or sulfonamide sensitivity were excluded. | AOM was defined as the rapid and short onset of signs and symptoms of inflammation in the middle ear. Diagnosis was also based on the following criteria: <br> 1. otalgia (ear tugging in the infant); <br> 2. fever; <br> 3. tympanic membrane erythema or bulging; <br> 4. decreased tympanic membrane mobility; <br> 5. loss of tympanic membrane landmarks; 6. otorrhea. |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kent (1989) | RCT (randomized by ear) | UK Single center | NR | Children suffering from bilateral secretory otitis media of more than 3 months duration, all listed for insertion of grommets under general anesthetic. | NR | NR |
| Koopman (2004) | RCT (randomized by ear) | The Netherlands Multicenter (7 sites) | Funding by The Sophia <br> Foundation For <br> Medical <br> Research and <br> The Revolving <br> Fund Sophia <br> Children's <br> Hospital, <br> Erasmus Medica <br> Centre, <br> Rotterdam, <br> Theia <br> Foundation, and <br> Silver Cross <br> Company. | Children aged less than 11 years, impaired hearing noticed by parents during at least 3 successive months, and bilateral OME. | Unilateral OME, poorly cooperative children, clinically admitted patients, asymmetric perceptive HL, and previously operated ears with other than myringotomy or ventilation tubes. | OME was defined as otitis media with middle ear effusions of any color, but without fever, otalgia, or otorrhea. Diagnosis was made by an otolaryngologist with binocular otoscopy, in combination with a tube B tympanogram or pure tone audiometry. A bilateral tympanogram type C1 or C2, classified after Jerger, was considered to support the diagnosis of OME. If the child was too young or failed at audiometric testing, the diagnosis was based solely on otoscopic findings and history. |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kujala (2012 \& } \\ & \text { 2014) } \end{aligned}$ | RCT (randomized by patient) | Finland Single center | Funding was received by Tiia Kujala from the Maud Kuistila Memorial Foundation, the Alma and K.A. Snellman Foundation, the Orion Pharmacy Foundation and the Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg Foundation, Finland. The funding enabled T.K. to concentrate largely on this work between 2002 and 2005. | Between 10 months and 2 years of age, at least 3 AOM episodes during the past 6 months and residence within 25 miles of the hospital | Chronic otitis media with effusion, a prior adenoidectomy or tympanostomy tubes, cranial anomalies, documented immunological disorders or ongoing antimicrobial prophylaxis for a disease other than AOM | The criteria for AOM consisted of the presence of acute upper respiratory symptoms together with middle ear inflammation and effusion (bulging and/or decreased mobility of the ear drum, air-fluid level) detected in pneumatic otoscopy, tympanometry or otomicroscopy, or otorrhea |
| Le (1991) | RCT (randomized by ear) | United States Multicenter (\# centers NR) | Research was supported by the Community Service Program of Kaiser Foundation Hospitals | Children with chart documentation of otitis events and all three of the following: <br> 1. Recurrent acute otitis media, defined for children below 1 year of age as four or more documented episodes, and for children between 1 and 6 years as six or more, during the year preceding the referral; or persistent middle ear effusion documented by | Patients with Down's syndrome, cleft palate, known immunodeficiencies, prior tympanocentesis, myringotomy, ventilating tube, adenoidectomy or tonsillectomy were excluded. | For acute otitis media there must be documentation of acute otalgia (fussiness, pain) and the description of erythematous and distorted tympanic membranes with effusion. (Descriptive terms such as "red and bulging," "bullous," "hemorrhagic" or |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | monthly <br> pneumatic otoscopy and tympanometry for three consecutive months. <br> 2. Bilateral disease of equal severity in each ear. The number of episodes of otitis media during the previous 12 months did not exceed the number in the other ear by more than one episode. <br> When hearing can be adequately evaluated in each ear preoperatively, the difference in hearing levels in one ear did not exceed that in the other ear by 5 dB . <br> 3. "Failure" of antimicrobial prophylaxis (usually with sulfisoxazole) defined as two or more "breakthrough" episodes of otitis while receiving prophylaxis for at least 3 months before study enrollment |  | "innamed" drums were accepted.) Otitis media with effusion was clinically diagnosed when there was no acute otalgia, but pneumatic otoscopy revealed decreased motility of the tympanic membrane from the presence of f1uids, and a type B tympanogram was documented. |
| Leek (1979) | Prospective cohort study | United States Centers NR | NR | All patients had bilaterally similar middle ear effusions and enlarged adenoids causing upper airway obstruction. | Children with allergic histories or allergic parents. | NR |
| Lildholdt (1983) | RCT (randomized by treating the right ear of | Denmark Single center | Grants from "Fonden for Laegevidenskabe | Previous treatment was accepted, such as various medications current | No concurrent disease, particularly cleft palate; previous use of tubes; | NR |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | children born on even dates, and the insertion of a tube in the left ear of children born on odddates) <br> Note: Stratified by ear |  | lig Forskning I Vejle Amt", <br> "Aage Holm og Hustrus <br> Mindefond", and The Danish <br> Medical <br> Research <br> Council. | prescribed, and also a previous adenoidectomy and/or tonsillectomy with or without a paracentesis. Occurring earache for a day with slight fever was also accepted. All ears had to have a middle ear pressure below 150 mm H 2 O on both sides, and a maximum difference of 100 mm water. A maximum difference of 15 dB was permitted in the mean hearing level at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz (pure tone average) in children where an audiogram could be obtained. | history of documented recurrent suppurative otitis media. If no aspirate was found during myringotomy + tube insertion procedure, the patient was excluded, irrespective of the content of the opposite ear (occurred in nine children). |  |
| Mandel (1989) | RCT (randomized by patient) | United States Single-center | Grant MCJ420434 from the Division of Maternal and Child Health, Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance; grant NS16337 from the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of | Infants and children between 7 months and 12 years of age with documented MEE of at least 2 months' duration, persisting after at least one 14-day course of an antimicrobial drug (usually amoxicillin) and pseudoephedrine hydrochloridechlorpheniramine maleate syrup, were eligible for the study. | Congenital craniofacial malformation; Down syndrome; systemic illness such as asthma, cystic fibrosis, or diabetes mellitus; seizure disorder; a history of tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy, or tympanostomy tube insertion structural middleear abnormality such at tympanic membrane perforation or adhesive OM; cholesteatoma; sensorineural hearing loss or conductive hearing loss not attributable to MEE; severe | Previously described in Cantekin 1983, which combines the findings obtained by a "validated otoscopist" with the results of tympanometry and middle-ear musclereflex testing. |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health, Bethesda, MD |  | upper airway obstruction; AOM; or purulent rhinitis. |  |
| Mandel (1992) | RCT (randomized by patient) | United States Single-center | Grant MCJ- <br> 420434 from the <br> Division of Maternal and Child Health, Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance; grant NS16337 from the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD | Infants and children between 7 months and 12 years of age with documented MEE of at least 2 months' duration, persisting after at least one 14-day course of an antimicrobial drug (usually amoxicillin) and pseudoephedrine hydrochloridechlorpheniramine maleate syrup, were eligible for the study. | Congenital craniofacial malformation; Down's syndrome; a chronic illness such as asthma, cystic fibrosis, diabetes mellitus or a seizure disorder; a history of tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy or tympanostomy tube insertion; severe upper airway obstruction; significant developmental or speech delay; a structural middle ear (ME) abnormality such as tympanic membrane perforation or adhesive otitis media; a sensorineural hearing loss or a conductive loss not attributable to MEE; cholesteatoma; and acute otitis media (AOM) or purulent rhinitis. Children whose pure tone average bilaterally or speech awareness threshold on audiometric testing was >35 dB hearing level ( HL ) also were excluded. | Previously described in Cantekin 1983, which combines the findings obtained by a "validated otoscopist" with the results of tympanometry and middle-ear musclereflex testing. |
| Maw (1991) | RCT | United Kingdom Single center | Funding NR | Established bilateral OME | NR | NR |
| Maw (1993, | RCT (random | United Kingdom | South West | (a) age between 2 and 11 | Maw 1994: Any case with a | Type B tympanogram; |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1994, 1994, } \\ & \text { 1994, and } \\ & \text { 1992) } \end{aligned}$ | number generator) | Single center | Regional Research Committee and Hearing Research Trust | years (but none were over 9); (b) pronounced subjective hearing loss; (c) pneumatic otoscopic confirmation of fluid in the middle ear of both ears; (d) tympanometry not showing a type A peaked curve ( $98 \%$ type B, 2\% type Cl or C 2 ); and (e) in excess of 25 dB pure audiometric or free field hearing loss in each ear at one or more frequencies. From Maw 1983: With bilateral OME. | type A tympanogram at any time was excluded. | pneumatic otoscopic confirmation of fluid in the middle ear of both ears |
| MRC (2004 subset of TARGET trial) | quasi-RCT (by patient) (see comments for details) | Ireland Single center | Medical <br> Research Council | age 3.25-6.75 years on a first visit; no previous ear or adenoid surgery; bilateral OME with effusion and better ear hearing level $(\mathrm{HL}) \geq 20 \mathrm{~dB}$ persistent for 3 months; underwent the Speech-innoise ( SiN ) automated toy test (ATT) | NR | Had on two qualifying visits, 3 months apart: a bilateral B + B or B + C2 tympanogram combination (modified Jerger), and better ear $\mathrm{HL} \geq 20 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{HL}$ averaged across $0.5,1,2$ and 4 kHz and air-bone gap $>10 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| Paradise (2001/ 2003otitis/ 2003early/ 2005/ 2007) \& Johnston (2004) | RCT (randomized by patient) | United States Multicenter (8 sites) | Grant from the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development and the Agency for Healthcare Research and | Children were eligible for randomization if: beginning at the age of 2 months and within the first 3 years of life, children had middle-ear effusion that appeared substantial in degree and that persisted, despite treatment with antimicrobial drugs, for | Birth weight of less than 2270 g ( 5 lb .); small size for gestational age; history of neonatal asphyxia or other serious illness; major congenital malformation or chronic illness; product of a multiple birth; had a sibling enrolled in the study; in | Used pneumatic otoscopy, supplemented by tympanometry, to evaluate the middle-ear status at least monthly (no further details provided); the cumulative |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quality and by gifts from <br> SmithKline <br> Beecham <br> Laboratories and Pfizer | 90 days in the case of bilateral effusion or 135 days in the case of unilateral effusion (children with intermittent bilateral or unilateral middleear effusion for specified proportions of longer periods were also eligible); written informed consent from parents or guardians | foster care or adopted; mother deceased, seriously ill, or a known drug or alcohol abuser, or (in the judgment of study personnel) too limited socially or intellectually to give informed consent or adhere to the study protocol; mother younger than 18 years of age; English not the only language spoken in the household. | proportions of days each child had unilateral and bilateral effusion, respectively, were estimated on the basis of diagnoses made at individual visits and interpolations for intervals between visits. <br> The term "middle-ear effusion" was used to encompass all types of otitis media in which effusion is present: acute otitis media with or without otorrhea, otitis media with effusion, and otorrhea through a tympanostomy tube |
| Popova (2010) | RCT (randomized by patient) | Bulgaria Single center | No funding source for the research | Children with documented history of bilateral middle ear effusion for at least 3 months and conductive hearing loss greater than 20 dB were included in this study. Authors confirmed that they also fulfill the criteria of other studies in this field | Patients were excluded from the study group if one of the following conditions were present: previous myringotomy with or without insertion of ventilation tubes, previous adenoidectomy or tonsillectomy, history of ear surgery, cleft palate, Down's syndrome, congenital malformations of the ear, | OME is defined as asymptomatic middle ear effusion without signs of inflammation characteristic of the acute otitis media (AOM). The presence of middle ear effusion was determined on the basis of certain criteria and tests that include tympanometry |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | cholesteatoma or chronic mastoiditis, perforation of the tympanic membrane, conductive hearing loss attributed to destructive changes in the middle ear, sensorineural hearing loss. | (Interacoustics AT235h) and pneumatic otoscopy by a validated otoscopist. Standard tympanometry (using a 226 Hz probe tone) was performed and tympanograms were categorized using the Jerger (1972) classification. Type B tympanograms and findings of fluid levels or bubbles on otoscopic examination validated the diagnosis. Diagnosis of AOM required the finding of middle ear effusion on otoscopy with at least one symptom, i.e., fever, earache or recent ear tugging, irritability and one sign of inflammation, i.e., erythema and/or white opacification of the tympanic membrane, otorrhea from a perforation of a previously intact tympanic membrane. For proper differentiation of |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | otorrhea episodes from AOM episodes we defined otorrhea as mucous or mucopurulent discharge from the ear with no symptoms of acute inflammation. |
| Rach (1991) \& Zielhuis (1989) | RCT (randomized by patient) | The Netherlands Single center | Grant from the Dutch Praeventionfund | Children aged 2-4 years with confirmed bilateral OME. Briefly, patients recruited from a birth cohort of 2 year old children who were screened for OME every 3 months. Those with bilateral flat tympanograms (Jerger type B) at two consecutive screens 3 months apart were referred for possible inclusion. Children with confirmed bilateral OME who did not have any exclusion criteria were eligible. | Congenital ear disorders (sensorineural loss) or defects in their speechproducing apparatus (e.g. cleft palate), neurological or serious visual disorders, emotional or mental troubles, chronic diseases with a history of $6+$ weeks of hospitalization, chronic otorrhea, or a history of or treatment for OME. Children not raised in a Dutchspeaking environment were excluded. | Bilateral type B tympanograms; OME confirmed during routine ENT exam that included impedance measurements (details NR). |
| Rovers (2000/ 2001) \& Ingels (2005) | RCT (randomized by patient) | Netherlands Multicenter (13 sites) | Dutch Investigative Medicine Fund of the National Health Insurance Board | Infants with persistent (4-6 months) bilateral OME and who failed 3 successive hearing screening tests, where in the last test there was a failure to respond to sound at 35 dB . | Down syndrome, schisis, asthma, cystic fibrosis, sensorineural hearing loss | Confirmed by tympanometry and otoscopy; classified according to the Maastrichts' Otitis Media With Effusion Study protocol |
| Ruckley (1988) | RCT (randomized by ear) | Scotland NR | NR | Children with bilateral secretory otitis media. | NR | NR |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shishegar (2007) | RCT (randomized by ear) | Iran <br> Single center | NR | Children with bilateral chronic middle ears effusion unresponsive to medical therapy | Children with a history of prior adenotonsillectomy, tympanostomy tube placement, dry middle ear, cleft palate, and perforated tympanic membrane were excluded from the study. | The diagnosis of OME is established by the presence of persisting middle ear effusion behind an intact tympanic membrane without other signs of inflammation such as redness and bulging. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TARGET (MRC } \\ & 2003 / 2012) \end{aligned}$ | RCT (randomized by patient) | UK Multicenter (11 sites) | Medical <br> Research Council | age 3.25-6.75 years on a first visit; no previous ear or adenoid surgery; bilateral OME with effusion and better ear hearing level $(\mathrm{HL}) \geq 20 \mathrm{~dB}$ persistent for 3 months | NR | Had on two qualifying visits, 3 months apart: a bilateral B + B or B + C2 tympanogram combination (modified Jerger), and better ear $\mathrm{HL} \geq 20 \mathrm{~dB} H \mathrm{~L}$ averaged across $0.5,1,2$ and 4 kHz and air-bone gap $>10 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| To (1984) | RCT (randomized by ear) | UK Single center | NR | Children under the age of 14 who presented with secretory OM. | (1) Children with asymmetrical hearing losses, in whom the mean hearing levels on the 2 sides showed a difference of more than 6 dB. (This figure was chosen for the following reason: a good audiogram has an error of +10 dB for each reading so that a significant difference can be taken as 12-14 dB and for the mean of 6 frequencies it can be taken as approximately 6 dB , that is, 14 dB divided by the |  |


| Author, Year | Study Design | Country Number of Centers | Funding Source | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria | Criteria for Diagnosis of OME and/or AOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | square root of 6). (2) Where grommets were inserted for established complications of the disease, such as retraction pockets and obvious thinning of the drum. |  |
| Vlastos (2011) | RCT (randomized by patient) | Greece <br> Single center | NR | Children were eligible for inclusion in the study if they were scheduled for an adenoidectomy due to sleepdisordered breathing, were older than three years of age and had otitis media with effusion (OME) in both ears. | Children with no signs of effusion (purulent or otherwise) at the time of myringotomy were excluded from the study. We also excluded children with chronic otitis media, structural changes (e.g. tympanic membrane retraction pockets, ossicular chain erosion or cholesteatoma), previous ear surgery, language delays, behavioural problems and syndromes. | The diagnosis of OME was based on otoscopy, tympanography and pure tone audiometry. Specifically, the presence of an opaque or thickened tympanic membrane, air-fluid level, or bubbles, or the inability to visualize the incudostapedial joint, were considered signs of OME, in children with a type B tympanogram (compliance <0.2 ml) and an audiogram with an air-bone gap of 20 dB or a hearing loss of 30 dB but no more than 55 dB in at least one frequency in both ears. |

## Appendix G. Results Tables for Key Question 1 (Efficacy and Effectiveness)

Appendix Table G1. Hearing levels by child: TT vs. WW for OME

| Hearing level* (mean $\pm$ SD) (dB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | WW | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Baseline | COMET | $\begin{aligned} & 38.3 \\ & (n=92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.6 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=90) \end{aligned}$ | -1.3 | NS |
|  | TARGET | $33.2 \pm 4.6$ ( $\mathrm{n}=126$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 33.8 \pm 4.8 \\ & (n=122) \end{aligned}$ | -0.60 (-1.78 to 0.58) | NS |
|  | Rovers | $\begin{aligned} & 46.4 \pm 1.1 \text { (SE) } \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.4 \pm 1.2(\mathrm{SE}) \\ & (\mathrm{n}=94) \end{aligned}$ | 3.0 (-0.21 to 6.21) | 0.0671 |
|  | Paradise | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| 3 mos. | TARGET | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \pm 6.9 \\ (\mathrm{n}=109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \pm 9.9 \\ (\mathrm{n}=106) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -11.90 (-14.19 to -9.61) | <0.0001 |
| 6 mos. | TARGET | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \pm 8.2 \\ (\mathrm{n}=106) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.1 \pm 10.1 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=105) \end{aligned}$ | -5.60 (-8.10 to -3.10) | <0.0001 |
|  | Rovers | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9 \pm 8.9 \dagger \\ & (\mathrm{n}=86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.7 \pm 8.9^{\dagger} \\ & (\mathrm{n}=84) \end{aligned}$ | -2.80 (-5.50 to -0.11) | 0.0418 |
| 9 mos. | COMET | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \pm 13.0 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.6 \pm 16.1 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=60) \end{aligned}$ | -5.10 (-9.95 to -0.25) | 0.0394 |
| 12 mos. | TARGET | $21.0 \pm 9.4(\mathrm{n}=110)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.5 \pm 10.1 \\ & (n=100) \end{aligned}$ | 0.50 (-2.15 to 3.15) | NS |
|  | Rovers | $\begin{aligned} & 33.2 \pm 7.2^{+} \\ & (\mathrm{n}=37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.7 \pm 7.9^{+} \\ & (\mathrm{n}=81) \end{aligned}$ | -1.50 (-4.52 to 1.52) | NS |
| 18 mos. | COMET | $12.7 \pm 11.5$ ( $\mathrm{n}=75$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 14.3 \pm 10.5 \\ & (n=73) \end{aligned}$ | -1.60 (-5.18 to 1.98) | NS |
|  | TARGET | $\begin{aligned} & 21.1 \pm 10.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=103) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.7 \pm 10.4 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=98) \end{aligned}$ | 1.40 (-1.47 to 4.27) | NS |
| 24 mos. | TARGET | $\begin{aligned} & 18.7 \pm 8.9 \\ & (n=108) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \pm 8.1 \\ (\mathrm{n}=102) \end{gathered}$ | 0.50 (-1.82 to 2.82) | NS |
| Age 6 years (~36-70 mos. f/u) | Paradise | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \pm 4.1(L) \\ & 6.2 \pm 4.1(R) \\ & (n=147) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \pm 3.4(\mathrm{~L}) \\ & 6.0 \pm 5.5(\mathrm{R}) \\ & (\mathrm{n}=134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70(-0.12 \text { to } 1.59)(\mathrm{L}) \\ & 0.20(-0.93 \text { to } 1.33)(\mathrm{R}) \end{aligned}$ | NS (both) |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Hearing measured by:
- COMET: pure tone audiometry in better ear (measured at 4000 Hz )
- TARGET: air conduction thresholds (average of thresholds measured at 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz )
- Rovers: pure tone audiometry in better ear (average of thresholds measured at 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz )
- Paradise: pure tone audiometry in each ear (average of thresholds measured at $500,1000,2000$, and 4000 Hz )
$\dagger$ Data obtained from 2010 Cochrane report ${ }^{1}$, which used patient-level data (the Rovers study reported mean $\pm$ SE)


## Appendix Table G2. Otorrhea: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Time Point | Parent-Reported Otorrhea (\% (N/N)) |  | Risk Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tt | Ww |  |  |
| Rovers | Baseline | 9.8\% (9/93) | 11.9\% (11/94) | -2.0\% (-10.9\% to 9.6\%) | NS |
|  | $3 \mathrm{mos}$. | 42.9\% (40/93) | 14.3\% (13/94) | 29.2\% (16.9\% to 41.4\%) | <0.0001 |
|  | 6 mos . | 49.4\% (46/93) | 9.9\% (9/94) | 39.9\% (28.1\% to 51.7\%) | <0.0001 |
|  | 9 mos . | 35.3\% (33/93) | 16.5\% (16/94) | 18.5\% (6.1\% to 30.8\%) | <0.0001 |
|  | 12 mos . | 37.6\% (35/93) | 16.5\% (16/94) | 20.6\% (8.2\% to 33.1\%) | <0.0001 |
|  | $\leq 12$ mos. (cumulative) | 83\% (77/93) | 38\% (36/94) | 44.5\% (32.0\% to 57.0\%) | <0.0001 |
| TARGET | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | <2\% of ears | 0\% | NC | NC |
|  |  | Otorrhea Episodes/Year (Mean) |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | Tt | Ww | Mean Difference | P-Value |
| Mandel 1989 | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.41 \\ & (n=30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & (n=29) \end{aligned}$ | 0.18 | NR |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G3. AOM episodes: TT vs. WW for OME

|  | AOM Episodes/Year (Mean) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | WW | P-Value |
| $\leq 12$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | Mandel 1992 | 0.23 <br> $(n=36)$ | 0.95 <br> $(n=35)$ | $<0.001$ |
| $\leq 36$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | Mandel 1992 | 0.51 <br> $(n=36)$ | 0.58 <br> $(n=35)$ | 0.74 |
|  | Mandel 1989 | 0.18 <br> $(n=30)$ | 0.38 <br> $(n=29)$ | NR |

NS: $p$-value $\geq 0.05$

## Appendix Table G4. AOM or OME episodes: TT vs. WW for OME

| Time Point | RCT | \% Of Time Spent With AOM Or OME |  | Mean Difference | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| 0-6 mos. | Paradise | 35\% ( $\mathrm{n}=183$ ) | 61\% ( $\mathrm{n}=183$ ) | -26\% | <0.001 |
| 0-12 mos. | Mandel 1989 | 16.4\% ( $\mathrm{n}=27$ ) | 56.3\% ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ ) | -39.9\% | <0.001 |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 17\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 64\% ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) | -47\% | <0.001 |
|  | Paradise | 29\% ( $\mathrm{n}=159$ ) | 48\% ( $\mathrm{n}=157$ ) | -19\% | <0.001 |
| 12-24 mos. | Mandel 1989 | 20.4\% ( $\mathrm{n}=27$ ) | 28.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=16$ ) | -7.8\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 49\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 38\% ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) | 11\% | NR |
| 0-18 mos. | Paradise | 28\% ( $\mathrm{n}=121$ ) | 41\% ( $\mathrm{n}=118$ ) | -13\% | <0.001 |
| 0-24 mos. | Paradise | 30\% ( $\mathrm{n}=57$ ) | 40\% ( $\mathrm{n}=62$ ) | -10\% | <0.001 |
| 24-36 mos. | Mandel 1989 | 25.0\% ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) | 19.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=16$ ) | 5.8\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 30\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 43\% ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) | -13\% | NR |
| 0-36 mos. | Mandel 1989 | 21\% ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ) | $38 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) | -17\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 31\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 49\% (n=35) | -18\% | NR |
|  |  | AOM Or OME Present (\% (N/N) |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | WW | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| 36-70 mos. <br> (at age 6) | Paradise | 10.9\% (22/201) | 11.9\% (23/194) | -0.9\% (-7.2\% to 5.4\%) | NS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~72-130 mos. } \\ & \text { (at age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | Paradise | 6.2\% (12/195) | 5.1\% (10/195) | 1.1\% (-3.6\% to 5.6\%) | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G5. OME episodes: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Time point | Bilateral OME present (\% (n/N) |  | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| Rovers | 3 mos . | 14.6\% (14/93) | 77.2\% (73/94) | -62.6\% (-73.7\% to -51.5\%) | <0.001 |
|  | 6 mos. | 29.3\% (27/93) | 65.9\% (62/94) | -36.9\% (-50.2\% to -23.6\%) | <0.001 |
|  | 9 mos . | 26.9\% (25/93) | 57.3\% (54/94) | -30.6\% (-44.0\% to -17.1\%) | <0.001 |
|  | 12 mos . | 26.6\% (25/93) | 53.2\% (50/94) | -26.3\% (-39.8\% to -12.8\%) | <0.001 |
|  | $3,6,9, \& 12$ <br> mos. | 3\% (3/93) | 26.6\% (25/94) | -23.3\% (-33.0\% to -13.7\%) | <0.001 |

Appendix Table G6. Attention and behavioral outcomes: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Outcome Measure | Subscale | Time Point | \% Patients ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
| COMET | Richman Behavior Checklist score $\geq 10$ | - | Baseline | 55\% (41/75) | 55\% (33/60) | NS |
|  |  | - | 9 mos. | 30\% (25/84) | 47\% (31/66) | 0.031 |
|  |  | - | 18 mos . | 24\% (16/67) | 20\% (11/56) | NS |
|  |  |  |  | Score (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  |  |
| RCT | Outcome Measure* | Subscale | Time Point | TT | WW | P-Value |
| COMET | Richman Behavior Checklist score | - | 9 mos . | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \pm 3.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \pm 4.1 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=66) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 18 mos . | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \pm 3.0 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 3.5 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=66) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (teacherreported) | Total score | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~28-82 mos. } \\ & \text { (age } 7-8 \text { yrs.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1 \\ & (n=27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \\ & (n=24) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Paradise | Child Behavior Checklist (parent-reported) | Total <br> Problems <br> (z-scores) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~0-34 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \pm 10 \\ & (n=202) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \pm 10 \\ & (n=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~12-46 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.1 \pm 10.9 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=197) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.2 \pm 10.1 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=187) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \pm 11 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=197) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \pm 11 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~72-130 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \pm 12 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=194) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \pm 11 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.0107 |
|  | " (teacher-reported) | Total Problems (z-scores) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~36-70 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \pm 11 \\ & (n=192) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \pm 11 \\ & (n=186) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 72-130 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \pm 11 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=189) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \pm 11 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=191) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.0772 |
|  | Children's Disruptive Behavior Disorders Rating scale (parentreported) | Inattention factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~72-130 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \pm 0.63 \\ & (n=194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \pm 0.66 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Impulsivity and over activity factor |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \pm 0.57 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \pm 0.54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Oppositiona I defiant factor |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \pm 0.58 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.52 \pm 0.53 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | " (teacher-reported) | Inattention factor | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 72-130 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71 \pm 0.74 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=190) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \pm 0.75 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=192) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Impulsivity and over activity factor |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.48 \pm 0.63 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=190) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \pm 0.52 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=192) \end{aligned}$ | NS |


| RCT | Outcome Measure* | Subscale | Time Point | ST |  | Score (Mean $\pm$ SD) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$)$

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G7. Academic achievement: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Outcome Measure | Subtest | Time Point | Score (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
| COMET | UK local school entry tests | Language | ~0-40 mos. (age 4.5 yrs .) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.0 \\ & (n=76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4.8 \\ (n=60) \end{array}$ | 0.006 ${ }^{+}$ |
|  |  | Reading |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & (n=76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & (n=60) \end{aligned}$ | NS ${ }^{+}$ |
|  |  | Writing |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & (n=76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & (n=60) \end{aligned}$ | 0.004 $\dagger$ |
|  |  | Math |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & (n=76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & (n=60) \end{aligned}$ | NS ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | SATS Key Stage 1 | Reading | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 28-82 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age } 7-8 \text { yrs.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & (n=64) \end{aligned}$ | NS ${ }^{+}$ |
|  |  | Writing |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.9 \\ (n=81) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.9 \\ (n=64) \end{array}$ | NS ${ }^{+}$ |
|  |  | Math |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & (n=64) \end{aligned}$ | NS ${ }^{+}$ |
| Paradise | Academic Achievement (Woodcock-Johnson III | Calculation | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 72-130 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \pm 13 \\ & (n=194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \pm 13 \\ & (n=195) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Tests of Achievement, Standard Battery) | Spelling |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \pm 13 \\ & (n=194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \pm 16 \\ & (n=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Writing |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 104 \pm 14 \\ (n=192) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \pm 15 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=195) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Literacy (Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests) | Word identification | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 72-130 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \pm 11 \\ & (n=195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \pm 12 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Word attack |  | $\begin{aligned} & 103 \pm 13 \\ & (n=195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 104 \pm 14 \\ & (n=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Page comprehension |  | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \pm 12 \\ & (n=195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \pm 12 \\ & (n=196) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Literacy (Oral reading fluency test) | (grade 3) | ~72-130 mos. <br> (age 9-11) | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \pm 36 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \pm 41 \\ & (n=37) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | (grade 4) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \pm 36 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \pm 38 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=97) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | (grade 5) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \pm 36 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \pm 37 \\ & (n=51) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | (grade 6) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \pm 32 \\ & (n=12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \pm 43 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=9) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

Appendix Table G8. Auditory processing: TT vs. WW for OME

| Time Point | RCT | Speech-Recognition Threshold (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| Baseline | Mandel 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2 \\ & (n=17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.2 \\ & (n=15) \end{aligned}$ | 3.0 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.1 \\ & (n=11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.5 \\ & (n=14) \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 | NR |
| 1 mos. | Mandel 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \\ & (n=17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.9 \\ & (n=15) \end{aligned}$ | -13.7 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 12.5 \\ (n=11) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18.4 \\ (\mathrm{n}=14) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -5.9 | NR |
| 2 mos. | Mandel 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & (n=17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 17 \\ (n=15) \end{array}$ | -10 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.2 \\ (n=11) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17.5 \\ (n=14) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -11.3 | NR |
| 4 mos. | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & (n=11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1 \\ & (n=14) \end{aligned}$ | -7.5 | NR |
|  |  | Speech-In-Noise (Sin) Mccormick Automated Toy Test (Mean $\pm$ SD) Db SPL) |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | WW | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Baseline | MRC 2004 (subset of TARGET trial) | $\begin{aligned} & 57.4 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.8 \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | <0.044 |
| $3 \mathrm{mos}$. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 51.3 \pm 2.4 \\ (\mathrm{n}=22) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.8 \pm 2.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=20) \end{aligned}$ | -1.50 (-3.09 to 0.09) | 0.06 |
| 3 mos.: change from baseline |  | -6.1 | -3.0 | -3.1 | 0.003 |
| 12 mos . |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 52.4 \pm 3.4 \\ (\mathrm{n}=27) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.7 \pm 2.0 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=16) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.70 (-0.19 to 3.59) | 0.08 |
| 12 mos.: change from baseline |  | -5.0 | -5.1 | 0.1 | NS |
|  |  | SCAN Scree <br> Processing | est For Auditory ers (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  |  |
| Time point | RCT | TT | WW | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | Paradise | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \pm 15 \\ & (n=178) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \pm 14 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=177) \end{aligned}$ | -1.0 (-4.0 to 2.0) | NS |


| Time point | RCT (subtest) | Hearing In Noise Test (Children's Version) (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sim 72-130 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | Paradise <br> (Competing noise from front) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.4 \pm 1.7(n= \\ & 195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6 \pm 1.6(n= \\ & 196) \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 (-0.1 to 0.5) | NS |
|  | (Competing noise from right) | $\begin{aligned} & -7.0 \pm 3.0(\mathrm{n}= \\ & 195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.0 \pm 2.4(\mathrm{n}= \\ & 196) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 (-0.5 to 0.5) | NS |
|  | (Competing noise from left) | $\begin{aligned} & -6.4 \pm 2.5(n= \\ & 195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.8 \pm 2.5(n= \\ & 196) \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 (-0.1 to 0.9) | NS |
|  |  | Speech-Recognition Threshold (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) In Right Ear At Any Time Point Through 36 Months |  |  |  |
| Subgroup | RCT | TT | WW | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Functioning tube | Mandel 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \pm 2.5 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \pm 3.1 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ | -1.4 (NC*) | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $6.9 \pm 2.7$ ( $\mathrm{n}=33)$ | $8.5 \pm 4.5$ ( $n=25$ ) | -1.6 (-3.5 to 0.3) | 0.0978 |
| Intact eardrum, no effusion | Mandel 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \pm 3.8 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \pm 4.5 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ | -0.9 (NC*) | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $7.8 \pm 3.8$ ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ) | $8.3 \pm 2.6$ ( $n=27)$ | -0.5 (-2.2 to 1.2) | NS |
| Intact eardrum, with effusion | Mandel 1989 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \pm 8.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.3 \pm 5.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ | -2.3 (NC*) | NR ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $18.7 \pm 6.0$ ( $n=32$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 21.4 \pm 7.9 \\ & (n=34) \end{aligned}$ | -2.7 (-6.2 to 0.8) | 0.1246 |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$; SPL: sound pressure level

* Not calculable as patient numbers were not reported for each subgroup
$\dagger p<0.001$ compared to threshold with functioning tube or intact eardrum without effusion


## Appendix Table G9. Reynell or Schlichting test (Speech and Language): TT vs. WW for OME

| Reynell Test Verbal Comprehension Standardized Scores (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | WW | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| 6 mos . | Rovers | $\begin{aligned} & -0.06 \pm 0.95 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \pm 1.05 \\ & (n=94) \end{aligned}$ | -0.12 (-0.4 to 0.2) | NS |
|  | Rach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \pm 0.61^{+} \\ & (\mathrm{n}=22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11 \pm 0.55^{+} \\ & (n=21) \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 (0.3 to 0.4) | NS |
| $9 \mathrm{mos}$. | COMET | $\begin{aligned} & -0.04 \pm 1.02+ \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.35 \pm 0.98^{+} \\ & (\mathrm{n}=77) \end{aligned}$ | 0.31 (0.0 to 0.62) | Adjusted $\ddagger$ : $\mathrm{p}=0.028$ |
| $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | Rovers | 0.87 | 0.59 | 0.28 | NR |
| 18 mos. | COMET | 0.39 ( $\mathrm{n}=81$ ) | 0.13 ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ) | 0.26 | Adjusted $\ddagger$ : $\mathrm{p}=0.04$ |
| Expressive Language Standardized Scores (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time point | RCT (test) | TT | WW | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| 6 mos . | Rovers (Schlichting) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.18 \pm 1.19 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \pm 0.74 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=94) \end{aligned}$ | -0.35 (-0.64 to -0.06) | 0.0166 |
|  | Rach (Reynell) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \pm 0.75+ \\ & (\mathrm{n}=22) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \pm 0.64 \dagger \\ & (n=21) \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 (-0.32 to 0.54) | NS |
| 9 mos . | COMET <br> (Reynell) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.62 \pm 1.27+ \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.00 \pm 1.25+ \\ & (n=76) \end{aligned}$ | 0.38 (-0.01 to 0.77) | Adjusted $\ddagger$ : $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{NS}$ |
| 18 mos . | COMET <br> (Reynell) | $\begin{aligned} & -0.07 \\ & (n=81) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.38 \\ & (n=71) \end{aligned}$ | 0.31 | Adjusted $\ddagger$ : $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{NS}$ |

NR: not reported; NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$
† Data obtained from 2010 Cochrane report ${ }^{1}$, which used patient-level data (all original studies missing some element of these data)
$\ddagger$ Adjusted for baseline confounders

Appendix Table G10. Other Speech and Language outcome measures: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Outcome Measure | Subtest | Time Point | Score (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
| COMET | Wechsler Objective Language Dimensions | Comprehension | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 28-82 \mathrm{mos} . \\ & \text { (age } 7-8 \mathrm{yrs} . \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \\ & (n=33) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Oral expression |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \\ & (n=34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 6.5 \\ (n=32) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  | Children's Nonword Repetitive Task | Non-word total score |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \\ & (n=35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.3 \\ (n=32) \end{array}$ | NS |
| Paradise | Receptive Language (Peabody Picture <br> Vocabulary testrevised) | - | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \pm 13 \\ & (n=203) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \pm 15 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=192) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.3 \pm 14.5(n \\ & =202-204) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.1 \pm 15.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \sim 36-70 \mathrm{mos} . \\ \text { (age 6) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \pm 14 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \pm 20 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Expressive Language | Number of Different Words | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \pm 32 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=205) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \pm 30 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149.9 \pm 34.3 \\ & (n=202- \\ & 204) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149.6 \pm 31.0 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183 \pm 36 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=188) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \pm 36 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=186) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Mean Length of Utterance (Morphemes) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \pm 0.7 \\ & (n=205) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \pm 0.7 \\ & (n=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \pm 0.8 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=202- \\ & 204) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \pm 0.7 \\ & (n=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \pm 0.8 \\ & (n=188) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \pm 0.7 \\ & (n=186) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Percentage of Consonants Correct-revised | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \pm 7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=205) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \pm 7 \\ & (n=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.0 \pm 5.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=202- \\ & 204) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.7 \pm 4.5(\mathrm{n} \\ & =193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ~36-70 mos. } \\ \text { (age 6) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \pm 2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=188) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \pm 3 \\ & (n=186) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Phonological memory | - | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.3 \pm 11.9(n \\ & =153) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.7 \pm 12.3 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=151) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0149 |
|  | (\% total phonemes correct) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \mathrm{mos} . \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 74 \pm 10 \\ (\mathrm{n}=182) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76 \pm 10 \\ (n=176) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0593 |
|  | Phonological awareness <br> (Comprehensive Test of Phonological | Elision | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~72-130 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 9-11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6 \pm 4.9 \\ & (n=195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 8.7 \pm 3.0 \\ (n=196) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | Rapid letter naming |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \pm 2.5 \\ & (n=193) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 9.6 \pm 2.4 \\ (n=196) \end{array}$ | NS |


|  |  |  |  | Score (Mean $\pm$ SD) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Outcome Measure | Subtest | Time Point | TT | WW | P-Value |
|  | Processing) |  |  |  |  |  |

NR: not reported; NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

## Appendix Table G11. Patient quality of life: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Outcome Measure* | Subtest | Time Point | Score (mean $\pm$ SEM) |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
| Rovers | TAIQOL <br> (TNO-AZL Infant Quality of Life) | Vitality | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.8 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 1.0 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \pm 0.5 \\ & (n=84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \pm 0.8 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Appetite | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 1.5 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=87) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 1.6 \\ & (n=89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \pm 1.6 \\ & (n=84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Communication | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \pm 2.3 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 6.4 \pm 2.0 \\ (n=91) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 6.7 \pm 2.3 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \pm 2.1 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \pm 2.0 \\ & (n=84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \pm 1.9 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Motoric | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \pm 2.5 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.1 \pm 2.8 \\ & (n=91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.4 \pm 1.1 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \pm 0.8 \\ & (n=84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \pm 1.0 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Social | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.8 \\ & (n=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.9 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Anxiety | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \pm 1.2 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \pm 1.1 \\ & (n=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 1.1 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \pm 1.0 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | $4.6 \pm 1.3$ | $4.3 \pm 1.1$ | NS |


| RCT | Outcome Measure* | Subtest | Time Point | Score (mean $\pm$ SEM) |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
|  |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=84$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=81$ ) |  |
|  |  | Aggression | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3 \pm 2.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.9 \pm 2.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 11.9 \pm 2.4 \\ (n=87) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 11.1 \pm 2.0 \\ (\mathrm{n}=89) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 11.8 \pm 2.4 \\ & (n=84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \pm 2.0 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Eating | Baseline | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.4 \pm 0.7 \\ (n=93) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.4 \pm 0.6 \\ (n=91) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.6 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 3.5 \pm 0.8 \\ (n=89) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.3 \pm 0.5 \\ (n=84) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.4 \pm 0.6 \\ (n=81) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | Sleeping | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \pm 2.2 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \pm 2.1 \\ & (n=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.8 \pm 2.1 \\ & (n=87) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.6 \pm 1.9 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 6.4 \pm 2.2 \\ (n=84) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 6.4 \pm 1.9 \\ (n=81) \end{array}$ | NS |

Appendix Table G12. Patient and parent interaction: quality of life: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Outcome Measure* | Subtest | Time Point | Score (mean $\pm$ SEM) |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
| Rovers | Erikson Child-Parent Interaction | Parent hostility | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \pm 0.7 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \pm 0.3 \\ & (n=91) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \pm 0.5 \\ & (n=89) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (n=84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Parent structure | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5.1 \pm 1.2 \\ (n=91) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4.8 \pm 1.5 \\ (n=87) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5.2 \pm 1.2 \\ (n=89) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \pm 1.0 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Parent respect | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=93) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5.3 \pm 1.3 \\ (n=91) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \pm 1.3 \\ & (n=87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.4 \pm 1.3 \\ & (n=89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \pm 1.3 \\ & (n=84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \pm 1.2 \\ & (n=81) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Parent | Baseline | $4.9 \pm 1.5$ | $5.4 \pm 1.3$ | NS |



| RCT | Outcome measure* | Subtest | Time point | Score (mean $\pm$ SD) |  | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | WW |  |
| Paradise | Parent-Child Stress <br> (Parenting Stress Index, Short-Form) | Parental Distress | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age } 3 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \pm 8 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=206) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \pm 9 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.4 \pm 7.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=201) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.3 \pm 7.2(\mathrm{n} \\ & =189) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 22 \pm 7 \\ (\mathrm{n}=194) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 23 \pm 8 \\ (n=189) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18 \pm 6 \\ (\mathrm{n}=206) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18 \pm 6 \\ (n=193) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \pm 6.1 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=201) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \pm 5.9(\mathrm{n} \\ & =189) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \sim 36-70 \mathrm{mos} . \\ \text { (age 6) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19 \pm 6 \\ (\mathrm{n}=194) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19 \pm 7 \\ (\mathrm{n}=189) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | Difficult Child | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \pm 8 \\ & (n=206) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \pm 9 \\ & (n=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ \text { (age 4) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.1 \pm 7.8 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=201) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.8 \pm 7.8(n \\ & =189) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 25 \pm 8 \\ (n=194) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \pm 9 \\ & (n=189) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Total stress | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ \text { (age 3) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 66 \pm 18 \\ (n=206) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 68 \pm 21 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=193) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.0 \pm 18.4(\mathrm{n} \\ & =201) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.3 \pm 17.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=189) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 36-70 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \pm 19 \\ & (n=194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \pm 22 \\ & (n=189) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

Appendix Table G13. Pain: TT vs. WW for OME

| RCT | Time point | Earache (parent-reported) (\% (n/N) |  | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| Rovers | Baseline | 18.7\% (17/93) | 29.7\% (28/94) | -11.5\% (-23.6\% to 0.6\%) | 0.0664 |
|  | 3 mos . | 22.0\% (20/93) | 18.7\% (18/94) | 2.4\% (-9.2\% to 13.9\%) | 0.6896 |
|  | 6 mos. | 27.9\% (26/93) | 17.6\% (17/94) | 9.9\% (-2.1\% to 21.9\%) | 0.1097 |
|  | $9 \mathrm{mos}$. | 23.5\% (22/93) | 19.8\% (19/94) | 3.4\% (-8.4\% to 15.3\%) | 0.5704 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 21.1\% (20/93) | 17.7\% (17/94) | 3.4\% (-8.0\% to 14.8\%) | 0.5583 |
|  |  | Fever (parent-reported) (\% (n/N) |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT | WW | Risk difference (95\% CI) | $p$-value |
| Rovers | Baseline | 51.1\% (48/93) | 45.6\% (43/94) | 5.9\% (-8.4\% to 20.2\%) | 0.4234 |
|  | 3 mos . | 43.3\% (40/93) | 34.1\% (32/94) | 9.0\% (-4.9\% to 22.9\%) | 0.2088 |
|  | 6 mos. | 36.8\% (34/93) | 37.8\% (36/94) | -1.7\% (-15.6\% to 12.1\%) | 0.8065 |
|  | $9 \mathrm{mos}$. | 38.1\% (35/93) | 48.8\% (46/94) | -11.3\% (-2.4\% to 2.8\%) | 0.1199 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 34.8\% (32/93) | 34.5\% (32/94) | 0.4\% (-13.2\% to 14.0\%) | 0.9580 |

Appendix Table G14. Surgery after initial treatment protocol: TT vs. WW for OME

| Surgery | RCT | Time point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| Tubes* | Paradise | $\leq 1$ mos. | NR | 2.0\% (4/196) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\leq 2 \mathrm{mos}$. | NR | 4.6\% (9/196) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | NR | 11.2\% (22/196) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0-34 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 3) } \end{aligned}$ | NR | 33.2\% (65/196) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12-46 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { (age 4) } \end{aligned}$ | NR | 38.3\% (75/196) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~36-70 mos. } \\ & \text { (age 6) } \end{aligned}$ | NR | 40.3\% (79/196) | NC | NC |
|  |  | ~72-130 <br> mos. <br> (age 9-11) | NR | 45.0\% (88/196) | NC | NC |
|  | COMET | 9 mos. | NR | 48\% (43/90) | NC | NC |
|  | Rovers | 0-6 mos. | 9\% (8/93) | NR |  |  |
|  | Rovers | 0-12 mos. | NR | 10.6\% (10/94) | NC | NC |
|  | COMET | 0-18 mos. | 19\% (17/90) | 88\% (79/90) | $\begin{aligned} & -69 \% \\ & (-79 \% \text { to }-58 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mandel } \\ & 1989 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 12$ mos. | 15\% (4/27) | 52\% (13/25) | $\begin{aligned} & -37 \% \\ & (-61 \% \text { to }-13 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.0047 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mandel } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 12$ mos. | 2.9\% (1/34) | 56\% (19/34) | $\begin{aligned} & -53 \% \\ & (-71 \% \text { to }-35 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
|  | Mandel 1989 | 12-24 mos. | 33\% (9/27) | 25\% (4/16) | 8\% (-19\% to 36\%) | NS |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mandel } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12-24 mos. | 23\% (7/30) | 33\% (11/33) | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \% \\ & (-32 \% \text { to 12\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Mandel $1989$ | 24-36 mos. | 8\% (2/25) | 6\% (1/16) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (-14 \% \text { to } 18 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mandel } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24-36 mos. | 22\% (6/28) | 28\% (8/29) | -6\% (-28\% to 16\%) | NS |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mandel } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 0-36 mos. | 35\% (13/37) | 71\% (25/35) | $\begin{aligned} & -36 \% ~(-58 \% \text { to }- \\ & 15 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0022 |
| Tubes $\pm$ | TARGET | $\leq 3$ mos. | 0.8\% (1/126) | 9.8\% (12/122) | $\begin{aligned} & -9.0 \% \\ & (-14.6 \% \text { to }-3.5 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.0014 |
| adenoidectomy <br> $\pm$ tonsillectomy |  | 3-12 mos. | 2.4\% (3/126) | 33.6\% (41/122) | $\begin{aligned} & -31.2 \% \\ & (-40.0 \% \text { to }-22.4 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
|  |  | 12-24 mos. | 10.3\% (13/126) | 14.8\% (18/122) | $\begin{aligned} & -4.4 \% \\ & (-12.7 \% \text { to } 3.8 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.2919 |
|  |  | 0-24 mos. | 12.7\% (16/126) | 56.6\% (69/122) | $\begin{aligned} & -43.9 \% \\ & (-54.4 \% \text { to }-33.3 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |

* Data do not include initial placement of tubes in the TT group.


## Appendix Table G15. Medication usage: TT vs. WW for OME

|  |  |  | \% (n/N) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | Medication Use | TT | WW | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Rovers | $\geq 12$ mos. | $\geq 1$ course of antibiotics | 34\% (32/93) | 22\% (21/94) | 12\% (-1\% to 25\%) | 0.0678 |
|  |  | $\geq 2$ courses of antibiotics | 20\% (19/93) | 12\% (11/94) | 9\% (-2\% to 19\%) | 0.1049 |
|  |  | $\geq 1$ course of antibiotic ear drops | 62\%* (57/93) | 10\% (9/94) | 52\% (40\% to 63\%) | <0.0001 |
|  |  | $\geq 2$ courses of antibiotic ear drops | 41\% (38/93) | 4\% (4/94) | 37\% (26\% to 47\%) | <0.0001 |

* The study reported that 57 TT children ( $39 \%$ ) were prescribed antibiotic ear drops and that 38 received more than one course. If 57 TT children received antibiotics, then this would correlate with $62 \%$ of $T T$ patients, which is quite different than the $39 \%$ reported by the study.

Appendix Table G16. Hearing levels by ear: TT (one ear) vs. no treatment (opposite ear) for OME

| Time Point | RCT | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) <br> (Air Conduction/Audiometry*) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT <br> (Unilateral) | No Treatment (Contralateral) |  |  |
| Baseline | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 33.5 \pm 6.3 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.4 \pm 7.1 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 (-2.1 to 4.3) | NS |
| 6 mos. | Black | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -3.5 (-6.9 to -0.1) | <0.05 |
|  | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \pm 9.0 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \pm 13.0 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -4.8 (-10.1 to 0.5) | 0.0769 |
|  | Lildholdt | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12 \\ & \left(72 \text { ears }^{\dagger}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 14 \\ & \left(72 \text { ears }{ }^{\text {t }}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 2$ | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \pm 9.1 \\ & (65 \text { ears }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \pm 10.9 \\ & \text { (65 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -11.3 (-14.8 to -7.8) | <0.001 |
| 12 mos . | Black | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -1.0 (-4.2 to 2.1) | NS |
|  | Dempster | $\begin{array}{\|l} 15.9 \pm 8.4 \\ \text { (35 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 8.4 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 (-3.7 to 4.3) | NS |
|  | Lildholdt | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12 \\ & (70 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 12 \\ & (70 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 0$ | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 19.8 \pm 9.6 \\ & \text { (78 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 28.6 \pm 10.9 \\ \text { (76 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -8.8 (-12.1 to -5.5) | <0.001 |
| 24 mos. | Black | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | 2.4 (-3.9 to 8.7) | NS |
|  | Lildholdt | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 14 \\ & (65 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 14 \\ & (65 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 0$ | NS |
|  | Maw \& | $20.9 \pm 9.3$ | $26.3 \pm 11.4$ | -5.4 (-8.9 to -1.9) | 0.0026 |


| Time Point | RCT | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) <br> (Air Conduction/Audiometry*) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT <br> (Unilateral) | No Treatment (Contralateral) |  |  |
|  | Bawden | (69 ears) | (71 ears) |  |  |
| 36 mos. | Lildholdt | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 13 \\ & (48 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 13 \\ & (48 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 0$ | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 19.8 \pm 9.4 \\ & \text { (57 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.5 \pm 10.5 \\ & \text { (65 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -3.7 (-7.3 to -0.1) | 0.0437 |
| 48 mos. | Lildholdt | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 10 \\ & (24 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 10 \\ & (24 \text { ears } \dagger \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 0$ | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 18.7 \pm 7.3 \\ & \text { (53 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \pm 8.8 \\ & (60 \text { ears }) \end{aligned}$ | -1.3 (-4.3 to 1.7) | NS |
| 60 mos . | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \pm 7.0 \\ & \text { (47 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 19.4 \pm 8.6 \\ \text { (56 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -1.8 (-4.9 to 1.3) | NS |
| 84 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 6.2 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 17.9 \pm 9.0 \\ (43 \text { ears }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -2.3 (-5.9 to 1.3) | NS |
| 120 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l} 15.5 \pm 7.1 \\ \text { (15 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 16.6 \pm 8.8 \\ \text { (20 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -1.1 (-6.7 to 4.5) | NS |
|  |  | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) <br> (Air Bone Gap $\ddagger$ ) |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT <br> (Unilateral) | No Treatment (Contralateral) | Mean Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| Baseline | Dempster | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.0 \pm 6.7 \\ (35 \text { ears }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32.2 \pm 7.0 \\ \text { (35 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.8 (-2.5 to 4.1) | NS |
| 6 mos. | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \pm 11.3 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6 \pm 11.0 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -5.3 (-10.6 to 0.02) | 0.0508 |
| 12 mos . | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 17.9 \pm 9.9 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \pm 10.0 \\ & (35 \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.9 (-4.0 to 5.4) | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Hearing measured by:
- Black: pure tone audiogram (measured from 250 to 4000 Hz )
- Dempster: air conduction (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).
- Lildholdt: audiography (no details reported)
- Maw \& Bawden: pure tone audiography (measured from 250 to 8000 Hz )
† Lildholdt: hearing levels measured in the subset of patients aged 5-10 years (and not patients aged 1-4 years).
$\ddagger$ Hearing measured by:
- Dempster: air bone gap (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).

Appendix Table G17. OME recurrence by ear: TT (one ear) vs. no treatment (opposite ear) for OME

|  |  | OME Present (By Otoscopy) (\% Ears) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | TT <br> (Unilateral) | No Treatment (Contralateral) | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Dempster | 6 mos. | 14\% (5/35) | 74\% (26/35) | -60\% (-79\% to -41\%) | <0.0001 |
| Maw \& Bawden |  | 17\% (13/78) | 80\% (57/71) | -64\% (-76\% to -51\%) | <0.0001 |
| Dempster | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 31\% (11/35) | 63\% (22/35) | -31\% (-54\% to -9\%) | 0.0089 |
| Maw \& |  | 37\% (29/78) | 78\% (62/79) | -41\% (-55\% to -27\%) | <0.0001 |
|  | 24 mos. | 31\% (22/70) | 63\% (45/72) | -31\% (-47\% to -15\%) | 0.0002 |
|  | $36 \mathrm{mos}$. | 35\% (20/57) | 41\% (24/59) | -6\% (-23\% to 12\%) | NS |
|  | $48 \mathrm{mos}$. | 24\% (12/51) | 41\% (24/59) | -17\% (-34\% to -0.04\%) | 0.0571 |
|  | 60 mos . | 7\% (3/45) | 31\% (17/55) | -24\% (-38\% to -10\%) | 0.0027 |
|  | 84 mos. | 12\% (4/33) | 15\% (6/40) | -3\% (-19\% to 13\%) | NS |
|  | 120 mos. | 7\% (1/15) | 10\% (2/21) | -3\% (-21\% to 15\%) | NS |
|  |  | (By Tympan | Present metry) (\% Ears) |  |  |
| Study | Time Point | TT <br> (Unilateral) | No Treatment (Contralateral) | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Dempster | 6 mos. | 34\% (12/35) | 79\% (28/35) | -46\% (-66\% to -25\%) | 0.0001 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 46\% (16/35) | 68\% (24/35) | -23\% (-45\% to 0\%) | 0.0551 |
| Lildholdt | 38 mos. (mean) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 41.3 \% \\ (62 / 150) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 48.7 \% \\ (73 / 150) \end{array}$ | -7.3\% (-18.6\% to 3.9\%) | 0.2025 |

Appendix Table G18. Hearing levels: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| RCT |  | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) (Air Conduction/Audiometry*) |  | Mean Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Point | Tt <br> (Unilateral) | Myringotomy (Contralateral) |  |  |
| Black | 6 mos. | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -7.4 (-13.4 to -1.4) | <0.05 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -3.7 (-7.8 to 0.4) | NS |
|  | 24 mos. | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -0.9 (-4.6 to 2.7) | NS |
|  |  | Appointments With Hearing Levels$\geq 20 \mathrm{Db})(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | Myringotomy | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | Gates (better ear) | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \pm 14.1 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=150) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \pm 19.5 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=127) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -8.5\% (-12.5\% to -4.5\%) | <0.001 |
|  | Gates (worse ear) | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \pm 22.7 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=150) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.5 \pm 25.3 \% \\ & (n=127) \end{aligned}$ | $-7.1 \%$ (-12.8\% to -1.4\%) | 0.0145 |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Hearing measured by:
- Black: pure tone audiogram (measured from 250 to 4000 Hz )


## Appendix Table G19. Otorrhea: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| RCT | Time Point | Otorrhea (\% (n/N) ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | Myringotomy |  |  |
| D'Eredita* | $\leq 3$ mos. (cumulative) | $27 \%(4 / 15$ <br> patients) | $13 \%(2 / 15$ <br> patients) | 13\% (-15\% to 42\%) | NS |
| Kent | 3 mos . | 0\% (0/30 ears) | 3\% (1/30) | -3\% (-10\% to 3\%) | NS |
|  | 6 mos . | 0\% (0/30 ears) | 3\% (1/30) | -3\% (-10\% to 3\%) | NS |
| Gates ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $29 \%(37 / 129$ <br> patients) | $22 \% \text { (24/107 }$ <br> patients) | 6.3\% (-4.5\% to 17.4\%) | NS |
|  |  | Otorrhea Episodes/Year (Mean) |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | TT | Myringotomy | Mean Difference | P-Value |
| Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.41 \\ & (n=30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \\ & (n=27) \end{aligned}$ | 0.26 | NR |
| Mandel <br> 1989 <br> (hearing loss subgroup) | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \\ & (n=11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & (n=12) \end{aligned}$ | 0.27 | NR |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Parent-reported otorrhea
† Gates: Distribution of episodes of patients with purulent otorrhea (\%):
- 0 episodes: $71 \%(92 / 129)$ vs. $78 \%(83 / 107)$ (p=NS)
- 1 episode: $18 \%$ ( $23 / 129$ ) vs. $13 \%(14 / 107)$ ( $p=N S$ )
- 2 episodes: $5 \%(6 / 129)$ vs. $6 \%(7 / 107)(p=N S)$
- $\geq 3$ episodes: $6 \%(8 / 129)$ vs. $3 \%(3 / 107)$ (p=NS)


## Appendix Table G20. AOM episodes: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| Time Point | RCT |  | TT |  | Myringotomy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time Of Time Spent With AOM |  | Myringotomy | Mean Difference | P-Value |  |
| $\mathbf{0 - 2 4}$ mos. | Gates | RCT | $4.1 \% \pm 5.9 \%$ <br> $(n=129)$ | $4.5 \% \pm 5.2 \%(n=107)$ | $-0.4 \%(-1.8 \%$ to $1.0 \%)$ |
|  |  | AOM Present (\% (n/N) |  | NS |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | Myringotomy | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| $\mathbf{0 - 2 4}$ mos. | Gates | $35.7 \%(46 / 129)$ | $44.9 \%(48 / 107)$ | $-9.2 \%(-21.7 \%$ to $3.3 \%)$ | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Mandel 1989 weighted mean from both subgroups: TT: 0.24 ( $n=41$ ); myringotomy: 0.50 ( $n=39$ ); MD -0.26, $p=N R$.

Appendix Table G21. AOM or OME episodes: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| Time Point | RCT | \% Of Time Spent With AOM Or OME |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | Myringotomy |  |  |
| 0-12 mos. | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | $16.4 \%$ ( $n=27$ ) | 56.6\% ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) | -40.2\% | <0.001 |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) | 9.8\% ( $n=11$ ) | 56.7\% ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) | -46.9\% | <0.001 |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 17\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 61\% ( $\mathrm{n}=38$ ) | -44\% | 0.01 |
| 12-24 mos. | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | 20.4\% (n=27) | 35.2\% ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | -14.8\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) | 28.3\% ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ ) | 39.9\% ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) | -11.6\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 49\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 29\% ( $n=38$ ) | 20\% | NR |
| 24-36 mos. | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | 25.0\% ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) | 25.5\% ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) | -0.5\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) | 30.3\% ( $n=9$ ) | 14.4\% ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) | 15.9\% | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 30\% ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ ) | 31\% ( $n=38$ ) | -1\% | NR |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | $\begin{aligned} & 34.9 \pm 23.5 \% \\ & (n=129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.1 \pm 25.2 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=107) \end{aligned}$ | -14.2\% (-20.5\% to -7.9\%) | <0.0001 |
| 0-36 mos. | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | 21.0\% ( $n=30$ ) | 41.0\% ( $n=27$ ) | -20\% | NR |


\left.|  |  | \% Of Time Spent With AOM Or OME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\right)$

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G22. OME episodes: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| Time Point | RCT | OME Present (\% (n/N) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | Myringotomy |  |  |
| 3 mos. | Kent | 0\% (0/30) | 7\% (2/30) | -7\% (-16\% to 2\%) | 0.1538 |
|  | Koopman | 18.5\% (38/208) | 62.9\% (131/208) | -44.7\% (-53.1\% to -36.3\%) | <0.0001 |
| 6 mos. | Kent | 3\% (1/30) | 40\% (12/30) | -37\% (-55\% to -18\%) | 0.0006 |
|  | Koopman | 29.3\% (61/208) | 60.9\% (127/208) | -31.7\% (-40.8\% to -22.7\%) | <0.0001 |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | 85.3\% (110/129) | 89.7\% (96/107) | -4.5\% (-12.9\% to 4.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | \% Of Time Spent With OME |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT | Myringotomy | Mean Difference | P-Value |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 31.8 \% \pm 23.2 \% \\ (n=129) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.6 \% \pm 24.5 \% \\ & (n=107) \end{aligned}$ | -14.8\% (-20.9\% to -8.7\%) | <0.0001 |

## Appendix Table G23. Auditory processing: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| Time Point | RCT |  | Speech-Recognition Threshold (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) |  |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT |  | Myringotomy |  |  |
| Baseline | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2 \\ & (n=17) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.2 \\ & (n=19) \end{aligned}$ | 2.0 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33.6 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=6) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30.2 \\ & (n=4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 19.1 \\ (n=11) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16.8 \\ (n=15) \end{array}$ | 2.3 | NR |
| 1 mos. | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 6.2 \\ (n=17) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & (n=19) \end{aligned}$ | -9.1 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) |  | 6.4 <br> $(n=6)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & (n=4) \end{aligned}$ | -9.4 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=11) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \\ & (n=15) \end{aligned}$ | -3.0 | NR |
| 2 mos. | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) |  | 7 1 <br> $(n=17)$ $(n$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16.9 \\ & (n=19) \end{aligned}$ | -9.9 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=6) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26.7 \\ & (n=4) \end{aligned}$ | -21.2 | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 6.2 \\ (n=11) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14.8 \\ (n=15) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -8.6 | NR |
| 4 mos. | Mandel 1992 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 6.6 \\ (n=11) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.9 \\ & (n=15) \end{aligned}$ | -10.3 | NR |
|  | Speech-Recognition Threshold (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) In Right Ear <br> At Any Time Point Through 36 Months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroup | RCT | T | T | Myringotomy |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Functioning tube | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \pm 2.5 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \pm 2.9 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ |  | -0.6 ( NC*) $^{*}$ | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \pm 3.5 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \pm 3.6 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.0 ( C $^{*}$ ) | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \pm 2.7 \\ & (n=33) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 3.6 \\ & (n=26) \end{aligned}$ |  | -0.4 (-2.0 to 1.2) | NS |
| Intact eardrum, no effusion | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \pm 3.8 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ |  | $7.4 \pm 3.8$ ( $n=N R$ ) |  | -1.2 (NC*) | NR |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \pm 4.0 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \pm 3.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ |  | -2.3 (NC*) | NR |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \pm 3.8 \\ & (n=30) \end{aligned}$ |  | $8.3 \pm 3.8(\mathrm{n}=29)$ |  | -0.5 (-2.5 to 1.5) | NS |


|  |  | Speech-Recognition Threshold (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) In Right Ear <br> At Any Time Point Through 36 Months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | RCT | TT | Myringotomy | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Intact eardrum, with effusion | Mandel 1989 (no hearing loss subgroup) | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \pm 8.7 \\ & (n=N R) \end{aligned}$ | $17.5 \pm 4.7$ ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}$ ) | 1.5 (NC*) | NR ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | Mandel 1989 (hearing loss subgroup) | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \pm 7.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \pm 8.7 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{NR}) \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 ( $\mathrm{NC}^{*}$ ) | NR ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.7 \pm 6.0 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 21.3 \pm 6.0 \\ (n=36) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -2.6 (-5.5 to 0.3) | 0.0791 |

*not calculable as patient numbers were not reported for each subgroup

## Appendix Table G24. Pain: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| Rct | Time Point | Earache (Parent-Reported) (\% (n/N)) |  | Risk Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tt | Myringotomy |  |  |
| Kent | 1 mos. | 3\% (1/30) | 3\% (1/30) | 0\% (-9\% to 9\%) | NS |
|  | 2 mos. | 7\% (2/30) | 10\% (3/30) | -3\% (-17\% to 11\%) | NS |
|  | 3 mos. | 7\% (2/30) | 17\% (5/30) | -10\% (-26\% to 6\%) | NS |
|  | 6 mos. | 10\% (3/30) | 23\% (7/30) | -13\% (-32\% to 5\%) | NS |

Appendix Table G25. Surgery after initial treatment protocol: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| Surgery | RCT | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference(95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | Myringotomy |  |  |
| Tubes* | Mandel 1989† (no hearing loss subgroup) | $\leq 12$ mos. | 15\% (4/27) | 58\% (15/26) | -43\% (-66\% to -20\%) | 0.0013 |
|  | Mandel 1989† (hearing loss subgroup) | $\leq 12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 10\% (1/10) | 67\% (8/12) | -57\% (-89\% to -24\%) | 0.0085 |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\leq 12$ mos. | 3\% (1/34) | 64\% (23/36) | -61\% (-78\% to -44\%) | <0.001 |
|  | Mandel 1989† (no hearing loss subgroup) | 12-24 mos. | 33\% (9/27) | 33\% (7/21) | 0\% (-27\% to 27\%) | NS |
|  | Mandel 1989† (hearing loss subgroup) | 12-24 mos. | 44\% (4/9) | 73\% (8/11) | -28\% (-70\% to 14\%) | NS |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 12-24 mos. | 23\% (7/30) | 26\% (9/34) | -3\% (-24\% to 18\%) | NS |
|  | Mandel 1989† (no hearing loss subgroup) | 24-36 mos. | 8\% (2/25) | 24\% (4/17) | -16\% (-38\% to 7\%) | NS |
|  | Mandel 1989† (hearing loss subgroup) | 24-36 mos. | 44\% (4/9) | 27\% (3/11) | 17\% (-25\% to 59\%) | NS |
|  | Mandel 1992 | 24-36 mos. | 22\% (6/28) | 16\% (5/31) | 5\% (-15\% to 25\%) | NS |
| Surgical retreatment $\ddagger$ | Gates | 0-24 mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 24.0 \% \\ (31 / 129) \end{array}$ | 45.8\% (49/107) | $\begin{aligned} & -21.8 \% ~(-33.7 \% \text { to - } \\ & 9.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0005 |
| Myringoplasty | D'Eredita | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 7\% (1/15) | 0\% (0/15) | 7\% (NC) | NS |

* Data do not include initial placement of tubes in the TT group.
† Mandel 1989 pooled data from no hearing loss and hearing loss subgroups (TT vs. myringotomy):
- $0-12$ months: $14 \%(5 / 37)$ vs. $61 \%(23 / 38)$
- $12-24$ months: $36 \%(13 / 36)$ vs. $47 \%(15 / 32)$
- $24-36$ months: $18 \%$ ( $6 / 34$ ) vs. $25 \%$ ( $7 / 28$ )
$\ddagger$ Gates 1987, 1989: most surgical retreatments were done according to the protocol, however patients were able to select an alternative treatment (further details NR)

Appendix Table G26. Medication usage: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| RCT | Time Point | Medication Use | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | Myringotomy | Risk Difference (95\% CI) |  |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for chronic otitis media | $\begin{aligned} & 84.5 \% \\ & (109 / 129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.9 \% \\ & (95 / 107) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-4.3 \% \\ (-12.9 \% \text { to } 4.4 \%) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | Medical retreatment for AOM | $\begin{aligned} & 48.1 \% \\ & (62 / 129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 56.1 \% \\ (60 / 107) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-8.0 \% \\ \text { (-20.1\% to 4.8\%) } \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | Mean Number Of Medical <br> Retreatments Per Child |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | Medication Use | TT | Myringotomy | Mean Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for OME | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \pm 1.75 \\ & (n=129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.30 \pm 1.69 \\ & (n=107) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.75(-1.19 \text { to - } \\ & 0.31) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0010 |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for AOM | $\begin{aligned} & 1.23 \pm 1.84 \\ & (n=129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.12 \pm 1.27 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=107) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11(-0.30 \text { to } \\ & 0.52) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

Appendix Table G27. Office visits: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

| RCT | Time Point | Office Visits | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | Myringotomy | Risk Difference (95\% CI) |  |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Unscheduled office visits for illness | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44.2 \% \\ & (57 / 129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 41.1 \% \\ (44 / 107) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.1 \% \\ \text { (-9.6\% to 15.7\%) } \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | Mean Number Of Office Visits For Illness Per Child |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | Office Visits | TT | Myringotomy | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Unscheduled office visits for illness | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \pm 1.4 \\ & (n=129) \end{aligned}$ | $0.7 \pm 1.2$ ( $\mathrm{n}=107$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.10 \\ (-0.24 \text { to } 0.44) \end{array}$ | NS |

Appendix Table G28. Hearing levels: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| RCT | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db)* (By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Point | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Mean Difference (95\% CI) |  |
| Popova | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 31.4 \pm 6.4 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=42) \end{aligned}$ | $32.3 \pm 6.5(\mathrm{n}=36)$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-0.9 \\ (-3.8 \text { to } 2.0) \end{array}$ | NS |
| Popova | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \pm 6.4 \\ & (n=42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \pm 5.5 \\ & (n=36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & (-2.3 \text { to } 3.1) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Vlastos (OME + sleep apnea) | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \pm 9.6 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=17) \end{aligned}$ | $28.9 \pm 10.3$ ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-5.2 \\ (-12.2 \text { to } 1.8) \end{array}$ | NS |
| Popova | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 6.3 \pm 5.3 \\ & (n=42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \pm 3.3 \\ & (n=36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & (-1.2 \text { to } 2.8) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Vlastos (OME + sleep apnea) | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \pm 9.7 \\ & (n=16) \end{aligned}$ | $25.5 \pm 10.9$ ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-2.3 \\ (-9.9 \text { to } 5.3) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | Appointments With Hearing Levels $\geq 20 \mathrm{Db}$ (\%) (By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | Gates (better ear) | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \% \pm 11.6 \% \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \% \pm 13.1 \% \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline-1.3 \% \\ (-4.4 \% \text { to 1.8\%) } \end{array}$ | NS |
|  | Gates (worse ear) | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \% \pm 22.1 \% \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $22.0 \% \pm 23.9 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=130$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \% \\ & (-5.3 \% \text { to } 6.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Hearing measured by:
- Popova: pure tone audiogram (measured from 500 to 4000 Hz )

Appendix Table G29. Hearing levels by ear: TT (unilateral) + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy (contralateral) + adenoidectomy for OME

| Time Point | RCT | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) <br> (Air Conduction/Audiometry*) |  | Mean Difference (95\% Cl) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TT (Unilateral) }+ \\ \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad |  |  |
| Baseline | To | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33.7 \\ \text { (54 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \\ & \text { (54 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | NS |
| 3 mos. | To | $17.1$ <br> (54 ears) | $21.4$ <br> (54 ears) | -4.3 | <0.05 |
| 6 mos. | Black | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -2.8 (-7.4 to 1.9) | NS |
| 12 mos . | Black | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | 1.0 (-4.0 to 6.1) | NS |
|  | To | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17.6 \\ \text { (54 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0 \\ & \text { (54 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -1.4 | NS |
| 24 mos. | Black | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -0.7 (-6.4 to 4.9) | NS |
|  |  | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) <br> (Air Bone Gapt) |  |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT <br> (Unilateral) | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Baseline | Ruckley | $\begin{aligned} & 21.4 \pm 6.5 \\ & \text { (36 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 21.0 \pm 6.6 \\ \text { (36 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.4 (-2.7 to 3.5) | NS |
| 3 mos. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 6.9 \pm 4.6 \\ \text { (36 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 7.4 \pm 3.2 \\ \text { (36 ears) } \end{array}$ | -0.5 (-2.4 to 1.4) | NS |
| 6 mos . | Shishegar | $\begin{array}{\|l} 17.62 \\ \text { (30 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 16.25 \\ \text { (30 ears) } \end{array}$ | 1.37 | NR |
|  | RCT | Hearing Level Improved By >6 Db |  |  |  |
| Time Point |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TT (Unilateral) }+ \\ & \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| 12 mos. (vs. baseline) | To | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 72 \% \\ (39 / 54) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 69 \% \\ (37 / 54) \end{array}$ | 4\% (-14\% to 21\%) | NS |


| Time Point | Cohort Study | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) (Air Conduction/Audiometry*) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { Ad }}{\text { TT (Unilateral) }+}$ | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad |  |  |
| Baseline | Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 29 \pm 10 \\ \text { (148 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 27 \pm 11 \\ \text { (148 ears) } \end{array}$ | 2 (-0.4 to 4) | NS |
| "Grommet period" (i.e., TT functioning) |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 12 \pm 5 \\ (135 \text { ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \pm 12 \\ & \text { (135 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -6 (-8 to -4) | <0.0001 |
| After TT extrusion |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14 \pm 9 \\ (106 \text { ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 14 \pm 9 \\ \text { (106 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 (NC) | NS |
| 12-36 mos. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 15 \pm 9 \\ (183 \text { ears }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 15 \pm 9 \\ \text { (183 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 (NC) | NS |
| 24-36 mos. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15.0 \\ & \text { (143 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.7 \\ & \text { (143 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 | NR |
| 72-84 mos. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11.7 \\ \text { (146 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11.1 \\ \text { (146 ears) } \end{array}$ | 0.6 | NR |
|  |  | Hearing Levels >20 Db |  |  |  |
| Time Point | Cohort Study | $\underset{\text { Ad }}{\mathrm{TT} \text { (Unilateral) }+}$ | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P -Value |
| Baseline | Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 85.1 \% \\ (126 / 148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70.3 \% \\ & (104 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | 14.9\% (5.5\% to 24.2\%) | 0.0022 |
| "Grommet period" (i.e., TT functioning) |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.4 \% \\ (6 / 135) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \% \\ & (42 / 135) \end{aligned}$ | $-26.7 \%$ (-35.2\% to -18.1\%) | <0.0001 |
| After TT extrusion |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 15.1 \% \\ (16 / 106) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.8 \% \\ & (22 / 106) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $-5.7 \%$ (-16.0\% to 4.6\%) | NS |
| 12-36 mos. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 21.3 \% \\ (39 / 183) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 24.0 \% \\ (44 / 183) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $-2.7 \%$ (-11.3\% to 5.8\%) | NS |


| Time Point | Cohort Study | Hearing Levels >30 Db |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TT (Unilateral) }+ \\ \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad |  |  |
| Baseline | Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 45.3 \% \\ (67 / 148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 43.9 \% \\ (65 / 148) \end{array}$ | 1.4\% (-10.0\% to 12.7\%) | NS |
| "Grommet period" (i.e., TT functioning) |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.5 \% \\ (2 / 135) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 19.3 \% \\ (26 / 135) \end{array}$ | $-17.8 \%$ (-24.7\% to -10.8\%) | <0.0001 |
| After TT extrusion |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8.5 \% \\ (9 / 106) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \% \\ & (9 / 106) \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | NS |
| 12-36 mos. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \% \\ & (19 / 183) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 12.0 \% \\ (22 / 183 \end{array}$ | -1.6\% (-8.1\% to 4.8\%) | NS |
|  |  | Hearing Levels >40 Db |  |  |  |
| Time Point | Cohort Study | $\begin{gathered} \text { TT (Unilateral) }+ \\ \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ | Myringotomy (Contralateral) + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Baseline | Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20.3 \% \\ (30 / 148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17.6\% } \\ & (26 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | 2.7\% (-6.2\% to 11.6\%) | NS |
| "Grommet period" (i.e., TT functioning) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 135) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 7.4 \% \\ (10 / 135) \end{array}$ | -7.4\% (-11.8\% to -3.0\%) | 0.0013 |
| After TT extrusion |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.7 \% \\ (5 / 106) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \% \\ & (5 / 106) \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | NS |
| 12-36 mos. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.2 \% \\ (4 / 183) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.2 \% \\ (4 / 183) \end{array}$ | 0\% | NS |

NC: not calculable; NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Hearing measured by:
- Black: pure tone audiogram (measured from 250 to 4000 Hz )
- To: audiogram (measured from 250 to 8000 Hz )
- Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi: pure tone audiogram (measured from 250 to 4000 Hz )
$\dagger$ Hearing measured by:
- Ruckley: air bone gap (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).
- Shishegar: air bone gap (no details reported)


## Appendix Table G30. Otorrhea: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | Otorrhea (\% (n/N)) <br> (By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Vlastos <br> (OME + sleep apnea) | $\leq 12$ mos. (cumulative) | 0\% (0/25) | NR | NC | NC |
| Popova* | $\leq 12$ mos. (cumulative) | 40\% (17/42) | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 36) \end{aligned}$ | 40\% | <0.001 |
| Casselbrant ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\leq 18 \mathrm{mos}$. (cumulative) | 41\% (9/22) | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & (2 / 22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & \text { (8\% to 56\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0160 |
| Gates $\ddagger$ | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | 24\% (30/125) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (14 / 130) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \% \\ & \text { (4.0\% to 22.4\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0053 |
| Casselbrant ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\leq 36$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 47\% (9/19) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18 \% \\ & (3 / 17) \end{aligned}$ | 30\% (1\% to 59\%) | 0.0626 |

NC: not calculable; NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Popova: Distribution of episodes of patients with otorrhea through 12 months:
- 1 episode: $24 \%(10 / 42)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 36)$
- 2 episodes: $12 \%$ (5/42) vs. 0\% (0/36)
- $\geq 3$ episodes: $5 \%(2 / 42)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 36)$
† Casselbrant: Distribution of episodes of patients with otorrhea through 18 months:
- 1 episode: $27 \%$ ( $6 / 22$ ) vs. $9 \% ~(2 / 22)$
- 2 episodes: $9 \%(2 / 22)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 22)$
- 3-4 episodes: $5 \%(1 / 22)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 22)$

Distribution of episodes of patients with otorrhea through 36 months:

- 1 episode: $21 \%(4 / 19)$ vs. $18 \%(3 / 17)$
- 2 episodes: $21 \%(4 / 19)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 17)$
- $3-4$ episodes: $5 \%(1 / 19)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 17)$
$\ddagger$ Gates: Distribution of episodes of patients with purulent otorrhea through 36 months:
- 0 episodes: $76 \%(95 / 125)$ vs. $89 \%(115 / 130)$ (RD $-12.5 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}-21.8 \%$ to $-3.2 \%), \mathrm{p}=0.0092$ )
- 1 episode: $20 \%$ ( $25 / 125$ ) vs. $9 \%(11 / 130)$ (RD 11.5\%, $95 \%$ CI, $3.1 \%$ to $20.0 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.0083$ )
- 2 episodes: $2 \%(3 / 125)$ vs. $1 \%(2 / 130)(p=0.6205)$
$\bullet \geq 3$ episodes: $2 \%(2 / 125)$ vs. $1 \%(2 / 130)(p=0.9685)$

Appendix Table G31. Otorrhea by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | Otorrhea (\% (n/N)) <br> (By-Ear Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Time Point | Tt + Ad |  | Myringotomy <br> + Ad | Risk Difference <br> (95\% Ci) |

Appendix Table G32. AOM: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | AOM (\% (n/N)) <br> (By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Popova* | $\leq 12$ mos. (cumulative) | 29\% (12/42) | 25\% (9/36) | 4\% | NS |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 18$ mos. (cumulative) | 27\% (6/22) | 27\% (6/22) | 0\% | NS |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 38.4 \% \\ & (48 / 125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 34.6 \% \\ (45 / 130) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.8 \% \\ \text { (-8.0\% to } 15.6 \%) \end{array}$ | NS |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | 53\% (10/19) | 53\% (9/17) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ \text { (-33\% to 33\%) } \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  | \% Time (By-Ch | th AOM (n) Analysis) |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | Tt + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \% \pm 5.7 \% \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \pm 5.2 \% \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \% \\ & (-1.0 \% \text { to } 1.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

NC: not calculable; NS: not statistically significant
*Popova: Distribution of episodes of patients with AOM:

- 1 episode: $17 \%(7 / 42)$ vs. $17 \%(6 / 36)$
- 2 episodes: $7 \%(3 / 42)$ vs. $8 \%(3 / 36)$
- 3 episodes: $2 \%$ (1/42) vs. 0\% (0/36)
- $\geq 4$ episodes: $2 \%(1 / 42)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 36)$

Appendix Table G33. AOM by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | AOM (\% (n/N)) <br> (By-Ear Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & \quad+\text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Ruckley | $\leq 3$ mos. (cumulative) | NR | 3\% (1/36) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AOM } \\ & \text { (By-E } \end{aligned}$ | ( $n / \mathrm{N}$ )) Analysis) |  |  |
| Cohort Study | Time Point | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Leek | $\leq 19$ mos. (mean) (cumulative) | 5.5\% (5/72) | NR | NC | NC |
| Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | After TT extrusion | 5\% (10/193) | 6\% (12/193) | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \% \\ & (-6 \% \text { to 4\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |

NC: not calculable

Appendix Table G34. AOM or OME: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | \% Time With AOM or OME (n) (By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | Tt + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 18$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 18.1 \% \pm 20.2 \% \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.7 \% \pm 24.9 \% \\ & (n=33) \end{aligned}$ | -17.6\% (-29.0\% to -6.2\%) | 0.0030 |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \% \pm 21.2 \% \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.2 \pm 25.0 \% \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | -4.4\% (-10.1\% to 1.3\%) | 0.1315 |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{array}{\|l} 20.6 \% \pm 16.4 \% \\ (n=31) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \% \pm 20.8 \% \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ | -10.5\% (-20.0\% to -1.0\%) | 0.0311 |

Appendix Table G35. OME episodes: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  | RCT | OME present (\% (n/N) (By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Point |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| 0-12 mos. | Popova | 10\% (4/42) | 14\% (5/36) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-4 \% \\ (-19 \% ~ t o ~ 10 \%) \end{array}$ | NS |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | 81.6\% (102/125) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 81.5 \% \\ (106 / 130) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{0.1} \mathrm{\%} \\ & \text { (-9.5\% to 9.6\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | \% of time sp (By-Child | ent with OME Analysis) |  |  |
| Time Point | RCT | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | $\begin{aligned} & 23.9 \% \pm 20.7 \% \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.1 \% \pm 24.4 \% \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | -5.2\% (-10.8\% to 0.4\%) | 0.0682 |

Appendix Table G36. OME episodes by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | OME (\% ( $n / \mathrm{N}$ )) <br> (By-Ear Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Ruckley | $\leq 3$ mos. (cumulative) | NR | 19\% (7/36) | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OM } \\ \text { (By-C } \end{array}$ | (n/N)) <br> Analysis) |  |  |
| Cohort <br> Study | Time Point | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Leek | $\leq 19$ mos. (mean) (cumulative) | 10\% (7/72) | 26\% (19/72) | -17\% (-29\% to 4\%) | 0.0096 |

NC: not calculable

Appendix Table G37. Cholesteatoma by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | Cholesteatoma (\% (n/N)) (By-Ear Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. | 0\% (0/150) | 0\% (0/151) | 0\% | NS |
|  |  | Chole (B | atoma (\% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ )) ar Analysis) |  |  |
| Cohort Study | Time Point | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | 12-36 mos. | 0\% (0/193) | 0\% (0/193) | 0\% | NS |
| Leek | NR | 0\% ears <br> (0/72 ears) | NR | NC | NC |

NC: not calculable

Appendix Table G38. Auditory processing by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| RCT | Time Point | Speech-Recognition Threshold (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad |  |  |
| Shishegar | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 25.6 \\ & (n=30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 24.8 \\ (n=30) \end{array}$ | 0.8 | NS |
|  | 6 mos . | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \\ & (n=30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=30) \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 | NS |

Appendix Table G39. Patient quality of life: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| RCT | Outcome Measure | Time Point | Score (mean $\pm$ SD) <br> (By-Child Analysis) |  | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad |  |  |
| Vlastos (OME + sleep apnea) | OM-6 | Change, 0-6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & -0.38 \pm 0.45 \\ & (n=22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \pm 0.40 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=22) \end{aligned}$ | -0.38 (-0.64 to -0.12) | 0.0050 |
|  |  | Change, 0-12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & -0.32 \pm 0.83 \\ & (n=20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \pm 0.47 \\ & (n=21) \end{aligned}$ | -0.33 (-0.75 to 0.09) | 0.1230 |
|  |  | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.6 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=25) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.5 \\ & (n=27) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 (-0.1 to 0.5) | NS |
|  |  | 6 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 1.88 \pm 0.34 \\ & (n=22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.04 \pm 0.53 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=23) \end{aligned}$ | -0.16 (-0.43 to 0.11) | NS |
|  |  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \pm 0.68 \\ & (n=20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.04 \pm 0.49 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=21) \end{aligned}$ | -0.20 (-0.57 to 0.17) | NS |

Appendix Table G40. Pain by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME


NC: not calculable

## Appendix Table G41. Surgery after initial treatment protocol: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| Surgery | RCT | Time Point | $\%(n / N)$ <br> (By-Child Analysis) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy <br> + Ad |  |  |
| TT | Popova | $\leq 12$ mos. (cumulative) | 2\% (1/42) | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Vlastos | $\leq 12$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | NR | 15\% (4/27) | NC | NC |
|  | Casselbrant | $\leq 18$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 10\% (3/32) | 24\% (8/34) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-14 \% \\ (-32 \% ~ t o ~ 3 \%) \end{array}$ | 0.1259 |
|  | To | $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 4\% (2/54) | 2\% (1/54) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2 \% \\ \text { (-4\% to 8\%) } \end{array}$ | NS |
|  | Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 29\% (9/32) | 24\% (8/34) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 \% \\ (-17 \% \text { to } 26 \%) \end{array}$ | NS |
| Tonsillectomy | Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 13\% (4/32) | 6\% (2/34) | 7\% (-7\% to 21\%) | NS |
| Surgical retreatment* | Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11.2 \% \\ (14 / 125) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.5 \% \\ & (15 / 130) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-0.3 \% \\ (-8.1 \% \text { to } 7.5 \%) \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \%(n / N) \\ \text { (By-Ear Analysis) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Surgery | Cohort <br> Study | Time Point | TT + Ad-Tons | Myringotomy <br> + Ad/Tons | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Bilateral TT | Leek | $\leq 19$ mos. (mean) (cumulative) | 15\% (11/72) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \% \\ & (15 / 72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \%(-18 \% \text { to } \\ & 7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| TT | Tos, Bonding, Khodaverdi | ```"Grommet period" (i.e., unilateral TT functioning)``` | 0\% (0/193) | 14\% (27/193) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-14 \%(-19 \% \text { to } \\ & -9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
|  |  | 12-36 mos. | 10\% (19/193) | 9\% (17/193) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1\% (-5\% to } \\ & 7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant
*Gates 1987, 1989: most surgical retreatments were done according to the protocol, however patients were able to select an alternative treatment (further details NR)

Appendix Table G42. Medication usage: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  |  | $\%(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N})$(By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | Medication Use | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for chronic otitis media | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 77.6 \% \\ (97 / 125) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 79.2 \% \\ (103 / 130) \end{array}$ | -1.6\% (-11.7\% to 8.5\%) | NS |
|  |  | Medical retreatment for AOM | $\begin{aligned} & 55.2 \% \\ & (69 / 125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 37.7 \% \\ (49 / 130) \end{array}$ | 17.5\% (5.5\% to 29.6\%) | 0.0051 |
|  |  |  | Mean Number Of Medical Retreatments Per Child |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | Medication Use | TT + Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for OME | $\begin{aligned} & 2.11 \pm 1.74 \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37 \pm 1.91 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=130) \end{aligned}$ | -0.26 (-0.71 to 0.19) | NS |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for AOM | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \pm 1.24 \\ & (n=125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \pm 1.00 \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | 0.37 (0.09 to 0.65) | 0.0091 |

Appendix Table G43. Medication by ear: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | Oral Antibiotics (\% (n/N)) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Time Point | TT + Ad | Myringotomy <br> + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Ruckley | $\leq 3$ mos. | NR | $3 \%(1 / 36)$ | NC | NC |

NC: not calculable

Appendix Table G44. Office visits: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  |  | $\%(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N})$(By-Child Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | Office Visits | T + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Unscheduled office visits for illness | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44.0 \% \\ & (55 / 125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 27.7 \% \\ (36 / 130) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \%(4.7 \% \text { to } \\ & 27.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0067 |
|  |  |  | Mean Nu Visits For | ber Of Office ness Per Child |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | Office Visits | TT + Ad | Myringotomy $+\mathrm{Ad}$ | Mean Difference (95\% CI) | P -Value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Unscheduled office visits for illness | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \pm 1.0 \\ & (n=125) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \pm 0.8 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=130) \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 (0.1 to 0.5) | 0.0085 |

Appendix Table G45. Hearing levels by ear: TT (unilateral) + adenoidectomy vs. Adenoidectomy for OME

| Time <br> Point | RCT | Hearing Level (Mean $\pm$ SD) (Db) <br> (Air Conduction/Audiometry*) |  | Mean Difference (95\% Cl) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TT (Unilateral) }+ \\ \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ | Ad |  |  |
| Baseline | Brown | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 25 \\ (60 \text { ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 23.1 \\ \text { (60 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.9 | NR |
|  | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 31.4 \pm 9.1 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.5 \pm 9.3 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -1.1 (-5.4 to 3.2) | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 31.51 \pm 8.58 \\ & (n=117) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.54 \pm 8.93 \\ & (n=118) \end{aligned}$ | -0.03 (-2.28 to 2.22) | NS |
| 3 mos. | Brown | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11.4 \\ (60 \text { ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16.6 \\ \text { (60 ears) } \end{array}$ | -5.2 | NR |
| 6 mos. | Black | NR <br> (38 ears) | NR <br> (38 ears) | -2.8 (-7.8 to 2.2) | NS |
|  | Brown | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16.7 \\ \text { (55 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { ~19 } \\ & \text { (55 ears) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\sim 2.3$ | NR |
|  | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \pm 9.0 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \pm 13.0 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -4.8 (-10.0 to 0.4) | 0.0689 |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 17.6 \pm 7.3 \\ (\mathrm{n}=98) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.3 \pm 10.0 \\ & (n=99) \end{aligned}$ | -3.7 (-6.2 to -1.2) | 0.0034 |
| 12 mos. | Black | NR <br> (38 ears) | NR <br> (38 ears) | -1.9 (-7.4 to 3.6) | NS |
|  | Brown | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 13.9 \\ \text { (55 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { ~14.9 } \\ & (55 \text { ears }) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\sim \sim 1.0$ | NR |
|  | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \pm 8.4 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 8.4 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 (-3.6 to 4.2) | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $19.1 \pm 7.9$ ( $\mathrm{n}=122$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \pm 9.5 \\ & (n=123) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -1.8 (-4.0 to 0.4) | 0.1083 |
| 24 mos. | Black | NR <br> (38 ears) | NR (38 ears) | -2.2 (-10.3 to 6.0) | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 18.1 \pm 8.8 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \pm 9.9 \\ & (n=100) \end{aligned}$ | -1.9 (-4.5 to 0.7) | NS |
| 36 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $17.3 \pm 8.2(\mathrm{n}=110)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \pm 7.9 \\ & (n=112) \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 (-1.8 to 2.4) | NS |
| 48 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $17.5 \pm 7.8(\mathrm{n}=100)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \pm 7.8 \\ & (n=102) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.9 (-1.3 to 3.1) | NS |
| 60 mos. | Brown | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17 \\ \text { (55 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 14 \\ \text { (55 ears) } \end{array}$ | 3 | NR |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l} 16.4 \pm 7.6 \\ (n=93) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \pm 8.1 \\ & (n=94) \end{aligned}$ | -0.6 (-2.9 to 1.7) | NS |
| 84 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $15.9 \pm 11.2(\mathrm{n}=65)$ | $14.8 \pm 9.2(n=67)$ | 1.1 (-2.4 to 4.6) | NS |
| 120 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7 \pm 7.0 \\ & (n=42) \end{aligned}$ | $14.6 \pm 5.7(n=43)$ | 0.1 (-2.7 to 2.9) | NS |



NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$
*Hearing measured by:

- Black: pure tone audiogram (measured from 250 to 4000 Hz )
- Brown: pure tone audiogram (measured from 500 to 4000 Hz )
- Dempster: air conduction (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).
- Maw \& Bawden: pure tone audiography (measured from 250 to 8000 Hz )
$\ddagger$ Hearing measured by:
- Dempster: air bone gap (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).

Appendix Table G46. OME recurrence by ear: TT (unilateral) + adenoidectomy vs. Adenoidectomy (RCT data) for OME

|  |  | OME Present (By Otoscopy) (\% Ears) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time Point | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tt (Unilateral) + } \\ \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ | Ad | Risk Difference (95\% Ci) | P-Value |
| Dempster | 6 mos . | 11\% (4/37) | 51\% (19/37) | -41\% (-60\% to -22\%) | 0.0002 |
| Maw \& Bawden |  | 11.6\% (13/112) | 49.1\% (56/114) | -37.5\% (-48.4 to -26.6) | <0.0001 |
| Dempster | 12 mos . | 24\% (9/37) | 46\% (17/37) | $-22 \%$ (-43\% to -0.4\%) | 0.0530 |
| Maw \& Bawden |  | 21.9\% (30/137) | 39.9\% (55/138) | -18.0\% (-28.7\% to -7.3\%) | 0.0013 |
|  <br> Bawden | 24 mos. | 21.7\% (23/106) | 33.3\% (36/108) | -11.6\% (-23.5\% to 0.2\%) | 0.0574 |
|  | $36 \mathrm{mos}$. | 10.2\% (12/118) | 20.2\% (24/119) | $-10.0 \%$ (-19.0\% to -1.0) | 0.0324 |
|  | 48 mos . | 10.6\% (11/104) | 12.3\% (13/106) | $-1.7 \%$ (-10.3\% to 6.9\%) | NS |
|  | 60 mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8 \% \\ (8 / 99) \end{array}$ | 18.0\% (18/100) | -10\% (-19\% to -1\%) | 0.0384 |
|  | 84 mos. | 7\% (5/68) | 6\% (4/70) | 1\% (-7\% to 10\%) | NS |
|  | 120 mos. | 5\% (2/43) | 18\% (9/49) | $-14 \%(-26 \%$ to -1\%) | 0.0442 |
|  |  | OME Present <br> (By Tympanometry) (\% Ears) |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time Point | $\begin{gathered} \text { TT (Unilateral) } \\ + \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ | Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Dempster | 6 mos. | 21\% (8/37) | 59\% (22/37) | -38\% (-58\% to -17\%) | 0.0010 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 51\% (19/37) | 49\% (18/37) | $3 \% ~(-20 \% ~ t o ~ 35 \%) ~$ | NS |
| Brown | $60 \mathrm{mos}$. | 2\% (1/55) | 4\% (2/55) | -2\% (-8\% to 4\%) | NS |

Appendix Table G47. OME episodes: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Adenoidectomy (RCT data) for OME

|  |  | OME Present (\% (N/N) <br> (By-Ear Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cohort Study | Time Point | TT + Ad | Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Austin | 1.9 mos. | $16 \%(5 / 31)$ | $23 \%(7 / 31)$ | $-7 \%(-26 \%$ to $13 \%)$ | NS |

Appendix Table G48. Cholesteatoma: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Adenoidectomy (RCT data) for OME

|  |  | OME Present (\% (n/N) <br> (By-Ear Analysis) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time point | RCT | TT + Ad | Ad | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| 60 mos. | Brown | $0 \%(0 / 55)$ | $0 \%(0 / 55)$ | $0 \%$ | NS |

## Appendix Table G49. Hearing levels by patient: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | Appointments with hearing levels <br> $\geq 20 \mathrm{~dB})(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time point | Study | TT | Myringotomy + <br> Ad | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | Gates <br> (better ear) | $10.1 \% \pm 14.1 \%$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=150)$ | $7.8 \% \pm 13.1 \%$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=130)$ | $2.3 \%(-9.2 \%$ to $5.5 \%)$ | 0.1606 |
|  | Gates <br> (worse ear) | $30.4 \% \pm 22.7 \%$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=150)$ | $22.0 \% \pm 23.9 \%(\mathrm{n}$ <br> $=130)$ | $8.4 \%(2.9 \%$ to $13.9 \%)$ | 0.0028 |

Appendix Table G50. Otorrhea: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | Otorrhea(\% (n/N)) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Time point | TT | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Casselbrant* | $\leq 18$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 36\% (8/22) | 9\% (2/22) | 27\% (4\% to 51\%) | 0.0329 |
| Gates ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 29\% (37/129) | 11\% (14/130) | 17.9\% (8.5\% to 27.4\%) | 0.0003 |
| Casselbrant* | $\leq 36$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 45\% (9/20) | 18\% (3/17) | 27\% (-1\% to 56\%) | 0.0806 |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$
*Casselbrant: Distribution of episodes of patients with otorrhea through 18 months (\%):

- 1 episode: $27 \%$ ( $6 / 22$ ) vs. $9 \%(2 / 22)$
- 2 episodes: 5\% (1/22) vs. 0\% (0/22)
- 3-4 episodes: $5 \%(1 / 22)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 22)$

Distribution of episodes of patients with otorrhea through 36 months (\%):

- 1 episode: $25 \%(5 / 20)$ vs. $18 \%(3 / 17)$
- 2 episodes: $15 \%(3 / 20)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 17)$
- 3-4 episodes: $5 \%(1 / 20)$ vs. $0 \%(0 / 17)$
†Gates: Distribution of episodes of patients with purulent otorrhea (\%) through 24 months:
- 0 episodes: $71 \%(92 / 129)$ vs. $89 \%(115 / 130)$ (RD $-17.1 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}-26.7 \%$ to $-7.6 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.0006$ )
- 1 episode: $18 \%(23 / 129)$ vs. $9 \%(11 / 130)$ (RD $9.4 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.2 \%$ to $17.5 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.0259$ )
- 2 episodes: $5 \%(6 / 129)$ vs. $1 \%(2 / 130)$ (RD $3.1 \%,-1.1 \%$ to $7.3 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.1485$ )
$\bullet \geq 3$ episodes: $6 \%(8 / 129)$ vs. $1 \%(2 / 130)(R D 4.7 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}-0.01 \%$ to $9.3 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.0519)$

Appendix Table G51. AOM: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AOM } \\ (\%(n / N)) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Time Point | TT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 18$ mos. (cumulative) | 23\% (5/22) | 27\% (6/22) | -4.6\% (-30.1\% to 21.0\%) | NS |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | 35.7\% (46/129) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34.6 \% \\ & (45 / 130) \end{aligned}$ | 10.4\% (-10.6\% to 12.7\%) | NS |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | 55\% (11/20) | 53\% (9/17) | 2.2\% (-30.2\% to 34.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | \% Time With AOM <br> (n) |  |  |  |
| Study | Time Point | TT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & + \text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Difference (95\% Cl) | P-Value |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{array}{\|l} 4.1 \% \pm 5.9 \% \\ (n=129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \pm 5.2 \% \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | 0.5\% (-0.9\% to 1.9\%) | NS |

Appendix Table G52. AOM or OME: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  | \% Time With AOM Or OME (n) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Time Point | TT | Myringotomy + Ad | Mean Difference (95\% Cl) | P-Value |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 18$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 11.9 \% \pm 16.2 \% \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.7 \% \pm 24.9 \% \\ & (n=33) \end{aligned}$ | -23.8\% (-34.3\% to -13.2\%) | <0.0001 |
| Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 34.9 \pm 23.5 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.2 \% \pm 25.0 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=130) \end{aligned}$ | 4.7\% (-1.2\% to 10.6\%) | 0.1203 |
| Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \% \pm 14.2 \% \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \% \pm 20.8 \% \\ & (n=31) \end{aligned}$ | -12.5\% (-21.5\% to -3.5\%) | 0.0076 |

Appendix Table G53. OME episodes: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| Time Point | Study | OME Present (\% (n/N) |  |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) |  |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | 85.3\% (110/129) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 81.5 \% \\ (106 / 130) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3.7\% (-5.3\% to 12.8\%) | NS |
|  |  | \% of Time Spent With OME |  |  |  |
| Time Point | Study | TT | Myringotomy + Ad | Mean Difference | P-Value |
| 0-24 mos. | Gates | $\begin{aligned} & 31.8 \% \pm 23.2 \% \\ & (n=129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.1 \% \pm 24.4 \% \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | 2.7\% (-3.1\% to 8.5\%) | NS |

## Appendix Table G54. Surgery after initial treatment protocol: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| Surgery | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & \quad+\text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| TT + Ad | Casselbrant | $\leq 18$ mos. <br> (cumulative) | 10\% (3/32) | 24\% (8/34) | -14\% (-31\% to 3\%) | 0.1259 |
|  |  | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | 25\% (8/32) | 24\% (8/34) | 2\% (-19\% to 22\%) | NS |
| Myringotomy | Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | 3\% (1/32) | 0\% (0/34) | 3\% (NC) | NS |
| Tonsillectomy | Casselbrant | $\leq 36$ mos. (cumulative) | 0\% (0/32) | 6\% (2/34) | -6\% (-14\% to 2\%) | 0.1668 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surgical } \\ & \text { retreatment* } \end{aligned}$ | Gates | $\leq 24$ mos. (cumulative) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24.0\% } \\ & (31 / 129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11.5\% } \\ & (15 / 130) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12.5\% (3.3\% to } \\ & 21.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0087 |

NC: not calculable; NS: not statistically significant

* Gates 1987, 1989: most surgical retreatments were done according to the protocol, however patients were able to select an alternative treatment (further details NR)

Appendix Table G55. Medication usage: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

| Study | Time point | Medication use | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | Myringotomy + Ad |  |  |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for chronic otitis media | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 84.5 \% \\ (109 / 129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 79.2 \% \\ (103 / 130) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.3\% (-4.1\% to } \\ & 14.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Medical retreatment for AOM | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 48.1 \% \\ (62 / 129) \end{array}$ | 37.7\% (49/130) | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \% ~(-1.6 \% \text { to } \\ & 22.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0924 |
|  |  |  | Mean number of medical retreatments per child |  |  |  |
| Study | Time point | Medication use | TT | Myringotomy + Ad | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Gates | $\geq 24 \mathrm{mos}$. | Medical retreatment for OME | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \pm 1.75 \\ & (n=129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37 \pm 1.91 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=130) \end{aligned}$ | 0.18 (-0.27 to 0.63) | NS |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Medical retreatment for AOM | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1.23 \pm 1.84 \\ (n=129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \pm 1.00 \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | 0.57 (0.21 to 0.93) | 0.0021 |

Appendix Table G56. Office visits: TT vs. Myringotomy + adenoidectomy for OME

|  |  |  | \% (n/N) |  |  |  | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Time point | Office visits | TT | Myringotomy + Ad |  | Risk difference (95\% CI) |  |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Unscheduled office visits for illness | $\begin{aligned} & 44.2 \% \\ & (57 / 129) \end{aligned}$ | 27.7\% (36/130) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16.5 \% \\ & \text { (5.0\% to 28.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0058 |
|  |  |  | Mean number of office visits for illness per child |  |  |  |  |
| Study | Time point | Office visits | TT |  | Myringotomy $+\mathrm{Ad}$ | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Gates | $\geq 24$ mos. | Unscheduled office visits for illness | $0.8 \pm 1.4(n=129)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \pm 0.8 \\ & (n=130) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40(0.12 \text { to } \\ & 0.68) \end{aligned}$ | 0.005 |

Appendix Table G57. Hearing levels by ear: TT (unilateral) vs. No procedure (unilateral) + adenoidectomy for OME

| Time point | RCT | Hearing level (mean $\pm$ SD) (dB) (Air conduction/audiometry*) |  | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT (unilateral) | Ad |  |  |
| Baseline | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 33.5 \pm 6.3 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.5 \pm 9.3 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 (-2.76 to 4.76) | NS |
|  |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30.90 \pm 8.98 \\ (73 \text { ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31.54 \pm \\ & 8.93 \text { (118 } \\ & \text { ears) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -0.64 (-3.27 to 1.99) | NS |
| 6 mos . | Dempster | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 13.2 \pm 9.0 \\ (35 \text { ears }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \pm 13.0 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -4.8 (-10.08 to 0.48) | 0.0743 |
|  |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \pm 9.1 \\ & \text { (65 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.3 \pm 10.0 \\ & \text { (99 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -3.00 (-6.04 to 0.04) | 0.0533 |
| $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \pm 8.4 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 8.4 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.30 (-3.65 to 4.25) | NS |
|  | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 19.8 \pm 9.6 \\ & \text { (78 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \pm 9.5 \\ & (123 \text { ears }) \end{aligned}$ | -1.10 (-3.82 to 1.62) | NS |
| 24 mos. |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \pm 9.3 \\ & \text { (69 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \pm 9.9 \\ & (100 \text { ears }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.90 (-2.09 to 3.89 | NS |
| $36 \mathrm{mos}$. |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 19.8 \pm 9.4 \\ \text { (57 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.0 \pm 7.9 \\ & (112 \text { ears }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 (0.09 to 5.51) | 0.0428 |
| $48 \mathrm{mos}$. |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 18.7 \pm 7.3 \\ \text { (53 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \pm 7.8 \\ & \text { (102 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 2.10 (0.60 to 3.61) | 0.0066 |
| 60 mos. | Maw \& Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \pm 7.0 \\ & \text { (47 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \pm 8.1 \\ & \text { (94 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.60 (-2.14 to 3.34) | NS |
| 84 mos. |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{array}{\|l} 15.6 \pm 6.2 \\ \text { (35 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \pm 9.2 \\ & \text { (67 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80 (-2.64 to 4.24) | NS |
| 120 mos. |  <br> Bawden | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \pm 7.1 \\ & \text { (15 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \pm 5.7 \\ & (43 \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.90 (-2.75 to 4.55) | NS |
|  |  | Hearing level (mean $\pm$ SD) (dB) <br> (Air bone gap $\ddagger$ ) |  |  |  |
| Time point | RCT | TT (unilateral) | Ad | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Baseline | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 33.0 \pm 6.7 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.8 \pm 8.5 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.20 (-2.41 to 4.81) | NS |
| 6 mos. | Dempster | $\begin{array}{\|l} 17.3 \pm 11.3 \\ \text { (35 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.4 \pm 11.5 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | -3.10 (-8.46 to 2.26) | NS |
| $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | Dempster | $\begin{aligned} & 17.9 \pm 9.9 \\ & \text { (35 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \pm 10.6 \\ & \text { (37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70 (-4.13 to 5.53) | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$
*Hearing measured by:

- Dempster: air conduction (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).
- Maw \& Bawden: pure tone audiography (measured from 250 to 8000 Hz )
$\ddagger$ Hearing measured by:
- Dempster: air bone gap (measured at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz ).

Appendix Table G58. OME recurrence by ear: TT (unilateral) vs. No procedure (unilateral) + adenoidectomy for OME

| RCT | Time point | OME present (by otoscopy) (\% ears) |  | Risk difference (95\% CI) | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT (unilateral) | Ad |  |  |
| Dempster | 6 mos . | 14\% (5/35) | 51\% (19/37) | -37.1\% (-56.9\% to -17.2\%) | 0.0009 |
|  <br> Bawden |  | 17\% (13/78) | 49.1\% (56/114) | -32.5\% (-44.8\% to -20.1\%) | <0.001 |
| Dempster | 12 mos. | 31\% (11/35) | 46\% (17/37) | -14.5\% (-36.8\% to 7.7\%) | NS |
| Maw \& Bawden |  | 37\% (29/78) | 39.9\% (55/138) | -2.7\% (-16.2\% to 10.8\%) | NS |
| Maw \& Bawden | 24 mos. | 31\% (22/70) | 33.3\% (36/108) | $-1.9 \%$ (-16.0\% to 12.1\%) | NS |
|  | $36 \mathrm{mos}$. | 35\% (20/57) | 20.2\% (24/119) | 14.9\% (0.5\% to 29.3\%) | 0.0329 |
|  | $48 \mathrm{mos}$. | 24\% (12/51) | 12.3\% (13/106) | 11.3\% (-2.0\% to 24.5\%) | 0.0717 |
|  | $60 \mathrm{mos}$. | 7\% (3/45) | 18.0\% (18/100) | -11.3\% (-21.8\% to -0.9\%) | 0.0738 |
|  | 84 mos. | 12\% (4/33) | 6\% (4/70) | $6.4 \%$ (-6.0\% to 18.8\%) | NS |
|  | 120 mos. | 7\% (1/15) | 18\% (9/49) | -11.7\% (-28.3\% to 4.9\%) | NS |
|  |  | OME present <br> (by tympanometry) (\% ears) |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT (unilateral) | Ad | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Dempster | 6 mos. | 34\% (12/35) | 59\% (22/37) | -25.2\% (-47.5\% to -2.9\%) | 0.0337 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | 46\% (16/35) | 49\% (18/37) | -2.9\% (-26.0\% to 20.1\%) | NS |

Appendix Table G59. Hearing levels by child: TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| Hearing level* (mean $\pm$ SD) (dB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | Baseline | 29.6 ( $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) | 30.7 ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) | -1.1 | NS |
|  | 2 mos. | ~11 ( $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) | ~20 ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) | ~-9 | <0.001 |
|  | 4 mos. | ${ }^{\sim} 12$ ( $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) | ${ }^{\sim} 17$ ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) | $\sim-5$ | 0.0132 |
|  | 6 mos . | $\sim 12$ ( $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) | ~13 ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) | $\sim-1$ | NS |
|  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | ~14 ( $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) | $\sim 15$ ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) | $\sim-1$ | NS |
|  | $18 \mathrm{mos}$. | ~11 ( $\mathrm{n}=65$ ) | ~11 ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) | $\sim 0$ | NS |
|  | 72-120 mos. | ~10 ( $\mathrm{n}=38$ who received TT only once) | ~5 (n=27 who never received TT) | $\sim 5$ | <0.05 |
|  |  | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=56$ as randomized) | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=57$ as randomized) | 2.1-4.7 higher in TT patients across different frequencies | 0.15 |
|  |  | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=86$ patients who received TT regardless treatment allocation) | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=27$ <br> patients who never received TT) | 5.1-10.8 higher in TT patients across different frequencies | <0.001 |
| Hearing level > 25dB |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | Baseline | 100\% (65/65) | 100\% (60/60) | 0\% | NS |
|  | 2 mos. | NR | NR | NC | <0.001 |
|  | 4 mos. | NR | NR | NC | 0.001 |
|  | 6 mos. | NR | NR | NC | NS |
|  | 12 mos. | NR | NR | NC | NS |
|  | 18 mos. | NR | NR | NC | NS |
| Hearing level > 15dB |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | 72-120 mos. | 37\% (14/38 who received TT only once) | 11\% (3/27 who never received TT) | 26\% (6\% to 45\%) | 0.0210 |
|  |  | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=56$ as randomized) | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=57$ as randomized) | RR 1.8 higher in TT group (95\% Cl 1.1, 3.1) | <0.05 |
|  |  | NR ( $\mathrm{n}=86$ patients who received TT regardless treatment allocation) | $\text { NR ( } n=27$ <br> patients who never received TT) | RR 3.8 higher in TT group (95\% CI 1.3, 11.3) | <0.05 |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.05$ )

* Hearing thresholds according to pure-tone audiometry (mean of thresholds at 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz )

Appendix Table G60. "Treatment failure*": TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| Treatment failure* ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | 6 mos. | 20\% (12/60) | 34\% (22/65) | -14\% (-29\% to 1\%) | 0.0834 |
|  | 12 mos . | 40\% (24/60) | 60\% (39/65) | -20\% (-37\% to -3\%) | 0.0261 |
|  | 18 mos . | 48\% (29/60) | 68\% (44/65) | -19\% (-36\% to -2\%) | 0.0289 |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ )

* Treatment failure was a composite outcome that was met when a patient met any of the following: (1) persistent/recurrent MEE and associated hearing loss ( $>25 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{HL}$ at 2 or more frequencies $0.5,1,2$, and 4 kHz , in at least one ear); (2) allergic reaction to sulfonamide (for medical group only); or (3) three or more AOM episodes over a 6-month period of the study

Appendix Table G61. Academic achievement: TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| School performance not adequate (parent-reported)* ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | 72-120 mos. | 13\% <br> (5/38 who received TT only once) | 7\% <br> (2/27 who never received TT) | 6\% (-9\% to 20\%) | NS |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.05$ )

* No definition reported


## Appendix Table G62. Parent satisfaction: TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| Parental satisfaction with treatment* ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | 72-120 mos. | 92\% <br> (35/38 who received TT only once) | 81\% <br> (22/27 who never received TT) | 11\% (-6\% to 28\%) | NS |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ )

* No definition reported

Appendix Table G63. Pain or decreased hearing (parent-reported): TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| Ear complaints* (n/N) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | 72-120 mos. | 29\% <br> (11/38 who received TT only once) | 11\% <br> (3/27 who never received TT) | 18\% (-1\% to 37\%) | NS |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ )

* Pain or decreased hearing (parent-reported)

Appendix Table G64. Surgery: TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| TT (re)insertion ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | $\leq 18$ mos. | 38\% (23/60) | 48\% (31/65) | -9\% (-27\% to 8\%) | NS |
|  | 72-120 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \% \\ & (18 / 56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (30 / 57) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -20\% (-38\% to -3\%) | 0.0283 |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ )

Appendix Table G65. Medication: TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| Sulfonamide (re)treatment for 6 <br> months) ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Bernard | $\leq 18$ mos. | $10 \%(6 / 60)$ | $20 \%(13 / 65)$ | $-10 \%(-22 \%$ to $2 \%)$ | 0.1212 |

NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ )

Appendix Table G66. Hearing levels by child: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

| \% of time with hearing level > 15dB* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 24$ mos. | $10 \%$ of time $\text { ( } \mathrm{n}=77 \text { ) }$ | $12 \%$ of time (n=86) | -2\% | NR |
| Moderately severe sensorineural hearing loss |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Gebhart | 42 mos . | 2\% (1/54) $\dagger$ | 0\% (0/41) | 2\% | NS |

* Hearing in better ear
+ Sensorineural hearing loss believed to be hereditary (patient had a family history of sensorineural hearing loss) and not related to TT or AOM history

Appendix Table G67. Otorrhea or AOM: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { New episodes of AOM or otorrhea } \\ \text { per year (mean (95\% CI)) }\end{array} & & \text { Antibiotics } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Mean difference (95\% } \\ \text { CI) }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { p- } \\ \text { value }\end{array}\right\}$

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G68. AOM episodes: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | AOM episodes (\% (n/N)) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| El Sayed* | $\leq 6$ mos. | 35\% (11/31) | 55\% (12/22) | -19\% (-46\% to 8\%) | 0.1718 |
| Gebhart* | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | 54\% (29/54) | 95\% (39/41) | -41\% (-56\% to -27\%) | <0.001 |
| Gonzalez* | <6 mos. | 45\% (10/22) | 76\% (16/21) | -31\% (-58\% to -3\%) | 0.0417 |
|  |  | AOM episod | ild (mean (95\% |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Gonzalez | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | 0.9 (n=22) | 1.4 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | -0.5 | NR |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$
*Number of AOM episodes (TT vs. antibiotics):

- El Sayed: 1-2 AOM episodes: $31 \%(7 / 31)$ vs. $31 \%(7 / 22)$ (p=NS); $\geq 3$ AOM episodes: $13 \%(4 / 31)$ vs. $23 \%(5 / 22)$ ( $p=N S$ )
- Gebhart: 1 AOM episode: $\sim 45 \%$ vs. $\sim 38 \%$; $\geq 2$ AOM episodes: $\sim 9 \%$ vs. $\sim 47 \%$ ( $p<0.001$ )
- Gonzalez: $\geq 2$ AOM episodes within 3 months: $23 \%(5 / 22)$ vs. $38 \%(8 / 21)$ ( $p=N S$ )

Appendix Table G69. OME episodes: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | New episodes of OME per year (mean (95\% CI)) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference 95\% CI) | p-value |
| Casselbrant 1992 | $\leq 24$ mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & (n=77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & (n=86) \end{aligned}$ | -0.32 | NR |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G70. Cholesteatoma: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | AOM episodes (\% (n/N)) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Casselbrant 1992 | $\leq 24$ mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0 \% \\ (0 / 77) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 86) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0\% | NS |
| Gebhart | $\leq 30 \mathrm{mos}$. | 0\% (0/54) | 0\% (0/41) | 0\% | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G71. Surgery: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surgery } \\ & \text { (\% (n/N)) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Surgery | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Casselbrant 1992 | TT (re)insertion | $\leq 24$ mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28 \%^{*} \\ & (21 / 76) \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| El Sayed |  | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% * \\ & (2 / 31) \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Gonzalez |  | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | NR | NR ${ }^{+}$ | NC | NR |
| Gebhart |  | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | 6\% (3/54) | NR | NC | NC |
|  |  | $\leq 30 \mathrm{mos}$. | 37\% (20/54) | NR | NC | NC |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Casselbrant: One TT reinsertion: 26\% (20/76); two TT reinsertions: 1\% (1/76)
† Gonzalez: For the antibiotics ( $n=21$ ) and placebo ( $n=20$ ) groups combined, $46 \%(19 / 41)$ underwent TT insertion

Appendix Table G72. Medication: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

|  |  |  | Medication <br> $(\%(n / N))$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Medication | Time point | TT | Antibiotics | Risk difference <br> $(95 \%$ CI) | p-value |
| Gonzalez | Chemoprophylaxis <br> for treatment <br> failure | $\leq 6$ mos. | $18 \%$ <br> $(4 / 22)$ | NR | NC | NC |

Appendix Table G73. Hearing levels by child: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

| \% of time with hearing level > 15dB* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Placeb | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 24$ mos. | $10 \%$ of time $(\mathrm{n}=77)$ | $16 \%$ of time $(n=80)$ | -6\% | NR |

*Hearing in better ear

Appendix Table G74. Otorrhea or AOM: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | New episodes of AOM or otorrhea per <br> year (mean (95\% CI)) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Time point | TT |  | Placebo | Mean difference <br> (95\% CI) |
| Casselbrant 1992 | $\leq 24$ mos. | $1.02(0.86$ to 1.21$)$ <br> $(n=77)$ | $1.08(0.89$ to 1.30) <br> $(n=80)$ | -0.06 | p-value |

NS: $p$-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G75. AOM episodes: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | AOM episodes (\% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ )) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Placebo or No treatment | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Gonzalez* | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | 45\% (10/22) | 85\% (17/20) | -40\% (-66\% to -14\%) | 0.0083 |
| Kujala† | $\leq 12$ mos. | 52\% (52/100) | 66\% (66/100) | -14\% (-27\% to -0.5\%) | 0.0447 |
|  |  | AOM episodes per child (mean (95\% CI)) |  |  |  |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Placebo or No treatment | Mean difference (95\% $\mathrm{Cl})$ | p-value |
| Gonzalez | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | 0.9 ( $\mathrm{n}=22$ ) | 2.0 ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) | -1.1 | NR |
| Kujala | $\leq 12$ mos. | 1.15 ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) | 1.70 ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) | -0.55 | NR |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* $\geq 2$ AOM episodes within 3 months: $23 \%(5 / 22)$ vs. $60 \%$ ( $12 / 20$ ) (RD $-37 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}-65 \%$ to $-10 \%, \mathrm{p}=0.0152$ )
$\dagger \geq 2$ AOM episodes in 2 months, OR $\geq 3$ episodes in 6 months OR middle ear effusion for $\geq 2$ months: $21 \%$ (21/100) vs. 34 (34/100) ( $-13 \%, 95 \%$ CI $-25 \%$ to $-1 \%, p=0.0400$ ); cumulative number of AOM episodes: 92 vs. 119 ( $p=N R$ )

Appendix Table G76. OME episodes: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | New episodes of OME per year <br> (mean (95\% CI)) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Placebo or <br> No <br> treatment | Risk difference (95\% <br> CI) | p- <br> value |
| Casselbrant <br> 1992 | $\leq 24$ mos. | 0.38 <br> $(n=77)$ | 0.62 <br> $(n=80)$ | -0.24 | NR |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G77. Cholesteatoma: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

|  |  | AOM episodes <br> $(\%(n / N))$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCT | Time point | TT | Placebo or <br> No <br> treatment | Risk difference (95\% <br> CI) | p- <br> value |
| Casselbrant <br> 1992 | $\leq 24$ mos. | $0 \%$ <br> $(0 / 77)$ | $0 \%$ <br> $(0 / 86)$ | $0 \%$ | NS |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

Appendix Table G78. Patient quality of life: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

| RCT | Outcome measure* | Subtest | Time point | Score (mean $\pm$ SD) |  | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TT | Placebo or No treatment |  |
| Kujala subanalysis | Ear-related QoL | - | Baseline | $\sim 5.4$ ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 5.2 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | (evaluated on 10-point VAS scale, higher scores $=$ better QoL) |  | 4 mos. | ~6.5 ( $\mathrm{n}=42$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 6.7 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\sim 7.5$ ( $\mathrm{n}=43$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 7.4 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | OM-6 (1-7 scale, <br> Lower scores = better <br> QoL) | Caregiver concerns | Baseline | ~3.7 ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 4.3 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 4 mos. | $\sim 2.2(\mathrm{n}=42)$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \sim 2.0 \\ (n=43) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | ~1.7 ( $\mathrm{n}=43$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.1 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Emotional distress | Baseline | ~3.2 ( $n=47$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 3.6 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 4 mos. | ~3.0 ( $\mathrm{n}=42$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.9 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | $12 \mathrm{mos}$. | $\sim 2.2(\mathrm{n}=43)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.5 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Physical suffering | Baseline | ~3.4 ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 3.4 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 4 mos. | $\sim 3.4(\mathrm{n}=42)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 3.1 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\sim 2.2(\mathrm{n}=43)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.5 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Activity limitations | Baseline | $\sim 2.4(n=47)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.6 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 4 mos. | $\sim 2.3$ ( $\mathrm{n}=42$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.3 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.9 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 2.0 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Hearing loss | Baseline | ~1.5 ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.5 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 4 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & (n=42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.5 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.4 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.4 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | Speech impairment | Baseline | ${ }^{\sim} 1.3$ ( $\mathrm{n}=47$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.5 \\ & (n=45) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 4 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & (n=42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.4 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | 12 mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.4 \\ & (n=43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1.4 \\ & (n=38) \end{aligned}$ | NS |

Appendix Table G79. Surgery: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surgery } \\ & \text { (\% (n/N)) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCT | Surgery | Time point | TT | Placebo or No treatment | Risk difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| Gonzalez | TT (re)insertion | $\leq 6 \mathrm{mos}$. | NR | NR ${ }^{+}$ | NC | NR |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\leq 24$ mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28 \%^{*} \\ & (21 / 76) \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |

NS: p-value $\geq 0.05$

* Casselbrant: One TT reinsertion: 26\% (20/76); two TT reinsertions: 1\% (1/76)
+ Gonzalez: For the antibiotics ( $n=21$ ) and placebo ( $n=20$ ) groups combined, $46 \%(19 / 41)$ underwent TT insertion

Appendix Table G80. Hearing levels by ear: Hearing levels by ear: TT (one ear) vs. Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) for OME or Recurrent AOM

| RCT | Time point | Hearing level (mean $\pm$ SD) (dB) (Pure tone audiogram* ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | Mean difference(95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TT <br> (unilateral) | Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) |  |  |
| Le $\ddagger$ | Baseline | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | $0.7(-2,3)$ | NS |
|  | 3 months | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -3.4 (-6 to -1) | 0.02 |
|  | 6 months | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -3.7 (-7 to 0) | 0.05 |
|  | 9 months | NR <br> (37 ears) | NR <br> (37 ears) | -3.5 (-6 to 0) | 0.02 |
|  | 12 months | NR <br> (38 ears) | NR <br> (38 ears) | -0.8 (-4 to 2) | NS |
|  | 15 months | NR <br> (40 ears) | NR <br> (40 ears) | 0.2 (-2 to 1) | NS |
|  | 18 months | NR <br> (40 ears) | NR <br> (40 ears) | 2.1 (0 to 4) | 0.08 |
|  | 24 months | NR <br> (38 ears) | NR <br> (38 ears) | 0.2 (-4 to 4) | NS |
|  | After 24 months | NR <br> (49 ears) | NR <br> (49 ears) | 1.7 (0 to 4) | 0.1 |

NS: not statistically significant

* Pure tone audiogram at $250-4000 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$\dagger$ Results reported only for those patients in whom audiograms can reliably distinguish hearing levels between ears
$\ddagger$ Patients with $\geq 5 \mathrm{~dB}$ better hearing in ear with tube:
- 9 mos.: $31.9 \%(15 / 47), p=0.04$
- 17 mos.: $27.8 \%(15 / 54), p=0.13$
- 23 mos.: $13.0 \%(7 / 54), \mathrm{p}=0.36$
- 24 mos.: $14.3 \%(7 / 49), p=0.36$

Appendix Table G81. Otorrhea by ear: Hearing levels by ear: TT (one ear) vs. Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) for OME or Recurrent AOM

| RCT |  | Otorrhea (\% (n/N)) |  |  | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time point | TT <br> (unilateral) | Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) | Risk difference (95\% CI) |  |
| Le | $\leq 0.5$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 4 \% \\ (2 / 57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (1 * / 57) \end{aligned}$ | 2\% (-4\% to 8\%) | NS |
|  | $\leq 2$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14 \% \\ (8 / 57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \% \\ & (1 * / 57) \end{aligned}$ | 12\% (3\% to 22\%) | 0.0155 |
|  | $\leq 3$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18 \% \\ (10 / 57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (1 * / 57) \end{aligned}$ | 16\% (5\% to 26\%) | 0.0045 |

NS: not statistically significant
*otorrhea occurred in myringotomy ear

Appendix Table G82. AOM by ear: Hearing levels by ear: TT (one ear) vs. Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) for OME or Recurrent AOM

\left.| RCT |  | AOM (mean number episodes per 6 month |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |$\right)$

NS: not statistically significant

* Number of untreated ears with more AOM or OME episodes than contralateral ear with tube (paired sample analysis):
- 6 mos.: $58.0 \%(33 / 57), p=0.001$
- 12 mos.: $36.4 \%(20 / 55), p=0.1$
- 18 mos.: $12.7 \%(7 / 55), p=0.17$
- 24 mos.: $20.8 \%(11 / 53), p=0.3$
- 36 mos.: $18.6 \%$ ( $8 / 43$ ), $p=0.29$

Appendix Table G83. Surgery by ear: Hearing levels by ear: TT (one ear) vs. Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) for OME or Recurrent AOM

| RCT | Surgery |  | Surgery (\% (n/N)) |  | Mean difference (95\% CI) | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Time point | TT <br> (unilateral) | Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) |  |  |
| Le | TT <br> (re)insertion | $\leq 24$ mos. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \% \\ & (3 / 57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \% \\ & (4 / 57) \end{aligned}$ | -2\% (-11\% to 7\%) | NS |

NS: not statistically significant

## Appendix H. Results Tables for Key Question 2 (Safety)

Appendix Table H1. Adverse events by child: TT vs. WW for OME

| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | WW |  |  |
| Perforation | TARGET | $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (as treated analysis) | 1.3\% ears that received tubes (8/635 ears) | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Mandel 1989 | $\leq 36$ mos. | 13.7\% (11/80)† $\ddagger$ |  | NC | NC |
|  | Mandel 1992 | $\leq 36$ mos. | 11.2\% (10/89)†§ |  | NC | NC |
| Perforation with other abnormality | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.1 \% \\ (6 / 147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.5 \% \\ & (2 / 134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 2.6\% } \\ (-1.2 \% ~ t o ~ 6.4 \%) ~ \end{array}$ | NS |
| Chronic otorrhea ( $\geq 3$ episodes/year) (parent-reported) | Rovers | $\leq 12$ mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 25 \% \\ (23 / 93) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 \% \\ (5 / 94) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & \text { (10\% to 29\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
| Otorrhea (persistent, requiring hospitalization for | Mandel 1989 | $\leq 36$ mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 2.4 \%^{* *} \\ (1 / 41) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.4 \%^{* *} \\ & (1 / 29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1 \% \\ & (-9 \% \text { to 7\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| IV antibiotics and daily suctioning through tube | Mandel 1992 | $\leq 36$ mos. | 2.2\% (2/89) $\dagger, \dagger+$ of patients in all three groups who received TT |  | NC | NC |
| Tympanosclerosis | TARGET | $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (as treated analysis) | 20.2\% ears that received tubes (128/635 ears) | $0 \%$ ears that did not undergo surgery (0/117 ears) | 20.2\% | <0.01 |
|  | Paradise | Age 5 <br> (as treated analysis) | 4.1\% patients that received tubes* (7/172) | 1.0\% <br> patients that <br> did not <br> receive <br> tubes* <br> (1/109) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \% \\ & \text { (0.3\% to 6.6\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.122 |
|  | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.7 \% \\ (4 / 147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.0 \% \\ (4 / 134) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3 \% \\ & (-4.2 \% \text { to } 3.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Tympanosclerosis + segmented atrophy | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 21.1 \% \\ (31 / 147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14.2 \% \\ (19 / 134) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.9\% } \\ & \text { (-1.9\% to } 15.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.131 |
| Infection (procedure-related) | TARGET | $\leq 24$ mos. <br> (as treated analysis) | 6.8\% ears that received tubes (43/635 ears) | NA | NC | NC |
| Premature tube extrusion | Rovers \& Ingels | $\leq 6$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8.6 \% \\ (8 / 93) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Fibrosis | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.7 \% \\ (1 / 147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.5 \% \\ (10 / 134) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.8 \% \\ & (-11.4 \% \text { to }-2.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.004 |


| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | WW | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Segmental atrophy | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.7 \% \\ & (48 / 147) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.9 \% \\ & (16 / 134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20.7 \% \\ \text { (11.4\% to } 30.1 \% \text { ) } \end{array}$ | <0.01 |
|  | Paradise | Age 5 <br> (as treated analysis) | 33.7\% patients <br> that received <br> tubes* (58/172) | 5.5\% patients that did not receive tubes* (6/109) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 28.2 \% \\ \text { (20.2\% to 36.5\%) } \end{array}$ | <0.01 |
| Retraction pocket with other abnormality | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.7 \% \\ (1 / 147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.7 \% \\ & (1 / 134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1 \% \\ & (-2.0 \% \text { to 1.9\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Any abnormality | Paradise | Age 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 70.7 \% \\ (104 / 147) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42.5 \% \\ & (57 / 134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 28.2 \% \\ \text { (17.1\% to 39.4\%) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | <0.01 |
| Problems with anesthesia | Mandel 1989 | Perioperative | 0\% <br> (0/41) | NR | NC | NC |

NA: not applicable; NC: not calculable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant

* There was a discrepancy between what was reported in the results section and the corresponding table of this paper for the following; after consultation with one of our clinical experts it was decided that the results from the table would be used:
- Segmental atrophy:
- The results (page e60) indicate this occurred in $74.7 \%$ of patients who received tubes (including crossovers) and $3.0 \%$ of patients who did not receive tubes (including crossovers).
- According to Table 2 RCT data, segmental atrophy occurred in $33.7 \%(58 / 172)$ of tubed patients and $5.5 \%$ (6/109) of patients who did not receive tubes.
- Tympanosclerosis:
- The results (see highlighted area, page e60) indicate this occurred in $40.4 \%$ of patients who received tubes (including crossovers) and $0.6 \%$ of patients who did not receive tubes (including crossovers).
- According to Table 2 RCT data, I come up with $4.1 \%(7 / 172)$ of tubed patients and $1.0 \%(1 / 109)$ of patients who did not receive tubes
+ Also includes patients in the myringotomy only group
$\ddagger 6$ (54.5\%) healed within 3 months; 2 (18.2\%) healed within 13 months; 1 ( $9.1 \%$ ) was persistent requiring bilateral tympanoplasties; and 2 (18.2\%) were lost to follow-up.
$\S 5(50 \%)$ healed within 3 months; 2 (20\%) healed within 5 months; 2 (20\%) persisted past 2 years requiring tympanoplasty; and 1 (10\%) persisted past 4 years.
** Persistent, requiring hospitalization for IV antibiotics and daily suctioning through tube
†+ One patient tested positive for Candida, responded with ketoconazole, and was treated as an outpatient; the other patient requiring hospitalization, antibiotics and aura toilet.

Appendix Table H2. Adverse events by ear: TT (unilateral) vs. No treatment (contralateral) for OME

| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | No Treatment |  |  |
| Perforation following spontaneous extrusion | Lildholdt | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 134) \end{aligned}$ | NA | NC | NC |
| Perforation following tube removal after 3 years in situ | Lildholdt | 60 months | 0.75\% <br> (1/134) <br> (requiring myringoplasty) | NA | NC | NC |
| Perforation/ retraction | Dempster | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.7 \% \\ (2 / 35) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{l} .9 \% \\ & (1 / 35) \end{aligned}$ | 2.8\% (-6.6\% to 12.3\% | NS |
|  |  | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.7 \% \\ (2 / 35) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8.6 \% \\ (3 / 35) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -2.9\% (-14.9\% to 9.2\%) | NS |
| Attic retraction | Maw \& Bawden $\dagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 0.92 \%+\dagger \\ (2 / 218) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.3 \%+ \\ (5 / 218) \end{array}$ | -1.4\% (-3.7\% to 1.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.4 \%^{\dagger} \\ & (13 / 175) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} 7.9 \%^{\dagger} \\ (15 / 189) \end{array} \end{array}$ | -0.5\% (-6.0\% to 5.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 16.2 \%+ \\ (32 / 198) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.3 \% \dagger \\ & (34 / 197) \end{aligned}$ | -1.1\% (-8.5\% to 6.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 26.1 \%{ }^{+} \\ (47 / 180) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 29.2 \%+ \\ (52 / 178) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -3.1\% (-12.4\% to 6.2\%) | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.1 \%+ \\ (58 / 170) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 38.7 \%+ \\ & (65 / 168) \end{aligned}\right.$ | -4.6\% (-14.8\% to 5.7\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 36.2 \%+ \\ & (47 / 130) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 39.7 \%+ \\ & (50 / 126) \end{aligned}\right.$ | -3.5\% (-15.4\% to 8.4\%) | NS |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{aligned} & 36.2 \% \dagger \\ & (25 / 69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 40.3 \%+ \\ & (27 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | -4.1\% (-20.4\% to 12.3\%) | NS |
| Tympanosclerosis | Maw 1991† | 6 weeks | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.5 \% \ddagger \\ (9 / 184)^{* *} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \% \ddagger \\ & (1 / 184) \end{aligned}$ | 4.4\% (1.1\% to 7.6\%) | 0.01 |
|  |  | 3 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19 \% \ddagger \\ (16 / 84) \end{array}$ | NR <br> (NR) | NC | NC |
|  | Dempster | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 20.0 \% \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 35) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20\% | <0.01 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Maw } \\ \text { 1991† } \end{array}$ | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 31.3 \% \ddagger \\ (58 / 185)^{* *} \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  |  | 9 months | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \% \ddagger \\ & (56 / 162) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.6\% } \ddagger \\ & (1 / 162) \end{aligned}$ | 34.0\% (26.5\% to 41.4\%) | <0.01 |
|  | Dempster | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 31.4 \% \\ (11 / 35) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{l} .8 \% \\ & (1 / 35) \end{aligned}$ | 28.6\% (12.2\% to 44.9\%) | 0.002 |
|  | Maw 1991† | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 36.1 \% \ddagger \\ (60 / 166)^{* *} \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  |  | 15 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 38.2 \% \ddagger \\ (62 / 162) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \% ~ \ddagger \\ & (1 / 162) \end{aligned}$ | 37.7\% (30.1\% to 45.2\%) | <0.01 |
|  |  | 18 months | 38.6\% $\ddagger$ | 1.1\% $\ddagger$ | 37.5\% (30.1\% to 44.9\%) | <0.01 |


| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% (n/N) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | No Treatment |  |  |
|  |  |  | (68/176) | (2/176) |  |  |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40.0\% } \ddagger \\ & (72 / 180)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.1\% } \ddagger \\ & (2 / 180) \end{aligned}$ | 38.9\% (31.6\% to 46.2\%) | <0.01 |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47.4\% } \ddagger \\ & (85 / 179)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44.6\% } \ddagger \\ & (62 / 139)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.7 \% \ddagger \\ & (1 / 139) \end{aligned}$ | 43.9\% (35.5\% to 52.3\%) | <0.01 |
|  | Lildholdt | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \S \\ & (44 / 132) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.8 \% \S \\ (9 / 132) \end{array}$ | 26.5\% (17.4\% to 35.6\%) | <0.01 |
|  | Maw 1991 $\dagger$ | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48.6\% } \ddagger \\ & (53 / 109)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.8 \% \ddagger \\ (3 / 109) \end{array}$ | 45.9\% (36.0\% to 55.7\%) | <0.01 |
| Segmental atrophy | Maw \& Bawdent | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.6 \%+ \\ (12 / 216) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \%^{\dagger} \\ & (1 / 216) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1\% (1.9\% to 8.3\%) | 0.002 |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 8.7 \%+ \\ (16 / 184) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.0\% + } \\ & (0 / 184) \end{aligned}$ | 8.7\% | <0.01 |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \%+ \\ & (38 / 196) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.5 \% \dagger \\ & (3 / 196) \end{aligned}$ | 17.9\% (12.1\% to 23.7\%) | <0.01 |
|  |  | 48 months | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 24.4 \%+ \\ & (43 / 176) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1.1 \%+ \\ (2 / 176) \end{array}$ | 23.3\% (16.8\% to 29.8\%) | <0.01 |
|  | Lildholdt | 60 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.8 \% § \\ (46 / 132) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.6 \% \S \\ (10 / 132) \end{array}$ | 27.3\% (17.8\% to 36.6\%) | <0.01 |
|  | Maw \& Bawdent | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15.5\%+ } \\ & (26 / 168) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.0 \%+ \\ (5 / 168) \end{array}$ | 12.5\% (6.5\% to 18.5\%) | <0.01 |
|  |  | 84 months | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 20.8\% }+ \\ & (26 / 125) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.6 \% \dagger \\ & (2 / 135) \end{aligned}$ | 19.3\% (11.9\% to 26.7\%) | <0.01 |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22.4 \% \dagger \\ & (15 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \% \dagger \\ & (3 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | 17.9\% (6.8\% to 29.1\%) | <0.01 |
| Minor scarring or thickening of the pars tensa (distinct from Tympanosclerosis, related to the middle ear condition) | Maw \& Bawden $\dagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 14 \%+ \\ (28 / 200) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 7.5 \%+ \\ (15 / 200) \end{array}$ | 6.5\% (0.5\% to 12.5\%) | 0.036 |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \% \dagger \\ & (18 / 164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10.4 \% \dagger \\ (17 / 164) \end{array}$ | 0.6\% (-6.1\% to 7.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 18.2\% } \dagger \\ (27 / 148) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.5 \%^{\dagger} \\ & (20 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | 4.7\% (-3.6\% to 13.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 15.1\%+ } \\ (19 / 126) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 18.3 \%+ \\ (23 / 126) \end{array}$ | -3.2\% (-12.4\% to 6.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12.6\%+ } \\ & (16 / 127) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14.2 \%+ \\ (18 / 127) \end{array}$ | -1.6\% (-10.0\% to 6.8\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 12.5 \%+ \\ (11 / 88) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19.3\%† } \\ & (17 / 88) \end{aligned}$ | $-6.8 \%$ (-17.6\% to 3.9\%) | NS |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \% \dagger \\ & (4 / 45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20.0\%+ } \\ & (9 / 45) \end{aligned}$ | -11.1\% (-25.5\% to 3.2\%) | 0.14 |
| Granulation tissue in ear canal | Maw \& Bawdent | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \%^{\dagger} \\ & (6 / 134) \\ & \text { (5 remained } \\ & \text { abnormal at } \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |


| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% (n/N) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | No Treatment |  |  |
|  |  |  | final checkup) |  |  |  |
| Atelectasis | Maw \& Bawden ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \% \dagger \\ & (8 / 214) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4.2 \%+ \\ (9 / 214) \end{array}$ | -0.5\% (-4.2\% to 3.2\%) | NS |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.7 \%{ }^{\dagger} \\ (14 / 181) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.0 \%{ }^{\dagger} \\ (11 / 183) \end{array}$ | 1.7\% (-3.5\% to 6.9\%) | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.1 \%+ \\ (6 / 191) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 6.3 \%+ \\ (12 / 191) \end{array}$ | -3.1\% (-7.4\% to 1.1\%) | 0.15 |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.6 \% \dagger \\ (10 / 177) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8.2 \% \dagger \\ (14 / 171) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -2.5\% (-7.9\% to 2.8\%) | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.2 \%+ \\ (12 / 166) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.5 \%+ \\ (10 / 155) \end{array}$ | 0.8\% (-4.7\% to 6.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 13.0 \%{ }^{\dagger} \\ (16 / 123) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 16.5 \%{ }^{\dagger} \\ (19 / 115) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -3.5\% (-12.5\% to 5.5\%) | NS |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14.7 \%+ \\ (10 / 68) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 11.1 \% \dagger \\ (7 / 63) \end{array}$ | 3.6\% (-7.9\% to 15\%) | NS |

CI: confidence interval; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant
$\dagger$ Also includes those who received adenoidectomy/adenotonsillectomy
$\ddagger$ Reported as cumulative incidence; unable to determine $n / N$.
§ Reported by pathology score of pars tensa. Scores 0 and 1 are considered "Normal" and scores 2 or 3 are considered
"Pathological"; these percentages represent scores 2 and 3 only.
** Maw 1991: the following percentage of patients had moderate, major, or severe tympanosclerosis:

- 1.5 mos.: $0.5 \%$ (moderate: $1 / 184$ )
- 6 mos.: $10.8 \%$ (moderate: $16 / 185$, major: $4 / 185$ )
- 12 mos. $11.4 \%$ (moderate: $15 / 166$, major: $6 / 166$ )
- 24 mos. $18.9 \%$ (moderate: 22/180, major: 10/180, severe: $2 / 180$ )
- 36 mos.: 22.9\% (moderate: 29/179, major: 7/179, severe: 5/179)
- 48 mos.: 24.5\% (moderate: 23/139, major: $10 / 139$, severe: $1 / 139$ )
- 60 mos.: $30.3 \%$ (moderate: $28 / 109$, major: $3 / 109$, severe: $2 / 109$ )

Appendix Table H3. Adverse events: TT vs. Myringotomy for OME

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Adverse Event} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Study} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Time Point} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\% (n/N)*} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Risk Difference } \\
\& (95 \% \mathrm{CI})
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{P-Value} \\
\hline \& \& \& TT \& Myringotomy \& \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Perforation} \& Gates \& \(\leq 24\) months \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 1.2\%§ } \\
\& (3 / 254)
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1.3 \% § \\
\& (3 / 237)
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& -0.1 \% \\
\& (-2.0 \% \text { to 1.9\%) }
\end{aligned}
\] \& NS \\
\hline \& Mandel 1989 \& 36 months \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
13.7 \% \not \ddagger^{* *} \\
(11 / 80)
\end{gathered}
\]} \& NC \& NC \\
\hline \& Mandel
\[
1992
\] \& NR \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline 11.2 \% \not \ddagger+\dagger \\
(10 / 89) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& NC \& NC \\
\hline Tube extrusion into middle ear \& Gates \& \(\leq 24\) months \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0.5 \% \not \ddagger \ddagger \\
\& (3 / 578) \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} \& NC \& NC \\
\hline Necrosis of the long process of the incus requiring ossiculoplastic repair \& Gates \& \(\leq 24\) months \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 0.8 \% \\
\& (1 / 129)
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 0 \% \\
\& (0 / 107)
\end{aligned}
\] \& 0.8\% \& NS \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Surgical complications (not specified)} \& D'Eredita \& Perioperative \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0\% \\
(0/15)
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \% \\
\& (0 / 15) \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 0\% \& NS \\
\hline \& Kent \& Postoperative \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0\% ears \\
(0/30 ears)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0\% ears \\
(0/30 ears)
\end{tabular} \& 0\% \& NS \\
\hline Problems with anesthesia \& Mandel
\[
1989
\] \& Perioperative \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 0 \% \\
\& (0 / 41) \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 0 \% \\
\& (0 / 39) \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 0\% \& NS \\
\hline Death \& Gates \& 24 months \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \% \\
\& (0 / 129) \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0 \% \\
\& (0 / 107) \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& 0\% \& NS \\
\hline Severe otalgia \& Koopman \& 2 days postoperative \& NR \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 0.4 \% \text { ears } \\
\& \text { (1/208 ears) }
\end{aligned}
\] \& NC \& NC \\
\hline Epidermal pearl on tympanic membrane (removed via suction as an outpatient) \& Koopman \& NR \& NR \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0.4\% ears \\
(1/208 ears)
\end{tabular} \& NC \& NC \\
\hline Nystagmus \& Kent \& Postoperative \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0\% ears \\
(0/30 ears)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0\% ears \\
(0/30 ears)
\end{tabular} \& 0\% \& NS \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Otorrhea (persistent, requiring hospitalization for IV antibiotics and daily suctioning through tube)} \& Mandel 1989 \& NR

NR \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 2.4 \% \\
& (1 / 41)
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | $0 \%$ |
| :--- |
| $(0 / 39)$ |
|  |
|  |
|  | \& | 2.4\% |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| NC | \& NS

NC <br>

\hline \& $$
1992
$$ \& NR \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2.2\% (2/89)} \& NC \& NC <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

CI: confidence interval; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant

* Outcomes reported by patient unless otherwise indicated.
$\dagger$ Requiring repeat myringotomy and insertion of new TT.
$\ddagger$ Reported out of all patients who received tubes, regardless of original assignment; includes patients in the "no surgery" group.
$\S$ Of the 6 total, 4 underwent tympanoplastic repair and 2 were lost to follow-up. Authors do not indicate to which groups the patients belonged.
** 6 (54.5\%) healed within 3 months, 2 (18.2\%) within 13 months; 1 (9.1\%) required bilateral tympanoplasty at >36 months and 2 (18.2\%) were lost-to-follow-up.
†† 5 ( $50 \%$ ) healed within 3 months, 2 ( $20 \%$ ) within 5 months; 2 ( $20 \%$ ) required tympanoplasty for perforations persisting $>2$ years, and 1 (10\%) persisted $>4$ years.
$\ddagger \ddagger$ Reported out of all patients who underwent tube placement regardless of group assignment (including +/- adenoidectomy); these patients required a repeat myringotomy for removal and insertion of a new tube.

Appendix Table H4. Adverse events: TT plus adenoidectomy vs. Myringotomy plus adenoidectomy for OME

| Adverse Event | Study ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | Time Point | \% (n/N)* |  |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) |  |
| Chronic otorrhea ( $\geq 3$ episodes per year) | Popova | $\leq 12$ mos. | 5\% (2/42) | 0\% (0/36) | 2\% | NS |
| Perforation $\ddagger \ddagger \ddagger$ | Caye- <br> Thomasen | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \text { ears } \\ & (4 / 146 \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 1\% ears <br> (2/146 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \%(-1.9 \% \text { to } \\ & 4.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | 1\% ears <br> (1/115 ears) | 1\% ears <br> (1/115 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.0\% (-2.4 \% to } \\ & \text { 2.4\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 300 months | 1\% ears <br> (1/80 ears) | 1\% ears <br> (1/80 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% ~(-3.4 \% \text { to } \\ & 3.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Perforation (persistent) | Casselbrant 2009 | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.2 \% \ddagger \\ (1 / 31) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 33) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2\% | NS |
|  | Gates | $\leq 24$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.2 \% § \\ (3 / 254) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1.3 \% § \\ (3 / 237) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1 \%(-2.0 \% \text { to } \\ & 1.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Leek | NR | 0\% ears (0/72 ears) | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Bonding | 12-36 <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \text { ears } \\ & \text { (2/193 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0\% ears (0/193 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.0\% | 0.1568 |
| Perforation (permanent) | Ruckley | 3 months | NR | 0\% ears (0/36 ears) | NC | NC |
| Subtotal perforation | To | 9-21 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2\% ears } \\ & \text { (1/56 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Tube extrusion into middle ear | Gates | $\leq 24$ months | 0.5\% (3/578)§** |  | NC | NC |
| Premature extrusion | Popova | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.4 \% \\ (1 / 42) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Displacement of tube | Leek | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 4.1 \% \text { ears } \\ \text { (3/72 ears) } \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Blockage of tube | Ruckley | 3 months | 5.5\% ears <br> (2/36 ears) | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Popova | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.1 \% \\ (3 / 42) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Tube occlusion | Popova | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 16.7 \% \\ (7 / 42) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Shishegar | 6 months | 17\% ears <br> (5/30 ears) | NR | NC | NC |
| Tympanosclerosis | Ruckley | 3 months | 0\% ears <br> (0/36 ears) | 0\% ears <br> (0/36 ears) | 0.0\% | NC |
|  | To | Mean 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16\% ears†† } \\ & \text { (9/56 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 2\% ears <br> (1/56 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14.3\% (4.1\% to } \\ & 24.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0083 |
|  |  <br> Bonding | 12-36 <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48\% ears (92/193 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19\% ears ( } 37 / 193 \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.5 \% \text { (19.5\% to } \\ & 37.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
|  | Tos/ | 12-36 | Including | Including | 37.8\% (29.8\% to | <0.001 |


| Adverse Event | Study ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ )* |  | Risk Difference (95\% Cl) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad |  |  |
|  | Bonding/ Khodaverdi | months | crossover: <br> 48\% ears <br> (114/238 ears) | crossover: <br> 10\% ears <br> (15/148 ears) | 45.8\%) |  |
|  | Tos/ <br> Bonding/ <br> Khodaverdi | 72-84 months | Including crossover: 59\% ears (106/181 ears) | Including crossover: 13\% ears (14/111 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46.0\% ( } 36.5 \text { to } \\ & 55.4 \% \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| Myringosclerosis | Caye- <br> Thomasen | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \text { ears } \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & \text { (67/146 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \text { ears } \dagger \dagger \dagger \\ & \text { (15/146 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.6 \% ~(26.2 \% \text { to } \\ & 45.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.0001 |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50\% ears††† } \\ & \text { (58/115 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 15\% ears ${ }^{\dagger+\dagger}$ <br> (17/115 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 35.7 \% ~(24.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 46.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.0001 |
|  |  | 300 months | 54\% ears††† <br> (43/80 ears) | $\begin{array}{\|l} 20 \% \text { ears }{ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger} \\ (16 / 80 \text { ears }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.8 \% ~(19.7 \% \text { to } \\ & 47.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.0001 |
|  | Tos/ <br> Bonding/ <br> Khodaverdi | 300 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57\% ears (59/104 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29\% ears (30/104 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27.9\% (15.0\% to } \\ & 40.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| Pars tens atrophy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bonding } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 12-36 <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9\% ears } \\ & \text { (17/193 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10\% ears (19/193 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0 \%(-6.8 \% \text { to } \\ & 4.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | Tos/ Bonding/ Khodaverdi | 300 months | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \text { ears (31/104 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \text { ears (19/104 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11.5\% ( } 0.0 \% \text { to } \\ & \text { 23.1\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0521 |
| Pars tens atrophy with secondary TT insertion | Tos/ <br> Bonding/ <br> Khodaverdi | 12-36 months | NR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42\% ears (11/26 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | NC | NC |
| Pars tens atrophy and tympanosclerosis | Tos/ Bonding/ Khodaverdi | 12-36 months | 5\% ears <br> (10/193 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \text { ears } \\ & \text { (8/193 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.0\% (-3.2\% to } \\ & 5.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Atrophy+†† | Caye- <br> Thomasen | 36 months | $13 \%$ ears <br> (19/146 ears) | 8\% ears <br> (12/146 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.8\% (-2.3\% to } \\ & 11.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15\% ears } \\ & \text { (17/115 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13\% ears } \\ & \text { (15/115 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \% ~(-7.2 \% \text { to } \\ & 10.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 300 months | 27\% ears <br> (22/80 ears) | 12\% ears <br> (10/80 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \% ~(2.8 \% \text { to } \\ & 27.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.009 |
| Retraction segments requiring TT (re)insertion | To | 9-24 months | 4\% ears <br> (2/56 ears) | 2\% ears <br> (1/56 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.8\% (-4.2\% to } \\ & 7.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Flaccida retraction | Tos/ <br> Bonding/ <br> Khodaverdi | 300 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19\% ears (20/104 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \text { ears (18/104 } \\ & \text { ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \% ~(-8.6 \% \text { to } \\ & 12.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Attic retraction $\ddagger \ddagger$ | Tos/ Bonding/ Khodaverdi | 12-36 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 29.7 \% \\ \text { (52/175 ears) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.9 \% \\ & \text { (61/175 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.1 \% ~(-14.9 \% \text { to } \\ & 4.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Attic retraction $\ddagger \ddagger$ | Tos/ <br> Bonding/ <br> Khodaverdi | 12-36 months | Stage I: <br> 20\% ears <br> (35/175 ears) <br> Stage II: <br> 7.4\% ears | Stage 1: <br> 14\% ears <br> (25/175 ears) <br> Stage II: <br> 17\% ears | Stage I: 5.7\% (2.2\% to 13.6\%) <br> Stage II: -9.7\% (16.5 to -2.9\%) | Stage I: <br> 0.1567 <br> Stage II: <br> 0.0057 |


| Adverse Event | Study ${ }^{+}$ | Time Point | \% (n/N)* |  |  | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Myringotomy + Ad | Risk Difference (95\% CI) |  |
|  |  |  | (13/175 ears) <br> Stage III: <br> 2\% ears <br> (4/175 ears) <br> Stage IV: <br> 0\% ears <br> (0/175 ears) | (30/175 ears) <br> Stage III: <br> 3\% ears <br> (6/175 ears) <br> Stage IV: <br> 0\% ears <br> (0/175 ears) | Stage III: -1.1 (- <br> 4.6\% to 2.4\%) <br> Stage IV: 0\% | Stage III: <br> NS <br> Stage IV: <br> NC |
| Retractionflaccida††† | Caye- <br> Thomasen | 36 months | 30\% ears <br> (44/146 ears) | 30\% ears <br> (44/146 ears) | 0.0\% (-10.5 \% to 10.5\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | 20\% ears <br> (23/115 ears) | 20\% ears <br> (25/115 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.0\% (-10.3 \% to } \\ & 10.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 300 months | 15\% ears <br> (12/80 ears) | 18\% ears <br> (14/80 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & -2.5 \% ~(-13.9 \% \text { to } \\ & 8.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Retractiontensa††† | Caye- <br> Thomasen | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12\% ears } \\ & \text { (18/146 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 12\% ears <br> (18/146 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.0\% (-7.5 \% to } \\ & 7.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | 5\% ears <br> (6/115 ears) | 5\% ears (6/115 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% ~(-5.8 \% \text { to } \\ & 5.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 300 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \% \text { ears } \\ \text { (2/80 ears) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1\% ears <br> (1/80 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \% ~(-3.0 \% \text { to } \\ & 5.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Difficulty during anesthesia | Casselbrant 2009 | Perioperative | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.2 \% \S § \\ (1 / 31) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 33) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3.2\% | NS |
|  | Gates | Perioperative | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 125) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 130) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0\% | NC |
| Bleeding after adenoidectomy requiring subsequent operation | Gates | Perioperative | 0.4\% (1/254)*** |  | NC | NC |
| Tube-related complications (not specified) | Vlastos | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 25) \end{array}$ | NA | NC | NC |
| Death | Gates | $\leq 24$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 130) \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | NS |

$\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not applicable; NR = not reported; TT = tympanostomy tubes.

* Outcomes reported by patient unless otherwise indicated.
† Tos 1983, Bonding 1985, and Khodaverdi 2013 report data for the same study at different follow-up times.
$\ddagger$ Lead to bilateral tympanoplasties
$\S$ Reported out of all patients who underwent tube placement regardless of group assignment (including +/- adenoidectomy);
** Required a repeat myringotomy for removal and insertion of a new tube.
†+ Same patients with extrusion; tympanosclerosis was only noted after extrusion.
$\ddagger \ddagger$ Stage $0=$ normal (not included in table); Stage I = slight, insignificant retraction; Stage II = moderate retraction with adhesion to the neck of the malleus; Stage III = slight erosion of the scutum; Stage IV = deep retraction pocket.
§§ Underwent treatment with myringotomy and tubes only.
*** Unclear to which group this ear was allocated.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Percentages were estimated from figure 2 of the article using the range of percentages provided in the text as a guide; numerators were back-calculated and the number of patients lost-to-follow-up was used as the denominator for each timepoint.
$\ddagger \ddagger \ddagger n u m e r a t o r s$ were back-calculated using percentages provided in the text and the number of patients lost-to-follow-up as the denominator for each time-point.

Appendix Table H5. Adverse events: TT + adenoidectomy vs. Adenoidectomy only for OME $\ddagger$

| Adverse Event | Study ${ }^{+}$ | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ )* |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Risk Difference } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Ad Only |  |  |
| Perforation | Brown 1978 | 60 months | 0\% ears <br> (0/55 ears) | 0\% ears <br> (0/55 ears) | 0\% | NC |
| Perforation/ retraction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dempster } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 months | 5\% ears (2/37 ears) | 3\% ears <br> (1/37 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \% ~(-6.3 \% \text { to } \\ & 11.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dempster } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 12 months | 11\% ears <br> (4/37 ears) | 11\% ears <br> (4/37 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% ~(-14.2 \% \text { to } \\ & 14.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Scar at site of former grommet | Brown 1978 | NR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \% \text { ears } \\ & \text { (7/55 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0\% ears <br> (0/55 ears) | 12.7\% | 0.007 |
| Tympanosclerosis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dempster } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 40 \% \text { ears } \\ & \text { (15/37 ears) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0\% ears <br> (0/37 ears) | 40.5\% | <0.001 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dempster } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 12 months | 46\% ears <br> (17/37 ears) | 0\% ears <br> (0/37 ears) | 46.0\% | <0.001 |
|  | Brown 1978 | 60 months | 42\% ears ${ }^{\dagger}$ <br> (23/55 ears) | 0\% ears <br> (0/55 ears) | 41.8\% | <0.001 |
| Retracted tympanic membrane | Brown 1978 | 60 months | 18\% ears <br> (10/55 ears) | 16\% ears <br> (9/55 ears) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.8\% (-12.3\% to } \\ & 15.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Attic retraction $\ddagger$ | Brown 1978 | 60 months | 5\% ears (3/55 ears) | 0\% ears (0/55 ears) | 5.5\% | 0.08 |
|  | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & 0.92 \%+ \\ & \text { (2/218) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \%+ \\ & (5 / 218) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.4 \% ~(-3.7 \% \text { to } \\ & 1.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.4 \%+ \\ & (13 / 175) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.9 \%+ \\ & (15 / 189) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5 \%(-6.0 \% \text { to } \\ & 5.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 16.2 \%+ \\ & (32 / 198) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \%+ \\ & (34 / 197) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.1 \% ~(-8.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 6.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26.1\%t } \\ & (47 / 180) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29.2 \%+ \\ & (52 / 178) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.1 \% ~(-12.4 \% \text { to } \\ & 6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & 34.1 \%+ \\ & (58 / 170) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.7 \%+ \\ & (65 / 168) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.6 \% ~(-14.8 \% \text { to } \\ & 5.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & 36.2 \%+ \\ & (47 / 130) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.7 \%+ \\ & (50 / 126) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.5 \% ~(-15.4 \% \text { to } \\ & \text { 8.4\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 120 <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & 36.2 \% t \\ & (25 / 69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \%+ \\ & (27 / 67) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.1 \% ~(-20.4 \% \text { to } \\ & 12.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Immediate postoperative complications (not specified) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dempster } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | Postoperative | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \text { ears } \\ & \text { (0/37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0\% ears } \\ & \text { (0/37 ears) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0\% | NC |
| Segmental atrophy $\ddagger$ | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.6 \%+ \\ & (12 / 216) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \%+ \\ & (1 / 216) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \% ~(1.9 \% \text { to } \\ & 8.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.002 |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \%+ \\ & (16 / 184) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \%+ \\ & (0 / 184) \end{aligned}$ | 8.7\% | <0.01 |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \%+ \\ & (38 / 196) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \%+ \\ & (3 / 196) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.9 \%(12.1 \% \text { to } \\ & 23.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & 24.4 \%+ \\ & (43 / 176) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.1 \%+ \\ & (2 / 176) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23.3\% (16.8\% to } \\ & \text { 29.8\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |


| Adverse Event | Study ${ }^{+}$ | Time Point | \% (n/N)* |  | Risk Difference$(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT + Ad | Ad Only |  |  |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \%+ \\ & (26 / 168) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \%+ \\ & (5 / 168) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \% ~(6.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 18.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \%{ }^{+} \\ & (26 / 125) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \%+ \\ & (2 / 135) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \% ~(11.9 \% \text { to } \\ & 26.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | $120$ <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \%+ \\ & (15 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \%+ \\ & (3 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.9 \% ~(6.8 \% \text { to } \\ & 29.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
| Minor scarring or thickening of the pars tensa $\ddagger$ (distinct from Tympanosclerosis, related to the middle ear condition) | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \%{ }^{+} \\ & (28 / 200) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.5 \%+ \\ & (15 / 200) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \% ~(0.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 12.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.036 |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \%+ \\ & (18 / 164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \%+ \\ & (17 / 164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \% ~(-6.1 \% \text { to } \\ & 7.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \%+ \\ & (27 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5 \%+ \\ & (20 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \% ~(-3.6 \% \text { to } \\ & 13.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \%+ \\ & (19 / 126) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \%+ \\ & (23 / 126) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.2 \% ~(-12.4 \% \text { to } \\ & \text { 6.0\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12.6 \%+ \\ & (16 / 127) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.2 \%+ \\ & (18 / 127) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.6 \% ~(-10.0 \% \text { to } \\ & 6.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \% t \\ & (11 / 88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \%+ \\ & (17 / 88) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.8 \% ~(-17.6 \% \text { to } \\ & 3.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | $120$ <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \%+ \\ & (4 / 45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \%+ \\ & (9 / 45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11.1 \% ~(-25.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 3.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.14 |
| Granulation tissue in ear canal $\ddagger$ | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 60 months | 4.5\% + <br> (6/134) <br> (5 remained abnormal at final check-up) | $N R$ | NC | NC |
| Atelectasis | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \%+ \\ & (8 / 214) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.2 \%+ \\ & (9 / 214) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5 \%(-4.2 \% \text { to } \\ & 3.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.7 \%+ \\ & (14 / 181) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.0 \%+ \\ & (11 / 183) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \% ~(-3.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 6.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \%+ \\ & (6 / 191) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.3 \%+ \\ & (12 / 191) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.1 \% ~(-7.4 \% \text { to } \\ & 1.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.15 |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.6 \%+ \\ & (10 / 177) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.2 \%+ \\ & (14 / 171) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.5 \% ~(-7.9 \% \text { to } \\ & 2.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.2 \%+ \\ & (12 / 166) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \%{ }^{+} \\ & (10 / 155) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \% ~(-4.7 \% \text { to } \\ & 6.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.0 \%+ \\ & (16 / 123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \%+ \\ & (19 / 115) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.5 \% ~(-12.5 \% \text { to } \\ & 5.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  | $120$ <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7 \%+ \\ & (10 / 68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \%+ \\ & (7 / 63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% ~(-7.9 \% \text { to } \\ & \text { 15\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |

$\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not applicable; $\mathrm{NR}=$ not reported; TT = tympanostomy tubes.

* Outcomes reported by ears.
$\dagger$ Includes diffuse and anteroinferior types.
$\ddagger$ Duplicate data: Data for all patients (with or without adenoidectomy) in Maw \& Bawden trial were also reported in Appendix Table H2 for the following adverse events: attic retraction, segmental atrophy, minor scarring or thickening of the pars tensa, granulation tissue in ear canal, atelectasis

Appendix Table H6. Adverse events: TT vs. Myringotomy + Adenoidectomy for OME

| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ )* |  | Risk Difference <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ P-Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myringotomy } \\ & \quad+\text { Ad } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Perforation | Gates | $\leq 24$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.2 \%^{*} \\ (3 / 254) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \%^{*} \\ & (3 / 237) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} -0.1 \% \\ (-2.0 \% ~ t o ~ 1.9 \%) \end{array}$ | NS |
| Perforation (persistent) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 36$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & (0 / 33) \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | NS |
| Tube extrusion into middle ear | Gates | $\leq 24$ months |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \dagger \\ & 3 / 578) \end{aligned}$ | NC | NC |
| Necrosis of the long process of the incus requiring ossiculoplastic repair | Gates | $\leq 24$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.8 \% \\ (1 / 129) \end{array}$ | 0\% (0/107) | 0.8\% | NS |
| Death | Gates | $\leq 24$ months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 129) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 125) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0\% | NS |
| Difficulty during anesthesia | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Casselbrant } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Perioperative | 0\% <br> (0/33) | 0\% <br> (0/33) | 0\% | NS |

Cl: confidence interval; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant

* Of the 6 total, 4 underwent tympanoplastic repair and 2 were lost to follow-up. Authors do not indicate to which groups the patients belonged.
$\dagger$ Reported out of all patients who underwent tube placement regardless of group assignment (including +/- adenoidectomy); these patients required a repeat myringotomy for removal and insertion of a new tube.

Appendix Table H7. Adverse events: TT (unilateral) vs. No procedure (unilateral) + adenoidectomy for OME

| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | ```No Treatment + Ad``` |  |  |
| Immediate postoperative complications (not specified) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dempster } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | Postoperative | 0\% ears <br> (0/35 ears) | 0\% ears <br> (0/37 ears) | 0.0\% | NC |
| Tympanosclerosis | Dempster | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20 \% \\ (7 / 35) \end{array}$ | 0\% ears <br> (0/37 ears) | 20\% | 0.0045 |
|  |  | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 31 \% \\ (11 / 35) \end{array}$ | 0\% ears <br> (0/37 ears) | 31\% | 0.0002 |
| Perforation/ retraction | Dempster | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6 \% \\ (2 / 35) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3 \% \text { ears } \\ \text { (1/37 ears) } \end{array}$ | $3 \%$ (-6\% to 12\%) | NS |
|  |  | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6 \% \\ (2 / 35) \end{array}$ | 11\% ears <br> (4/37 ears) | -5\% (-18\% to 8\%) | NS |
| Attic retraction $\ddagger$ | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.92 \%+ \\ & (2 / 218) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.3 \%+ \\ & (5 / 218) \end{aligned}$ | -1.4\% (-3.7\% to 1.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.4 \%+ \\ (13 / 175) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.9 \%+ \\ (15 / 189) \end{array}$ | -0.5\% (-6.0\% to 5.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { 16.2\% } \\ (32 / 198) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \%+ \\ & (34 / 197) \end{aligned}$ | $-1.1 \%$ (-8.5\% to 6.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 26.1 \%+ \\ (47 / 180) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 29.2 \%+ \\ (52 / 178) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -3.1\% (-12.4\% to 6.2\%) | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.1 \%+ \\ (58 / 170) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 38.7 \%+ \\ (65 / 168) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -4.6\% (-14.8\% to 5.7\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 36.2 \%+ \\ (47 / 130) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 39.7 \%+ \\ (50 / 126) \end{array}$ | -3.5\% (-15.4\% to 8.4\%) | NS |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 36.2 \%+ \\ (25 / 69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \%+ \\ & (27 / 67) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.1 \% \\ & \text { (-20.4\% to 12.3\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NS |
| Segmental atrophy $\ddagger$ | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.6 \%+ \\ & (12 / 216) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% t \\ & (1 / 216) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1\% (1.9\% to 8.3\%) | 0.002 |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 8.7 \%+ \\ (16 / 184) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \%+ \\ & (0 / 184) \end{aligned}$ | 8.7\% | <0.01 |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 19.4 \%+ \\ (38 / 196) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.5 \%+ \\ & (3 / 196) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.9 \% \\ & \text { (12.1\% to 23.7\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} 24.4 \%+ \\ (43 / 176) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.1 \%+ \\ (2 / 176) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23.3\% } \\ & \text { (16.8\% to 29.8\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | 60 months | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 15.5\%+ } \\ & \text { (26/168) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \%+ \\ & (5 / 168) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \% \\ & \text { (6.5\% to 18.5\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | 84 months | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 20.8 \%+ \\ & (26 / 125) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.6 \%+ \\ & (2 / 135) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \% \\ & \text { (11.9\% to 26.7\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \%+ \\ & (15 / 67) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \%+ \\ & (3 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | 17.9\% (6.8\% to 29.1\%) | <0.01 |
| Minor scarring or thickening of the | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \%+ \\ & (28 / 200) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.5 \%+ \\ (15 / 200) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6.5\% (0.5\% to 12.5\%) | 0.036 |


| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% $\mathrm{Cl})$ | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { Treatment + } \\ \text { Ad } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| pars tensa $\ddagger$ (distinct from <br> Tympanosclerosis, related to the <br> middle ear condition) |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \%+ \\ & (18 / 164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \%+ \\ & (17 / 164) \end{aligned}$ | 0.6\% (-6.1\% to 7.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \%+ \\ & (27 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5 \%+ \\ & (20 / 148) \end{aligned}$ | 4.7\% (-3.6\% to 13.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \%+ \\ & (19 / 126) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18.3 \%+ \\ & (23 / 126) \end{aligned}$ | -3.2\% (-12.4\% to 6.0\%) | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6 \%+ \\ & (16 / 127) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14.2 \%+ \\ (18 / 127) \end{array}$ | -1.6\% (-10.0\% to 6.8\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12.5\% }+ \\ & (11 / 88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 19.3 \%+ \\ (17 / 88) \end{array}$ | -6.8\% (-17.6\% to 3.9\%) | NS |
|  |  | 120 months | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \%+ \\ & (4 / 45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 20.0 \% t \\ (9 / 45) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-11.1 \% \\ \text { (-25.5\% to 3.2\%) } \end{array}$ | 0.14 |
| Granulation tissue in ear canal $\ddagger$ | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 60 months | 4.5\% + <br> (6/134) <br> (5 remained abnormal at final checkup) | $N R$ | NC | NC |
| Atelectasis¥ | Maw \& Bawden $\ddagger$ | 12 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.7 \% t \\ (8 / 214) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.2 \%+ \\ & (9 / 214) \end{aligned}$ | -0.5\% (-4.2\% to 3.2\%) | NS |
|  |  | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.7 \%+ \\ & (14 / 181) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.0 \%+ \\ (11 / 183) \end{array}$ | 1.7\% (-3.5\% to 6.9\%) | NS |
|  |  | 36 months | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 3.1 \%+ \\ (6 / 191) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.3 \%+ \\ & (12 / 191) \end{aligned}$ | -3.1\% (-7.4\% to 1.1\%) | 0.15 |
|  |  | 48 months | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \%+ \\ & (10 / 177) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8.2 \%+ \\ (14 / 171) \end{array}$ | $-2.5 \%$ (-7.9\% to 2.8\%) | NS |
|  |  | 60 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.2 \%+ \\ & (12 / 166) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \%+ \\ & (10 / 155) \end{aligned}$ | 0.8\% (-4.7\% to 6.3\%) | NS |
|  |  | 84 months | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \%+ \\ & (16 / 123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16.5 \%+ \\ & (19 / 115) \end{aligned}$ | -3.5\% (-12.5\% to 5.5\%) | NS |
|  |  | 120 months | $14.7 \%+$ (10/68) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11.1\%t } \\ & (7 / 63) \end{aligned}$ | 3.6\% (-7.9\% to 15\%) | NS |

CI: confidence interval; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; NS: not statistically significant
† Also includes those who received adenoidectomy/adenotonsillectomy
$\ddagger$ Reported as cumulative incidence; unable to determine $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$.
§ Reported by pathology score of pars tensa. Scores 0 and 1 are considered "Normal" and scores 2 or 3 are considered
"Pathological"; these percentages represent scores 2 and 3 only.
** Maw 1991: the following percentage of patients had moderate, major, or severe tympanosclerosis:

- 1.5 mos.: 0.5\% (moderate: $1 / 184$ )
- 6 mos.: $10.8 \%$ (moderate: $16 / 185$, major: $4 / 185$ )
- 12 mos. $11.4 \%$ (moderate: $15 / 166$, major: $6 / 166$ )
- 24 mos. $18.9 \%$ (moderate: 22/180, major: 10/180, severe: $2 / 180$ )
- 36 mos.: 22.9\% (moderate: 29/179, major: 7/179, severe: 5/179)
- 48 mos.: 24.5\% (moderate: $23 / 139$, major: $10 / 139$, severe: $1 / 139$ )
- 60 mos.: $30.3 \%$ (moderate: $28 / 109$, major: $3 / 109$, severe: $2 / 109$ )

Appendix Table H8. Adverse events: TT vs. Antibiotics for OME

| Study | Adverse Event | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Risk Difference (95\% $\mathrm{Cl})$ | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | Antibiotics |  |  |
| Bernard, Stenstrom | Myringosclerosis | $\leq 18$ mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 13 \% \\ (17 / 60) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  |  | 72-120 mos. | 66\% <br> (25/38 who received TT only once) | $15 \%$ <br> (4/27 who never received TT) | 51\% (31\% to 71\%) | 0.0001 |
|  | Superinfection | $\leq 18$ mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30 \% \\ (18 / 60) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Foreign body reaction* | $\leq 18$ mos. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 13 \% \\ (17 / 60) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Chronic perforation | $\leq 18$ mos. | 0\% (0/60) | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Perforation, retraction, or atelectasis | 72-120 mos. | NR <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=57$ as <br> allocated) | NR <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=56$ as allocated) | RR 1.5 (1.2-1.9) | <0.05 |
|  |  | 72-120 mos. | 37\% <br> (14/38 who received TT only once) | 4\% <br> (1/27 who never received TT) | 33\% (16\% to 50\%) | 0.0019 |
|  |  | 72-120 mos. | NR <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=86$ who received TT) | NR <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=27$ who <br> never received TT) | RR 4.8 (2.2 to 10.6) | <0.05 |
|  | Allergic reaction to medication | $\leq 18$ mos. | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6.2 \% \\ (4 / 65) \end{array}$ | NC | NC |
|  | Nausea due to medication | $\leq 18$ mos. | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.1 \% \\ (2 / 65) \end{array}$ | NC | NC |
|  | Vomiting due to medication | $\leq 18$ mos. | NR | $0 \%$ (0/65) | NC | NC |
|  | Serious side effects of medication | $\leq 18$ mos. | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 65) \end{array}$ | NC | NC |

[^0]Appendix Table H9. Adverse events: TT vs. Prophylactic Antibiotics for Recurrent AOM

| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point | \% ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ )* |  | Risk Difference(95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT | Antibiotics |  |  |
| Perforation | Casselbrant $1992$ | Various up to 21 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.2 \%^{\dagger} \\ & (10 / 76) \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
|  | Gebhart | NR (healed by 9 months) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.7 \% \\ & (2 / 54) \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Premature extrusion (requiring reinsertion) | El-Sayed |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 6.5 \% \\ (2 / 31) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Tube pushed into middle ear space | Gebhart | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 54) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Persistent otorrhea | El-Sayed | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{O} \% \\ (0 / 31) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| 3+ episodes of otorrhea or AOM ${ }^{\dagger} \dagger$ | Casselbrant 1992 | Various up to 21 months | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 25 \%+\dagger \\ (10 / 76) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Infection (persistent) | Gebhart | NR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & (0 / 54) \end{aligned}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Adverse events related to general anesthesia | Gebhart | NR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \% \ddagger \\ (0 / 54) \end{array}$ | NR | NC | NC |
| Adverse reaction to medication | Casselbrant | NR | NR | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \% \S \\ & (6 / 90) \end{aligned}$ | NC | NC |
|  | El-Sayed | 6 months | NR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.1 \%^{* *} \\ & (2 / 22) \end{aligned}$ | NC | NC |
| Any adverse event (i.e. to surgery, anesthesia) medication) | Gonzalez | 6 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \% \\ (0 / 22) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \% \\ & (0 / 21) \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | NS |
| Suppurative complication | Casselbrant | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & (0 / 64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0 \% \\ (0 / 42) \end{array}$ | 0\% | NS |

$\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; N/A = not applicable; NR = not reported; TT = tympanostomy tubes.

* Outcomes reported by patient unless otherwise indicated.
$\dagger 7$ perforations healed spontaneously within a few months; $3(3.9 \%)$ persisted for 5,9 , and 21 months but were all were later noted to have healed spontaneously.
$\ddagger$ To include cardiac arrhythmia, aspiration, cardiac arrest, and respiratory arrest.
§ Amoxicillin. Suspected urticaria in 4 children and vaginitis in 2 children; these patients were withdrawn from the study.
** Sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim (SMZ-T) syrup. Two children developed a skin rash.
$\dagger \dagger$ The study indicated that "most of these episodes consisted of otorrhea" but results were not stratified by AOM vs. otorrhea

Appendix Table H1O. Adverse events: TT vs. Placebo or No treatment for Recurrent AOM

| Adverse Event | Study | Time Point |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not applicable; NR = not reported; TT = tympanostomy tubes.

* Outcomes reported by patient unless otherwise indicated.
+7 perforations healed spontaneously within a few months; $3(3.9 \%)$ persisted for 5,9 , and 21 months but were all were later noted to have healed spontaneously.
$\ddagger$ Including events related to the surgical procedure (e.g., hemorrhage), anesthesia, medication, or other.
$\dagger+$ The study indicated that "most of these episodes consisted of otorrhea" but results were not stratified by AOM vs. otorrhea $\ddagger \ddagger$ Outcomes duplicated in Adverse Events table comparing TT to antibiotics

Appendix Table H11. Adverse events: TT (one ear) vs. Myringotomy or No procedure (opposite ear) for OME or Recurrent AOM

| Study | Adverse Event | Time Point | \% (n/N)* |  | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TT (Unilateral) | Myringotomy Or No Treatment (Contralateral) |  |  |
| Le | Permanent perforation | 24 months | 3\% <br> (2/61 ears treated with TT) | 0\% <br> (0/26 ears that never received TT) | 3\% | 0.353 |
|  | Tympanosclerosis | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & \text { (35/61 ears } \\ & \text { treated with TT) } \end{aligned}$ | 19\% <br> (5/26 ears that never received TT) | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% ~(19 \% \text { to } \\ & 58 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.001 |
|  | Retraction or atrophy | 24 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 25 \% \\ (15 / 61 \text { ears } \\ \text { treated with TT) } \end{array}$ | 31\% <br> (8/26 ears that never received TT) | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \% ~(-27 \% \text { to } \\ & 15 \%) \end{aligned}$ | NS |
|  |  |  | \% (n/N)* |  |  |  |
| Study | Adverse Event | Time Point | TT (Unilateral) | Myringotomy Or No Treatment (Contralateral) | Risk Difference (95\% CI) | P-Value |
| Le | Permanent perforation | 24 months | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3 \% \\ (2 / 61 \text { ears } \\ \text { treated with TT) } \end{array}$ | 0\% <br> (0/27 ears that never received TT) | 3\% | 0.344 |
|  | Tympanosclerosis | 24 months | 57\% <br> (35/61 ears treated with TT) | 7\% <br> (2/27 ears that never received TT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50\% (34\% to } \\ & 66 \%) \end{aligned}$ | <0.01 |
|  | Retraction or atrophy | 24 months | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (15 / 61 \text { ears } \\ & \text { treated with TT) } \end{aligned}$ | 4\% <br> (1/27 ears that never received TT)* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21\% (8\% to } \\ & 34 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.020 |

Appendix Table H12. Adverse events from case series: TT for OME or Recurrent AOM

| Complication | Follow-Up | \% (N/N) | Case Series |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cholesteatoma | $\geq 1$ year | 1.1\% (62/5575) | Golz |
|  | NR (mean 2.8 yrs. in study) | 0.8\% (4/507) | Lindstrom |
| Adverse effects of anesthesia (total)* | Intraoperative and Perioperative | 3.9\% (126/3198) | Hoffmann |
| Death | Perioperative | 0\% (0/3198) | Hoffmann |
| Upper airway obstruction | Perioperative | 0.9\% (9/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Agitation ${ }^{+}$ | Perioperative | 5.7\% (57/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Prolonged recovery $\ddagger$ | Perioperative | 2.7\% (27/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Emesis | Perioperative | 1.6\% (16/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Laryngospasm | Perioperative | 0.9\% (9/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Desaturation | Perioperative | 0.4\% (4/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Bradycardia | Perioperative | 0.1\% (1/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Dysrhythmia | Perioperative | 0.1\% (1/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Stridor | Perioperative | 0.2\% (2/1000) | Hoffmann |
| Persistent perforation after extrusion | NR (mean 2.8 yrs. in study) | 1.3\% (10/756 ears) | Lindstrom |
| Retained tube§ | NR (mean 2.8 yrs. in study) | 12.1\% (92/756 ears) | Lindstrom |
| Removal of retained tube** | NR (mean 2.8 yrs. in study) | 1.3\% (10/756 ears) | Lindstrom |
| Chronic otorrhea | NR (mean 2.8 yrs. in study) | 1.7\% (13/756 ears) | Lindstrom |

*Sum of all adverse events, intraoperative and perioperative, minor and major. Major events were laryngospasm, desaturation, bradycardia, dysrhythmia, sridor; minor events were upper airway obstruction, agitation, prolonged recovery, emesis.
†Also "persistent agitation," described as "a subjective measure that was determined by recovery room nursing staff in the care and recovery of pediatric surgical patients." (Hoffman 2002).
$\ddagger$ "Recovery longer than 30 minutes" (Hoffman 2002).
§Patients who had tubes in place for longer than 2 years, $4(4.3 \%)$ of the 92 resulted in tympanic membrane perforations. (Lindstrom 2004)
**Patients whose tubes were surgically removed after two years. (Lindstrom 2004)

## Appendix I. Clinical Experts

The following have served as clinical experts:

Carol J. MacArthur, M.D<br>Pediatric Otolaryngologist<br>Professor<br>Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery<br>Oregon Health \& Science University (OHSU)<br>Portland, Oregon<br>Jack L. Paradise, M.D<br>Professor Emeritus of Pediatrics<br>University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine<br>Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania<br>James Rooks, M.D<br>Central Surgical Associates<br>Jackson, MS
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[^0]:    * Purulent discharge and formation of pyogenic granuloma

